



GAIDAR
INSTITUTE
FOR ECONOMIC
POLICY

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

01/2020

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova, A.Buzaev,
T.Kiblitckaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES.....	2
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES	3
FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES.....	5
DYNAMICS OF PRICES.....	8
MONETARY INDEXES.....	10
INTERNATIONAL RESERVES.....	10
FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES	10
THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES.....	11
EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT	11
ANNEX	13

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations of various economic indicators for the Russian Federation in *February 2020 to July 2020*¹, which were performed using time series models developed as a result of research conducted by the Gaidar Institute over the past few years.² A method of forecasting falls within the group of *formal* or *statistical* methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the *opinion* nor *expert evaluation* of the researcher, rather they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator, which were performed using formal ARIMA models (p, d, q) given a prevailing trend and its, in some cases, significant changes. The presented forecasts are of inertial nature, because respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the time horizon to be forecast. The foregoing calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making decisions on economic policy, provided that the general trends, which were seen prior to forecasting for each specific indicator, remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies³, which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts was deteriorated in most of the cases when the data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included into the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes.⁴

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, which were calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute, and volumes of imports/exports from/to all countries, which were calculated using structural models (SM). The forecast values based on the structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding information of the dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides, the use of structural forecasts in making aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

¹ Given that from early 2019 Rosstat does not release monthly data on indexes of real disposable cash income of the population, commencing from issue 8/2019 we release forecasts in quarter terms for 2 quarters ahead.

² See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshesky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. *The Econometric Analysis of the Time Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. *Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries*. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitckaya, *Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation*. Moscow, IET, 2010.

³ Ibid.

⁴ See.: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1997, 80, pp. 355–385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1992, 10, pp. 251–270.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

The dynamics of the Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from the monetary theory. The following was used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because the dynamics of manufacturers' costs relies heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator to be noted is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are most significant factors, which determine the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports of goods. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – were added so that seasonal fluctuations were factored in. The dynamics of imports is effected by personal and corporate incomes whose increase triggers higher demand for all goods including imported ones. The real disposable cash income reflects the personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects the corporate income.

The forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

The forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA models (p, d, q).

The paper also presents calculations of the values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index, which were calculated using the results of business surveys conducted by the Gaidar Institute. Empirical studies show¹ that the use of series of business surveys as explanatory variables² in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on the average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to choose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st, 3rd and 12th lags of the variable itself.

All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

Industrial production

For making forecast for February to July 2020, the series of monthly data of the indexes of industrial production released by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 to November 2019, as well as the series of the base indexes of industrial production released by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE³) over the period from January 2010 to December 2019 were used (the corrected value of January 2010 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecast values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The obtained results are shown in Table 1.

¹ See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings*. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

² Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

³ The indexes in question are calculated by E.F. Baranov and V.A. Bessonov.

Table 1

Calculations of forecast values of the industrial production indexes¹ (%)

	Index of industrial production				IIP for mining		IIP for manufacturing		IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)		IIP for food products		IIP for coke and petroleum		IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products		IIP for machinery		
	Rosstat	BS	ARIMA	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	
February 2020	0.9	1.2	1.5	1.5	0.9	1.1	0.6	2.2	0.2	-0.6	2.5	4.7	2.7	-1.0	-5.7	2.9	-17.3	-3.8	
March 2020	1.9	2.1	3.4	2.3	0.8	1.2	2.2	5.3	0.3	0.4	4.1	3.1	2.7	1.6	5.9	2.4	-4.6	-4.8	
April 2020	1.1	1.7	1.1	1.9	0.5	1.2	1.1	1.8	0.2	-0.1	2.2	3.3	5.3	-1.2	2.7	-1.3	-6.5	-4.9	
May 2020	1.7	0.8	3.0	0.9	1.5	1.1	2.3	4.5	-0.5	1.2	3.1	4.1	3.7	3.9	-3.7	0.6	-0.2	1.1	
June 2020	1.6	2.7	2.8	3.0	1.2	1.2	1.4	3.4	0.7	1.9	5.2	4.6	2.7	1.4	-2.1	-0.5	-5.1	-8.0	
July 2020	1.4	1.7	1.5	2.2	1.5	1.0	1.7	1.3	0.6	1.8	2.7	1.8	-0.7	-3.4	7.8	-0.6	3.9	-9.2	
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year																			
For reference: actual growth in 2019 on the respective month of 2018																			
February 2019	4.1		3.6		5.1	4.2	4.6	4.8	-1.1	-2.7	7.7	2.5	5.2	3.9	10.3	4.6	5.7	24.4	
March 2019	1.2		0.5		4.3	4.1	0.3	-0.1	-4.8	-7.0	3.3	1.1	-0.4	-1.9	-6.4	2.5	3.3	3.5	
April 2019	4.6		3.3		4.2	3.9	4.7	3.6	1.0	-0.2	7.6	3.7	4.3	3.1	-5.5	-0.7	-5.8	6.0	
May 2019	0.9		-0.2		2.8	2.3	-1.0	-1.5	1.8	-0.7	1.1	1.4	-4.3	-5.9	0.0	1.0	-10.0	8.3	
June 2019	3.3		0.7		2.3	1.4	3.4	0.3	2.5	0.3	0.6	0.9	-4.8	-5.3	14.6	0.9	-4.5	9.1	
July 2019	2.8		2.7		3.0	0.8	2.8	4.0	1.7	0.9	6.7	4.6	-0.5	-0.4	-3.8	4.1	-0.9	9.6	

Note. In the time spans under review, the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain indexes of IP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary processes around the trend with an endogenous structural change; the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for mining and Rosstat chain IIP for machinery and equipment are identified as stationary processes around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The time series of other chain indexes are stationary at levels.

¹ It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indexes (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

As seen from *Table 1*, the Rosstat average¹ growth in the industrial production index in February-July 2020 compared to the same period of the previous year for the industry as a whole comes to 1.6%. The NRU HSE industrial production index also comes to 2.1%.

The average monthly growth in the Rosstat and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes for mining and quarrying amount to 1.1% and 1.1%, respectively in February-July 2020.

The average growth in the Rosstat industrial production index in manufacturing industry for February-July 2020 amounts to 1.5% compared to the same period of the previous year and the NRU HSE industrial production index in manufacturing industry comes to 3.1%. The average monthly increase in production of food products to average by 3.3% and 3.6% for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The production of coke and petroleum products is forecast to grow on average by 2.7% and 0.2% for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The average monthly change in the industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products for February-July 2020 computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE constitutes 0.8% and 0.6%, respectively. Manufacturing of machinery and equipment is forecast to grow on average by (-5.0%) and (-4.9%) for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

The average growth in the Rosstat industrial production index for electricity, gas, and steam supply; for air conditioning in February-July 2020 constitutes 0.3% in comparison with the same period of the previous year; the same indicator for the NRU HSE industrial production index comes to 0.7%.

Retail Sales

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – January 2020.

As seen from *Table 2*, the average forecast increment in the monthly turnover for February-July 2020 against the corresponding period of 2019 amounts to around 5.3%. The average forecast growth in the monthly real turnover for the period February-July 2020 compared to the same period of 2019 constitutes 0.9%.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

Model calculations of forecast values of the export, export to countries outside the CIS and the import, import from countries outside the CIS were made on the basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to January 2020 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia.² The results of calculations are presented in Table 3.

Export, import, export outside the CIS and import from the countries outside the CIS are forecast to grow on average at 10.1%, 11.3%, 17.1%, and -2.3%, respectively for February-July 2020 against February-July 2019. The average forecast trade balance volume with all countries for February-July 2020 will total \$101.8 bn, which corresponds to an increase by 22.5% in relation to February-July 2019.

Table 2

Calculations of forecast values of retail sales and real retail sales

Forecast value according to ARIMA-model		
	Retail sales, billion RUB (in brackets – growth on the respective month of the previous year, %)	Real retail sales (as % of the respective period of the previous year)
February 2020	2574.4 (5.2)	100.5
March 2020	2808.5 (5.2)	100.3
April 2020	2788.3 (5.2)	100.4
May 2020	2836.2 (5.3)	101.7
June 2020	2885.0 (5.5)	101.1
July 2020	2956.8 (5.6)	101.5
For reference: actual values in the same months of 2019		
February 2019	2448.0	102.0
March 2019	2670.2	107.1
April 2019	2650.5	101.8
May 2019	2692.9	101.5
June 2019	2735.0	101.6
July 2019	2798.8	101.2

Note. The series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – January 2020.

¹ Average growth of industrial production indexes is the average value of these indexes for six months under review.

² The data on the foreign trade turnover is calculated by the CBR in accordance with the methods for making of the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

Table 3

Calculations of forecast values of foreign trade turnover with countries outside the CIS

Month	Exports to all countries			Imports from all countries			Exports to countries outside the CIS			Imports from countries outside the CIS					
	Forecast values (billion USD a month)			Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year			Forecast values (billion USD a month)			Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year					
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM		
February 2020	34.1	36.7	98	106	19.9	108	109	31.6	33.4	104	110	16.9	15.8	104	97
March 2020	36.9	39.2	100	106	21.8	102	104	32.1	34.1	100	106	18.6	17.4	99	93
April 2020	38.4	39.0	105	106	22.2	94	101	33.1	33.4	105	106	18.3	18.0	93	92
May 2020	37.9	38.0	117	117	22.0	111	104	32.7	34.5	117	123	18.9	17.6	107	100
June 2020	38.2	39.3	118	121	20.6	103	106	33.1	33.9	118	121	19.1	17.4	108	99
July 2020	39.0	38.2	117	114	20.0	89	94	32.6	33.8	113	117	18.4	17.9	92	90
For reference: actual values in respective months of 2019 (billion USD)															
February 2019	34.7			18.3			30.4			16.2					
March 2019	37.0			21.0			32.2			18.7					
April 2019	36.7			22.1			31.5			19.6					
May 2019	32.4			19.9			28.0			17.6					
June 2019	32.4			20.0			28.0			17.6					
July 2019	33.4			22.4			28.8			19.9					

Note. Over the period from January 1999 to January 2020, the series of exports, imports, exports to the countries outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.

Table 4
Calculations of forecast values of price indexes

Month	Producer price indexes:																		
	The consumer price index (ARIMA)	The consumer price index (SM)	The consumer price index (FM)	for industrial goods (ARIMA)	for industrial goods (BS)	for industrial goods (FM)	for mining and quarrying	for manufacturing	for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	for food products	for textile and sewing industry	for wood products	for pulp and paper industry	for coke and refined petroleum	for chemical industry	for basic metals and fabricated metal	for machinery and equipment	for transport equipment manufac-turing	
Forecast values (% of the previous month)																			
February 2020	100.7	100.2	100.4	100.5	100.5	100.4	102.1	100.6	101.6	99.9	100.4	100.8	99.7	103.4	99.6	101.1	100.5	100.1	
March 2020	100.5	100.3	100.4	100.8	100.5	100.6	99.8	100.2	100.5	100.3	100.4	100.4	100.2	102.7	99.3	99.6	100.5	100.3	
April 2020	100.5	100.3	100.4	100.3	100.6	100.6	94.6	100.5	99.5	100.4	100.3	100.3	100.5	103.0	98.7	100.5	100.4	100.5	
May 2020	100.5	100.2	100.5	100.6	100.3	100.5	100.5	100.4	100.5	100.5	100.4	100.3	100.0	102.5	98.6	101.2	100.4	100.7	
June 2020	100.2	100.2	100.4	99.8	100.4	100.5	99.1	100.1	99.4	100.5	100.4	100.4	100.5	102.6	98.7	101.1	100.4	100.6	
July 2020	100.1	100.0	100.5	100.2	100.1	100.6	98.2	100.4	100.7	100.9	100.2	100.0	100.0	102.3	98.8	101.3	100.5	100.4	
Forecast values (% of December 2019)																			
February 2020	102.0	100.6	100.8	100.6	100.8	100.5	105.2	100.5	101.5	100.0	100.5	100.7	99.9	100.8	99.1	101.5	101.7	100.1	
March 2020	102.5	100.9	101.2	101.4	101.3	101.1	105.0	100.7	102.0	100.3	100.9	101.1	100.1	103.5	98.4	101.2	102.1	100.3	
April 2020	103.0	101.2	101.6	101.7	101.9	101.7	99.3	101.2	101.5	100.6	101.3	101.3	100.6	106.6	97.1	101.6	102.6	100.8	
May 2020	103.5	101.4	102.1	102.3	102.2	102.2	99.9	101.7	101.9	101.2	101.7	101.7	100.6	109.3	95.7	102.8	102.9	101.5	
June 2020	103.8	101.6	102.5	102.1	102.6	102.7	98.9	101.8	101.3	101.7	102.1	102.1	101.1	112.1	94.5	104.0	103.4	102.2	
July 2020	103.9	101.6	103.0	102.3	102.7	103.3	97.1	102.2	102.0	102.6	102.3	102.1	101.1	114.8	93.3	105.4	103.9	102.6	
For reference: actual values in the same periods of 2019 (% of December 2018)																			
February 2019	101.4	101.4	101.4	98.1	98.1	98.0	97.3	98.0	100.4	100.4	100.5	101.7	101.4	88.4	99.1	97.9	101.6	101.3	
March 2019	101.7	101.7	101.7	99.0	99.0	97.6	101.2	97.6	101.0	99.2	100.4	101.5	101.5	86.9	97.9	98.5	101.9	100.9	
April 2019	102.0	102.0	102.0	99.5	99.5	98.1	101.9	98.1	100.7	99.2	100.9	100.8	101.5	87.8	98.2	100.7	101.9	101.5	
May 2019	102.3	102.3	102.3	101.3	101.3	99.7	104.9	99.7	101.9	99.1	101.0	100.4	100.3	94.7	98.6	100.6	101.9	102.6	
June 2019	102.3	102.3	102.3	100.7	100.7	99.7	103.1	99.7	100.9	99.0	100.9	100.0	99.9	94.8	98.3	100.5	102.0	103.3	
July 2019	102.5	102.5	102.5	97.9	97.9	99.4	92.4	99.4	102.3	99.3	101.2	99.0	98.5	92.8	97.1	100.6	102.2	103.1	

Note. Over the period from January 1999 to November 2019, the series of the chain producer price index for machinery are identified as a stationary process around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indexes are stationary at levels.

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

The Consumer Price Index and Producer Price Index

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the consumer price index and producer price index (as regards both the industry in general and some types of its activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) made on the basis of the time-series models evaluated on the basis of the data released by Rosstat over the period from January 1999 to November 2019.¹ Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values over February to July of 2020 in accordance with ARIMA models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The forecast average monthly increment in the consumer price index in February-July 2020 will come to 0.4%. The producer price index for industrial goods for the same period is forecast to grow on average 0.4% per month.

The Rosstat producer price indexes are forecast to grow at average monthly rate for February-July 2020: for mining and quarrying (-0.9%), manufacturing 0.4%, utilities (electricity, gas, and steam) 0.3%, food products 0.4%, textile and sewing industry 0.3%, wood products 0.4%, pulp and paper industry 0.2%, coke and refined petroleum 2.8%, for chemical industry (-1.1%), for basic metals and fabricated metal 0.8%, for machinery and equipment 0.4%, and for motor vehicles manufacture 0.4%.

The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over February to July 2020. The forecasts were made on the basis of time series with the use of the Rosstat data over the period from January 2000 to January 2020. The results are presented in Table 5.

As can be seen from Table 5, the minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow compared to the corresponding level of the previous year. Having said that, the minimum set of food products is forecast to average RUB 4,274.7. The minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow on average at around 0.3% against the same period of last year.

Indexes of Freight Rates

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight tariff indexes on cargo carriage,² made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to November 2019. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in the February-July of 2020. It should be noted that some of the indexes under review (for instance, the index of pipeline tariff) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase in tariffs in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

Table 5
The forecast of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket

Forecast values according to ARIMA-model (RUB)	
February 2020	4126.4
March 2020	4186.0
April 2020	4253.2
May 2020	4359.3
June 2020	4377.9
July 2020	4345.6
For reference: actual values in the same months of 2019 (billion RUB)	
February 2019	4103.9
March 2019	4179.8
April 2019	4242.9
May 2019	4356.6
June 2019	4367.0
July 2019	4311.7
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)	
February 2020	0.5
March 2020	0.1
April 2020	0.2
May 2020	0.1
June 2020	0.2
July 2020	0.8

Note. The series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 January 2020 are stationary in the first-order differences.

¹ Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

² The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the motor load freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indexes by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and motor load freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: Prices in Russia. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

Table 6

Calculations of forecast values of freight tariffs indexes

Period	The composite index of transport tariff	The index of motor freight tariff	The index of pipeline tariff
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (% of the previous month)			
February 2020	100.0	99.9	95.8
March 2020	100.0	99.9	101.7
April 2020	103.8	99.8	106.7
May 2020	99.9	99.8	100.8
June 2020	99.9	99.8	100.0
July 2020	103.2	99.8	101.7
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (% of December of the previous year)			
February 2020	100.0	101.4	93.7
March 2020	99.9	101.2	89.8
April 2020	103.7	101.1	91.4
May 2020	103.7	100.9	97.4
June 2020	103.6	100.7	98.2
July 2020	106.9	100.5	98.3
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2019 (% of the previous month)			
February 2019	100.3	102.0	99.9
March 2019	100.0	100.1	99.8
April 2019	105.0	99.9	112.7
May 2019	100.0	100.0	100.1
June 2019	99.9	100.0	99.9
July 2019	103.1	100.0	107.6

Note. Over the period from September 1998 to November 2019, the series of the freight tariff index were identified as stationary ones; the other series were identified as stationary ones over the period from November 1998 to November 2019, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were used in respect of all the series.

According to the forecast for February-July 2020, the composite index of transport tariffs on freight carriage will be growing at an average monthly rate of 1.1%. In April 2020, seasonal growth in the index is expected at 3.8 p.p. and in July – at 3.2 p.p.

The index of motor freight tariffs will be increasing during these six months at an average monthly rate of -0.2%.

The index of pipeline tariffs will be growing at an average monthly rate of 1.1%. In April 2020, seasonal growth in the index is expected at 6.7 p.p. and in July – at 1.7 p.p.

World Prices of Natural Resources

This section presents calculations of such average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), the aluminum prices (US\$ per ton), the gold prices (\$ per ounce), the copper prices (US\$ per ton), and the nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over February to July 2020 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of the IMF data over the period from January 1980 to December 2019.

The crude oil price is forecast to average around \$76.2 per barrel, which is above its corresponding year-earlier indexes on average by 13.6%. The aluminum prices are forecast to average around \$1,821 per ton and their average forecast decline constitutes around 0.3% compared to the same level of last year. The gold price is forecast to average \$1,512 per ounce. The copper price is forecast to average \$6,471 per

Table 7

Calculations of forecast values of world prices on natural resources

Month	Brent oil (\$ per barrel)	Aluminum (\$ per ton)	Gold (\$ per ounce)	Copper (\$ per ton)	Nickel (\$ per ton)
Forecast values					
February 2020	69.15	1814	1498	6365	14938
March 2020	71.51	1816	1497	6417	14499
April 2020	74.30	1818	1501	6464	14879
May 2020	77.29	1824	1516	6496	14639
June 2020	80.70	1828	1528	6527	14779
July 2020	84.31	1829	1534	6557	15127
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)					
February 2020	4.7	-4.3	13.5	-1.8	14.4
March 2020	4.6	-4.4	15.1	-0.9	11.6
April 2020	2.1	2.5	16.7	0.5	21.6
May 2020	19.8	2.6	18.1	11.6	22.1
June 2020	21.3	2.6	12.4	8.8	16.6
July 2020	29.4	2.6	8.5	10.5	4.0
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2019					
February 2019	66.03	1895	1320	6483	13063
March 2019	68.39	1900	1301	6476	12995
April 2019	72.8	1773	1286	6433	12235
May 2019	64.49	1778	1284	5823	11990
June 2019	66.55	1782	1359	6001	12675
July 2019	65.17	1782	1413	5935	14553

Note. Over the period from January 1980 to December 2019, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper, and aluminum are series of DS type.

ton, and prices for nickel – around \$14,810 per ton. The average forecast price increase in gold constitutes around 14%, the copper prices – around 5%, and the average gain in nickel prices – 15% against the corresponding level of last year.

MONETARY INDEXES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR) and M_2 monetary aggregate over the period from February-July 2020 were received on the basis of models of time-series of respective indexes calculated by the CBR¹ in the period from October 1998 to January 2020 for the monetary base and to December 2019 for M_2 monetary. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indexes in the same period of previous year. It is to be noted that due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In February-July 2020, the monetary base will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.1%. In the period under review, M_2 monetary index will not be changing.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of such future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation² as were received on the basis of evaluation of the model of time series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves on the basis of the data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to December of 2019. That index is forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may happen to be overestimated (or otherwise underestimated) as compared to the actual ones.

Subsequent to the forecast findings for February-July 2020, the international reserves will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.5%.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of the foreign exchange rates (RUB per USD and USD per euro) were made on the basis of assessment of the time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indica-

Table 8

The forecast of M_2 and the monetary base

Period	The monetary base		M_2	
	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %
February 2020	10631	-3.2	50140	-0.6
March 2020	10756	1.2	50441	0.6
April 2020	10767	0.1	50141	-0.6
May 2020	10893	1.2	50441	0.6
June 2020	10906	0.1	50141	-0.6
July 2020	11032	1.2	50441	0.6
For reference: actual value in the respective months of 2019 (growth on the previous month, %)				
February 2019		-5.0		-2.9
March 2019		0.3		1.1
April 2019		-0.4		-0.2
May 2019		2.3		0.6
June 2019		-0.9		0.6
July 2019		0.9		1.3

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to January 2020, the time series of monetary base were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component and the time series of M_2 monetary aggregate from October 1998 to December 2019 was identified as stationary series with explicit seasonal component.

Table 9

The forecast of the international reserves of the Russian Federation

Period	Forecast values according to ARIMA-model	
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
February 2020	549.7	0.6
March 2020	551.1	0.3
April 2020	554.2	0.6
May 2020	557.6	0.6
June 2020	560.8	0.6
July 2020	563.9	0.6
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2019		
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
February 2019	475.9	1.6
March 2019	482.6	1.4
April 2019	487.8	1.1
May 2019	491.1	0.7
June 2019	495.2	0.8
July 2019	518.4	4.7

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to December 2019, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

¹ The data on the specific month is given in accordance with the methods of the CBR as of the beginning of the following month.

² The data on the volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

tors released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to January 2020 and from February 1999 to January 2020,¹ respectively.

In February-July 2020, USD/RUB average exchange rate is forecast according to two models in the amount of RUB 64.16 per USD.

Projected Euro/USD exchange rate over the period under review will average USD 1.12 per 1 euro.

THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

This section (Table 11) presents results of calculations of monthly forecast values of index of real wages, as well as quarterly forecast values of real disposable cash income and real cash income² as were obtained on the basis of models of time series of respective indexes computed by Rosstat and taken over the period from January 1999 to November 2019, as well as from Q1 2014 to Q3 2019. The above indexes depend to a certain extent on the centralized decisions on raising of wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as those on raising of pensions, scholarships, and allowances; such a situation introduces some changes in the dynamics of the indexes under review. Consequently, the future values of the indexes of real wages and real disposable cash income calculated on the basis of the series which last observations are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such a raise may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

The results presented in Table 11, predict growth in real wages. The real wages are expected to gain on average at 4.9% compared to the same period of the previous year.

Results presented in Table 12 project average quarterly gain in real disposable cash income by 0.6% and real cash income by 0.8% compared to the corresponding period of the last year.

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

For the purpose of calculation of the future values of employment (the number of gainfully employed population) and the unemployment (the total number of unemployed), models of the time series evaluated over the period

¹ The Bulletin uses the IMF data related to Euro/USD exchange rate for the period from January 1999 to December 2019, and on USD/RUB exchange rate from October 1998 to December 2019. Data for January 2020 were taken from the exchange rate website www.oanda.com.

² *Real cash income* – a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of nominal size (i.e. which was formed in the period under review) of cash income of the population by the CPI. *The real disposable cash income* – is cash income less mandatory payments and contributions. (See: *Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212*).

Table 10
Forecasts of the USD/RUB and EUR/USD exchange rates

Period	The USD/RUB exchange rate (RUB per USD)		The EUR/USD exchange rate (USD per EUR)	
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM
February 2020	63.71	63.45	1.11	1.12
March 2020	64.29	63.82	1.11	1.12
April 2020	64.35	63.82	1.11	1.12
May 2020	64.57	64.00	1.11	1.12
June 2020	64.74	64.09	1.11	1.13
July 2020	64.92	64.21	1.11	1.13
For reference: actual values in the similar period of 2019				
February 2019	65.76		1.14	
March 2019	64.73		1.12	
April 2019	64.69		1.12	
May 2019	65.06		1.11	
June 2019	63.08		1.14	
July 2019	63.38		1.11	

Note. Over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

Table 11
The forecast of the real wages

Period	Real accrued wages
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (as % to the respective month of 2019)	
February 2020	103.9
March 2020	104.3
April 2020	104.7
May 2020	105.1
June 2020	105.4
July 2020	105.7
For reference: actual values in the respective period of 2019 (as % to the same period of 2018)	
February 2019	100.0
March 2019	102.3
April 2019	103.1
May 2019	101.6
June 2019	102.9
July 2019	103.0

Note. For calculation purposes, the series of real wages in base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to November 2019 those series were attributed to the class of processes, which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

from October 1998 to November 2019 on the basis of the monthly data released by Rosstat¹ were used. The unemployment was calculated on the basis of the models with results of the findings from business surveys² too.

It is to be noted that feasible logical inconsistencies³ in forecasts of employment and unemployment which totals should be equal to the index of gainfully employed population may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of gainfully employed population and another index.

Table 12

The forecast of the living standard indexes

Period	Real disposable cash income	Real cash income
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (as % to the corresponding quarter of 2019)		
Q1 2020	100.4	100.6
Q2 2020	100.9	101.0
For reference: actual values for the respective period of 2019 (in % to the same period of 2018)		
Q1 2019	98.2	99.2
Q2 2019	101.0	101.5

Table 13

Calculation of forecast values of employment and unemployment indexes

Month	Employment (ARIMA)		Unemployment (ARIMA)			Unemployment (BS)		
	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population
February 2020	71.8	0.4	3.6	-3.1	5.0	3.5	-5.4	4.9
March 2020	71.9	0.6	3.5	-0.1	4.9	3.5	0.0	4.9
April 2020	71.9	0.7	3.5	-2.0	4.9	3.5	-2.8	4.9
May 2020	72.3	0.9	3.3	-1.8	4.6	3.5	2.9	4.8
June 2020	72.5	0.7	3.3	-1.1	4.5	3.4	4.0	4.7
July 2020	72.6	0.5	3.4	-1.0	4.6	3.4	0.8	4.7
For reference: actual values in the same periods of 2019 (million people)								
February 2019	71,5					3.7		
March 2019	71.5					3.5		
April 2019	71.4					3.6		
May 2019	71.6					3.4		
June 2019	72					3.3		
July 2019	72,2					3.4		

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to November 2019, the series of employment is a stochastic process which is stationary around the trend. The series of unemployment is a stochastic process with the first order integration. Both indexes include seasonal component.

According to ARIMA-model forecast (Table 13), in February-July 2020, the increase in the number of employed in the economy will average 0.6% per month against the corresponding period of the previous year.

The average decrease in the total number of unemployed is forecast at 0.8% per month against the same period of last year.

¹ The index is computed in accordance with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

² The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to November 2019.

³ For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in employment and unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of gainfully employed population.

Diagrams of the Time Series of the Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation

Fig. 1a. The Rosstat industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of December 2001)




Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2010)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)





Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2010)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2010)




Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)





Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2010)



01/2020

Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)





Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2010)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig.7a. The Rosstat industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)





Fig. 8b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in January 2010)



01/2020

Fig. 9. The volume of retail sales (billion RUB)




Fig. 9a. The real volume of retail sales (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig.10. Export to all countries (billion USD)





Fig. 11. Export to countries outside the CIS (billion USD)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 12. Import from all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 14. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 14a. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)



01/2020

ANNEX

Fig.15. The producer price index for industrial goods (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 18. The price index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 19. The price index for food products
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 21. The price index for wood products
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)



ANNEX

Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 26. The price index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 27. The price index for transport equipment manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUB)




Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)





Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)



ANNEX

Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs
(for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 32. The Brent oil price (\$ per barrel)




Fig. 33. The aluminum price (\$ per ton)





Fig. 34. The gold price (\$ per ounce)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 35. The nickel price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 36. The copper price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 37. The monetary base, billion RUB




Fig. 38. M2, billion RUB




Fig. 39. The international reserves of the Russian Federation, million USD




Fig. 40. The RUB/USD exchange rate




Fig. 41. The USD/EUR exchange rate





Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 43. Real cash income
(as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 44. Real accrued wages
(as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 45. Employment (million people)





Fig. 46. Unemployment (million people)



01/2020

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INDICES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION: JANUARY 2020

Index	November 2019	December 2019	January 2020	February 2020	March 2020	April 2020	May 2020	June 2020	July 2020
Rosstat IIP (growth rate, %)*	0.3	1.4	1.6	1.1	2.0	1.4	1.3	2.2	1.6
HSE IIP (growth rate %)*	0.7	1.5	1.0	1.5	2.9	1.5	2.0	2.9	1.9
Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	1.4	0.4	1.4	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.5	1.2	1.5
HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	-0.2	-0.1	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.0
Rosstat IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	0.1	2.3	1.6	0.6	2.2	1.1	2.3	1.4	1.7
HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	1.4	4.0	1.9	2.2	5.3	1.8	4.5	3.4	1.3
Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	0.0	-0.4	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.2	-0.5	0.7	0.6
HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	0.0	-6.1	-2.4	-0.6	0.4	-0.1	1.2	1.9	1.8
Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	4.3	3.3	5.1	2.5	4.1	2.2	3.1	5.2	2.7
HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	3.7	9.5	6.4	4.7	3.1	3.3	4.1	4.6	1.8
Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	4.1	1.4	1.8	2.7	2.7	5.3	3.7	2.7	-0.7
HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	-1.6	-3.5	-1.2	-1.0	1.6	-1.2	3.9	1.4	-3.4
Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-7.3	1.4	-2.4	-5.7	5.9	2.7	-3.7	-2.1	7.8
HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	1.9	2.3	-0.3	2.9	2.4	-1.3	0.6	-0.5	-0.6
Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*	6.0	-14.1	4.2	-17.3	-4.6	-6.5	-0.2	-5.1	3.9
HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*	7.2	-7.2	5.8	-3.8	-4.8	-4.9	1.1	-8.0	-9.2
Retail sales, trillion Rb	2.92	3.47	2.63	2.57	2.66	2.79	2.84	2.89	2.96
Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*	2.3	1.9	1.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	1.7	1.1	1.5
Export to all countries (billion \$)	35.5	39.0	29.5	35.4	38.1	38.7	38.0	38.8	38.6
Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	30.4	33.5	26.0	32.5	33.1	33.3	33.6	33.5	33.2
Import from all countries (billion \$)	23.2	24.2	17.0	19.9	21.6	21.5	21.4	20.9	20.5
Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	20.8	21.7	15.2	16.4	18.0	18.2	18.3	18.3	18.2
CPI (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2
PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**	-0.8	-0.3	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3
PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**	-0.8	-6.7	3.0	2.1	-0.2	-5.4	0.5	-0.9	-1.8
PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	-0.9	-1.3	-0.1	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.4
PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**	-0.5	-0.2	-0.1	1.6	0.5	-0.5	0.5	-0.6	0.7
PPI for food products (growth rate, %)**	-0.4	-0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.9
PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**	-0.9	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2
PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.0
PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**	-1.6	0.1	0.2	-0.3	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0
PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**	-2.2	-0.5	-2.5	3.4	2.7	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.3
PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**	-2.0	-1.8	-0.5	-0.4	-0.7	-1.3	-1.4	-1.3	-1.2
PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**	-2.6	-1.5	0.5	1.1	-0.4	0.5	1.2	1.1	1.3
PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**	-0.2	0.4	1.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	-0.4	0.4	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.4

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Index	November 2019	December 2019	January 2020	February 2020	March 2020	April 2020	May 2020	June 2020	July 2020
The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb)	4.03	4.07	4.10	4.13	4.19	4.25	4.36	4.38	4.35
The composite index of transportation tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.1	0.0	1.5	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.0	3.6	-3.2	-4.2	1.7	6.7	0.8	0.0	1.7
The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	-0.1	-0.1	3.2
The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)	62.4	66.0	67.3	69.1	71.5	74.3	77.3	80.7	84.3
The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)	1.78	1.79	1.80	1.81	1.82	1.82	1.82	1.83	1.83
The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)	1.47	1.48	1.49	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.52	1.53	1.53
The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)	5.88	6.17	6.28	6.37	6.42	6.46	6.50	6.53	6.56
The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)	13.7	14.1	14.8	14.9	14.5	14.9	14.6	14.8	15.1
The monetary base (trillion Rb)	10.5	10.5	11.0	10.6	10.8	10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0
M2 (trillion Rb)	48.1	49.2	50.4	50.1	50.4	50.1	50.4	50.1	50.4
Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)	0.54	0.54	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.56
The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)	64.08	61.91	63.52	63.58	64.06	64.09	64.29	64.42	64.57
The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)	1.10	1.13	1.11	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12	1.12
Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*	2.7	3.1	3.5	3.9	4.3	4.7	5.1	5.4	5.7
Employment (million people)	72.7	72.7	71.5	71.8	71.9	71.9	72.3	72.5	72.6
Unemployment (million people)	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4

Note. Actual values are printed in the bold type

* % of the respective month of the previous year

** % of the previous month.