# 5,2016

## MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova, A.Buzaev, T.Kiblitskaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

| INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES         | 2  |
|--|----|
| INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES | 5  |
| FOREIGN TRADE INDICES                  | 8  |
| DYNAMICS OF PRICES                     | 8  |
| MONETARY INDICES                       | 11 |
| INTERNATIONAL RESERVES                 | 11 |
| FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES                 | 12 |
| THE LIVING STANDARD INDICES            | 12 |
| EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT            | 13 |
| ANNEX                                  | 14 |

#### INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations of various economic indicators for the Russian Federation in the period from June to November 2016, which were performed using time series models developed as a result of research conducted by the Gaidar Institute over the past few years<sup>1</sup>. A method of forecasting falls within the group of formal or statistical methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the opinion nor expert evaluation of the researcher, rather they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator, which were performed using formal ARIMA-models (p, d, q) given a prevailing trend and its, in some cases, significant changes. The presented forecasts are of inertial nature, because respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the time horizon to be forecast. The foregoing calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making decisions on economic policy, provided that the general trends, which were seen prior to forecasting for each specific indicator, remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies<sup>2</sup> which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts was deteriorated in most of the cases when the data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included into the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes<sup>3</sup>.

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, which were calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute, and volumes of imports/exports from/to all countries, which were calculated using structural models (SM). The forecast values based on the structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding information of the dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides, the

<sup>1</sup> See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshevsky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. The Econometric Analysis of the Time Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indices. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indices. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitskaya, Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indices of the Russian Federation. Moscow, IET, 2010.

<sup>3</sup> See: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1997, 80, pp. 355–385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1992, 10, pp. 251–270.

#### INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

use of structural forecasts in making aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

The dynamics of the Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from the monetary theory. The following was used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because the dynamics of manufacturers' costs relies heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator to be noted is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are most significant factors, which determine the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports of goods. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – were added so that seasonal fluctuations were factored in. The dynamics of imports is effected by personal and corporate incomes whose increase triggers higher demand for all goods including imported ones. The real disposable money income reflects the personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects the corporate income.

The forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

The forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA-models (p, d, q).

The paper also presents calculations of the values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index, which were calculated using the results of business surveys conducted by the Gaidar Institute. Empirical studies show<sup>1</sup> that the use of series of business surveys as explanatory variables<sup>2</sup> in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on the average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to chose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st, 3rd and 12th lags of the variable itself. All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

<sup>1</sup> See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

<sup>2</sup> Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF INDICES OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION! (%)

Note: in the time spans under review, the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain indices of IIP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary processes around the trend with an endogenous structural change; the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for mining and Rosstat chain IIP for machinery and equipment are identified as stationary processes around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The time series of other chain indices are stationary at levels.

1 It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indices (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

#### INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

#### **Industrial production**

For making forecast for June–November of 2016, the series of monthly data of the indices of industrial production released by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 to March 2016, as well as the series of the base indices of industrial production released by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE¹) over the period from January 1999 to April 2016 were used (the value of January 2000 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecast values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indices of industrial production are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The obtained results are shown in Table 1.

As seen from *Table 1*, the average<sup>2</sup> increment of the index of industrial production computed by the NRU HSE in June–November 2016 against the same period of the previous year amounts to 0.7% on industry as a whole. For the index of industrial production computed by Rosstat, this indicator constitutes 1.1%.

The average monthly values of the index of industrial production for mining computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE will come to 1.1% and 2.4%, respectively in June–November 2016. In production of coke and petroleum products, Rosstat and the NRU HSE forecast average growth at (-2.2%) and 0.2%, respectively. In June–November 2016 in comparison with the same period of last year, the average increment of the NRU HSE index of industrial production in manufacturing is forecast at 1.0% and the Rosstat index at 1.9%. The average monthly values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE index for industrial production for food products constitute 2.3% and 2.3%, respectively. The average monthly values of the index of industrial production for primary metals and fabricated metal products in June–November 2016 computed by Rosstat and NRU HSE constitute 0.8% and

(-0.7%), respectively. In manufacture of machinery, the average increase is forecast at 5.5% and 7.5% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indices, respectively.

The average increment of the index of industrial production for utilities (electricity, gas and water) computed by Rosstat for June–November 2016 in comparison with the same period of the previous year constitutes 1.4%; the same indicator for the NRU HSE index comes to (-0.2%).

#### **Retail Sales**

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – April 2016.

As seen from *Table 2*, the average forecast increment of the monthly retail sales amounts to around 4.0 % for June–November 2016 against the corresponding period of 2015. The average forecast decrease of the monthly real retail sales for the period from June 2016 through November 2016 against the same period of 2015 constitutes 4.1%.

Table 2
CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF THE
RETAIL SALES AND THE REAL RETAIL SALES

| REI    | ail sales and the reat  | L RETAIL SALES   |
|--------|---|--|
| Fo     | orecast value according to A  | ARIMA-model  |
|        | Retail sales, billion RUR<br>(in brackets – growth on<br>the respective month of<br>the previous year, %) | Real retail sales (as % of the respective period of the previous year) |
| Jun 16 | 2313.4 (3.5)  | 96.6   |
| Jul 16 | 2390.4 (3.4)  | 95.3   |
| Aug 16 | 2470.2 (3.5)  | 94.8   |
| Sep 16 | 2423.1 (3.8)  | 96.2   |
| Oct 16 | 2478.3 (4.2)  | 96.7   |
| Nov 16 | 2521.3 (5.6)  | 95.7   |
| For r  | eference: actual values in t<br>of 2014   | the same months  |
| Jun 15 | 2235.4  | 90.8   |
| Jul 15 | 2312.7  | 90.8   |
| Aug 15 | 2387.0  | 90.8   |
| Sep 15 | 2333.4  | 89.5   |
| Oct 15 | 2378.1  | 88.3   |
| Nov 15 | 2387.3  | 87.8   |
|        |   |  |

*Note*: the series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – April 2016.

<sup>1</sup> The indices in question are calculated by E.A. Baranov and Vladimir Bessonov.

<sup>2</sup> The average growth of industrial production indices is understood here as the average value of the said indices for six forecast months.

Table 3

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF VOLUMES OF FOREIGN TRADE TURNOVER WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE CIS

|        |  | ()  |  |  | 77.76                                       |            | 7 (2) (1)   | 7110                                | 7 5 5                                       |                       |  |  |   | 7 1 1 1               |  |                             |
|--------|--|---|--|--|---|------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|
|        | I  | Export to a                                 | Export to all countries  | 3  | Im  | port from  | Import from all countries   | Se                                  | Export t                                    | o countrie            | Export to countries outside the CIS                                    | he CIS                                       | Import fr                                   | om countr             | Import from countries outside the CIS                                  | the CIS                     |
| Month  | Forecast value<br>(billion USD<br>a month) | Forecast values<br>(billion USD<br>a month) | Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year | Percentage of<br>tual data in the<br>sspective month<br>he previous year | Forecast values<br>(billion USD<br>a month) |            | Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year  | tage of ta in the e month ious year | Forecast values<br>(billion USD<br>a month) | values<br>USD<br>nth) | Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year | tage of<br>ta in the<br>e month<br>ious year | Forecast values<br>(billion USD<br>a month) | values<br>USD<br>nth) | Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year | age of<br>a in the<br>month |
|        | ARIMA                                      | $_{ m SM}$                                  | ARIMA  | $_{ m SM}$   | ARIMA                                       | SM         | ARIMA   | SM                                  | ARIMA                                       | $_{ m SM}$            | ARIMA  | SM   | ARIMA                                       | $_{ m SM}$            | ARIMA  | SM                          |
| Jun 16 | 28.6                                       | 24.2  | 95   | 80   | 15.2  | 15.4       | 94  | 95                                  | 24.5  | 19.2                  | 95   | 74   | 13.4  | 11.8                  | 96   | 84                          |
| Jul 16 | 26.7                                       | 26.0  | 86   | 95   | 17.6  | 16.9       | 105   | 101                                 | 23.5  | 22.5                  | 101  | 96   | 15.8  | 13.1                  | 108  | 06                          |
| Aug 16 | 25.7                                       | 26.5  | 102  | 106  | 17.1  | 15.1       | 105   | 93                                  | 22.0  | 24.0                  | 103  | 113  | 14.4  | 12.8                  | 101  | 89                          |
| Sep 16 | 26.8                                       | 25.0  | 102  | 95   | 16.2  | 16.2       | 96  | 96                                  | 24.0  | 23.2                  | 106  | 103  | 14.0  | 13.9                  | 93   | 93                          |
| Oct 16 | 25.2                                       | 27.3  | 93   | 101  | 17.3  | 15.9       | 102   | 94                                  | 25.7  | 25.3                  | 113  | 1111   | 14.5  | 13.7                  | 96   | 91                          |
| Nov 16 | 24.4                                       | 28.3  | 96   | 111  | 17.0  | 16.5       | 103   | 100                                 | 21.3  | 25.3                  | 66   | 118  | 14.8  | 13.1                  | 101  | 89                          |
|        |  |   |  |  | For refer                                   | ence: actu | For reference: actual values in respective months of 2015 (billion USD) | respective                          | e months o                                  | f 2015 (bil           | lion USD)  |  |   |                       |  |                             |
| Jun 15 |  | 30  | 30.1   |  |   | 16.2       | .2  |                                     |   | 25.8                  | 8.   |  |   | 14.0                  | 0.   |                             |
| Jul 15 |  | 27  | 27.3   |  |   | 16.7       | 7.  |                                     |   | 23.4                  | .4   |  |   | 14.6                  | 9.   |                             |
| Aug 15 |  | 25  | 25.1   |  |   | 16.3       | 6.3   |                                     |   | 21.3                  | 6.3  |  |   | 14.3                  | <i>ي</i> غ   |                             |
| Sep 15 |  | 26  | 26.3   |  |   | 16.8       | 8:  |                                     |   | 22.6                  | 9.   |  |   | 15.0                  | 0.   |                             |
| Oct 15 |  | 27  | 27.0   |  |   | 16.9       | 6.  |                                     |   | 22.7                  | 7.   |  |   | 15.0                  | 0.   |                             |
| Nov 15 |  | 25  | 25.4   |  |   | 16.5       | 10  |                                     |   | 21.5                  | 10   |  |   | 14.7                  | 7.   |                             |

Note: over the period from January 1999 to April 2016, the series of export, import, export to the countries outside the CIS and import from the countries outside the CIS were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF PRICE INDICES

|           |                         | for transport equip-<br>ment manufacturing  |  | 100.5  | 100.4  | 100.7  | 100.0              | 101.1  | 100.4    |                                | 103.6  | 104.0  | 104.8  | 104.8               | 106.0  | 106.4  |   | 109.3  | 113.2  | 115.1  | 116.1  | 116.6  | 115.9  |
|-----------|-------------------------|---|--|--------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|           |                         | bns vaninasm rot<br>equipment               |  | 101.0  | 100.5  | 100.8  | 100.9              | 100.6  | 101.1    |                                | 107.9  | 108.4  | 109.4  | 110.4               | 1111.1 | 112.3  |   | 107.1  | 109.3  | 110.3  | 111.6  | 113.0  | 113.5  |
|           |                         | bns alstem oissd rot<br>fabricated metal    |  | 99.4   | 100.0  | 100.3  | 100.4              | 100.5  | 100.7    |                                | 101.9  | 101.9  | 102.2  | 102.6               | 103.2  | 103.8  |   | 110.3  | 111.6  | 112.9  | 114.3  | 114.7  | 113.1  |
|           |                         | for chemical industry                       |  | 100.0  | 100.1  | 100.9  | 100.9              | 101.1  | 6.66     |                                | 102.0  | 102.1  | 103.1  | 104.0               | 105.1  | 105.0  |   | 111.1  | 113.1  | 115.9  | 118.6  | 119.9  | 119.5  |
|           |                         | гот соке апа гебпеа<br>рестојеит            |  | 102.5  | 102.3  | 102.1  | 101.5              | 101.0  | 100.4    |                                | 106.5  | 109.0  | 111.2  | 112.9               | 114.0  | 114.5  |   | 113.8  | 117.6  | 116.3  | 114.3  | 113.3  | 108.7  |
|           |                         | ror pulp and paper<br>Yrtzubni              |  | 100.6  | 100.6  | 100.6  | 100.6              | 100.6  | 100.2    |                                | 109.2  | 109.8  | 110.4  | 111.1               | 1111.7 | 112.0  | r 2014)   | 113.3  | 113.9  | 114.9  | 115.7  | 116.9  | 118.2  |
|           | ndices:                 | for wood products                           |  | 100.7  | 100.4  | 6.66   | 100.3              | 100.3  | 100.1    |                                | 103.9  | 104.3  | 104.2  | 104.5               | 104.9  | 105.0  | For reference: actual values in the same periods of 2015 (% of December 2014) | 108.4  | 108.2  | 108.5  | 109.4  | 109.2  | 109.3  |
|           | Producer price indices: | gniwəs and sewing<br>Yatsubni               | month)                                   | 100.9  | 100.8  | 100.8  | 100.7              | 100.7  | 100.8    | 2015)                          | 107.4  | 108.3  | 109.1  | 109.9               | 110.6  | 111.6  | 015 (% of   | 109.7  | 110.0  | 111.3  | 111.9  | 112.9  | 113.6  |
| v ALOLS O | Produc                  | stoubord bool rol                           | previous                                 | 100.7  | 101.0  | 100.9  | 100.5              | 100.5  | 100.5    |                                | 102.9  | 103.9  | 104.9  | 105.4               | 105.9  | 106.4  | riods of 2  | 109.3  | 110.0  | 109.9  | 111.2  | 112.1  | 113.2  |
|           |                         | for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) | (% of the                                | 99.2   | 100.3  | 101.9  | 100.3              | 100.2  | 100.9    | es (% of $\Gamma$              | 9.66   | 6.66   | 101.8  | 102.2               | 102.4  | 103.2  | same be   | 97.5   | 102.8  | 106.6  | 105.8  | 107.9  | 109.2  |
|           |                         | garintəsinasm rot                           | orecast values (% of the previous month) | 100.2  | 100.4  | 100.0  | 101.0              | 101.2  | 100.8    | Forecast values (% of December | 103.6  | 104.1  | 104.1  | 105.1               | 106.4  | 107.2  | ues in the  | 109.9  | 1111.7 | 112.2  | 113.0  | 113.5  | 112.7  |
|           |                         | -rsup bns gninim rot<br>gnizr               | Foreca                                   | 97.1   | 8.66   | 98.3   | 101.4              | 94.3   | 7.76     | Fore                           | 88.7   | 88.5   | 87.0   | 88.2                | 83.2   | 81.3   | actual val  | 126.4  | 125.2  | 121.4  | 112.7  | 120.0  | 118.0  |
|           |                         | sboog Isirtzubni rof<br>(MH)                |  | 100.7  | 100.7  | 101.7  | 101.7              | 100.6  | 101.1    |                                | 104.9  | 105.6  | 107.4  | 109.3               | 109.9  | 111.1  | ference: 8  |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|           |                         | sboog lairtsubni rof<br>(SB)                |  | 100.4  | 101.7  | 100.1  | 0.66               | 100.4  | 100.0    |                                | 101.8  | 103.5  | 103.6  | 102.6               | 103.0  | 103.0  | For re  | 111.5  | 113.2  | 113.3  | 112.0  | 114.0  | 113.2  |
|           |                         | eboog Isirtsubni rof<br>(AMIAA)             |  | 100.6  | 100.9  | 100.3  | 100.2              | 100.7  | 99.5     |                                | 103.4  | 104.4  | 104.7  | 105.0               | 105.6  | 105.1  |   |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|           | хə                      | Dni eoirq nemusnoo edT<br>(MA)              |  | 100.6  | 100.6  | 100.4  | 100.4              | 100.6  | 100.8    |                                | 104.5  | 105.1  | 105.5  | 105.9               | 106.6  | 107.4  |   |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|           | хә                      | bni əəirq rəmusnoə əAT<br>(MS)              |  | 100.3  | 100.3  | 100.2  | 100.4              | 100.5  | 100.5    |                                | 103.2  | 103.6  | 103.8  | 104.2               | 104.7  | 105.2  |   | 108.6  | 109.5  | 110.0  | 110.6  | 111.4  | 112.3  |
|           | хə                      | bni əsiriq rəmusnos əAT<br>(AMIAA)          |  | 100.5  | 100.7  | 100.2  | 100.5              | 100.6  | 100.5    |                                | 103.8  | 104.5  | 104.6  | 105.2               | 105.8  | 106.4  |   |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|           |                         | Month                                       |  | Jun 16 | Jul 16 | Aug 16 | $\mathrm{Sep}\;16$ | Oct 16 | Nov $16$ |                                | Jun 16 | Jul 16 | Aug 16 | $\mathrm{Sep}\; 16$ | Oct 16 | Nov 16 |   | Jun 15 | Jul 15 | Aug 15 | Sep 15 | Oct 15 | Nov 15 |
|           |                         |   |  |        |        |        |                    |        |          |                                |        |        |        |                     |        |        |   |        |        |        |        |        |        |

Note: over the period from January 1999 to March 2016, the series of the chain producer price index for machinery are identified as a stationary process around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indices are stationary at levels.

#### **FOREIGN TRADE INDICES**

Model calculations of forecast values of the export and export to countries outside the CIS and the import and import from countries outside the CIS were made on the basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to April 2016 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia<sup>1</sup>. The results of calculations are shown in Table 3.

The average forecast increment of exports, imports, exports outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS for June–November 2016 against the same period of 2015 will amount to -2.4%, -1.2%, 2.2%, and -5.7%, respectively. The average forecast surplus volume of the trade balance with all countries for June–November 2016 will constitute \$59.1bn, which corresponds to a decrease by 4.3% on the same period of 2015.

#### **DYNAMICS OF PRICES**

#### The Consumer Price Index and Producer Price Index

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the consumer price index and producer price index (as regards both the industry in general and some types of its activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) made on the basis of the time-series models evaluated on the

basis of the data released by Rosstat over the period from January 1999 to March 2016<sup>2</sup>. Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values over June and November 2016 in accordance with ARIMA models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The forecast average monthly growth of the consumer price index in June–November 2016 will come to 0.5%. The price growth of industrial goods for this period is forecast at an average monthly rate of 0.6%. It should be noted that for this indicator forecasts along the factor model significantly exceed forecasts along two other models.

For the producer price indices computed by Rosstat for June–November 2016, the following average monthly growth rates are forecast: for mining and quarrying (-1.9%), manufacturing 0.6%, utilities (electricity, water, and gas) 0.5%, food products 0.7%, textile and sewing industry 0.8%, wood products 0.3%, pulp and paper industry 0.5%, coke and refined petroleum 1.6%, for chemical industry 0.5%, for basic metals and fabricated metal 0.2%, for machinery and equipment 0.8%, and for transport equipment and manufacturing 0.5%.

THE FORECAST OF THE COST OF THE MONTHLY
PER CAPITA MINIMUM FOOD BASKET

| Forecast values according to ARIMA-model (RUR)  Jun 16 3799.5  Jul 16 3815.6  Aug 16 3762.0  Sep 16 3725.3  Oct 16 3732.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Jul 16       3815.6         Aug 16       3762.0         Sep 16       3725.3   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 16     3762.0       Sep 16     3725.3   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep 16 3725.3   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 16 3732.4   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.32.1  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 16 3662.9   |  |  |  |  |  |
| For reference: actual values in the same months of 2015 (billion RUR)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun 15 3792.7   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 15 3765.8   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 15 3583.9   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep 15 3516.7   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 15 3516.5   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 15 3547.2   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jun 16 0.2  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 16 1.3  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 16 5.0  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep 16 5.9  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 16 6.1  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 16 3.3  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Note*: the series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 to March 2016 are stationary in the first-order differences.

<sup>1</sup> The data on the foreign trade turnover is calculated by the CBR in accordance with the methods for making of the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

<sup>2</sup> Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

#### The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over June-November 2016. The forecasts were made based on time series with use the Rosstat data over the period from January 2000 to March 2016. The results are shown in Table 5.

As can be seen from *Table 5*, cost growth of the minimum set of food products is forecast compared to the corresponding period of the previous year. Herewith, forecast cost of the minimum set of food products constitutes around RUR 3,750.0. The forecast cost growth of the minimum set of food products will average around 3.6% compared to the level of the corresponding period of the previous year.

#### **Indices of Freight Rates**

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight rate indices on cargo carriage<sup>1</sup>, made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to March 2016. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in June–November of 2016. It should be noted that some of the indices under review (for instance, the pipeline rate index) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase of rates in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF INDICES OF FREIGHT RATES

Table 6

| Period         | The composite                       | The index   | The index        |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|
|                | freight rate index                  | of truckload freight rate<br>o ARIMA-models (% of the previou | of pipeline rate |
| June 2016      | 99.5                                | 100.0   | 102.4            |
| July 2016      | 103.0                               | 100.0   | 100.5            |
| August 2016    | 99.4                                | 100.0   | 100.6            |
| September 2016 | 99.4                                | 100.0   | 99.8             |
| October 2016   | 99.4                                | 100.0   | 100.0            |
| November 2016  | 99.4                                | 100.0   | 100.6            |
|                | Forecast values according to ARI    | MA-models (% of December of the                               | previous year)   |
| June 2016      | 102.0                               | 100.9   | 92.9             |
| July 2016      | 105.1                               | 100.9   | 95.1             |
| August 2016    | 104.5                               | 100.9   | 95.6             |
| September 2016 | 103.9                               | 100.9   | 96.1             |
| October 2016   | 103.3                               | 100.9   | 95.9             |
| November 2016  | 102.7                               | 100.9   | 95.9             |
|                | For reference: actual values in the | ne same period of 2015 (% of the pr                           | revious month)   |
| June 2015      | 100.6                               | 100.6   | 100.8            |
| July 2015      | 107.0                               | 100.2   | 114.2            |
| August 2015    | 100.9                               | 101.8   | 100.7            |
| September 2015 | 100.1                               | 100.5   | 99.9             |
| October 2015   | 94.5                                | 99.6  | 89.6             |
| November 2015  | 100.2                               | 100.2   | 100.2            |

*Note*: over the period from September 1998 to March 2016, the series of the freight rates index were identified as stationary ones; the other series were identified as stationary ones over the period from September 1998 to February 2016, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were used in respect of all the series.

<sup>1</sup> The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the truckload freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indices by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and truckload freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: *Prices in Russia*. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

#### 5'2016 MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

According to the forecast results for June–November 2016, the composite freight rate index will stay unchanged. In July 2016, seasonal growth of the composite index of truckload freight is projected by 3.0 p.p., which will offset a slight fall in tariffs during the remainder part of the half-year under review.

The index of truckload freight rate will remain unchanged in the course of given six months. The forecast monthly growth of the index of pipeline rate will average 0.6%.

#### **World Prices of Natural Resources**

This section presents calculations of such average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), the aluminium prices (US\$ per ton), the gold prices (\$ per ounce), the copper prices (US\$ per ton) and the nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over June-November 2016 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of the IMF data over the period from January 1980 to April 2016.

Table 7
CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF WORLD PRICES ON NATURAL RESOURCES

|                |                 |                      | WORLD I RICES C      |              |              |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Month          | Brent oil       | Aluminum             | Gold                 | Copper       | Nickel       |
|                | (\$ per barrel) | (\$ per ton)         | (\$ per ounce)       | (\$ per ton) | (\$ per ton) |
|                |                 | Forecast             | values               |              |              |
| June 2016      | 55.28           | 1592                 | 1278                 | 4863         | 9064         |
| July 2016      | 56.82           | 1598                 | 1310                 | 4809         | 9121         |
| August 2016    | 51.14           | 1599                 | 1314                 | 4798         | 9164         |
| September 2016 | 51.23           | 1594                 | 1314                 | 4839         | 9277         |
| October 2016   | 48.58           | 1591                 | 1336                 | 4900         | 9293         |
| November 2016  | 48.31           | 1587                 | 1365                 | 4961         | 9313         |
|                | Expected grow   | th on the respective | e month of the previ | ous year (%) |              |
| June 2015      | -11.3           | -5.7                 | 8.2                  | -16.6        | -29.3        |
| July 2015      | 1.7             | -2.6                 | 15.9                 | -11.9        | -20.1        |
| August 2015    | 8.8             | 3.3                  | 17.6                 | -6.4         | -11.8        |
| September 2015 | 8.5             | 0.3                  | 16.8                 | -7.2         | -6.7         |
| October 2015   | 1.0             | 4.9                  | 15.3                 | -6.1         | -9.9         |
| November 2015  | 8.8             | 8.1                  | 25.7                 | 3.4          | 0.7          |
|                | For refer       | ence: actual values  | in the same period   | of 2015      |              |
| June 2015      | 62.35           | 1688                 | 1182                 | 5833         | 12825        |
| July 2015      | 55.87           | 1640                 | 1130                 | 5457         | 11413        |
| August 2015    | 46.99           | 1548                 | 1117                 | 5127         | 10386        |
| September 2015 | 47.23           | 1590                 | 1125                 | 5217         | 9938         |
| October 2015   | 48.12           | 1516                 | 1159                 | 5216         | 10317        |
| November 2015  | 44.42           | 1468                 | 1086                 | 4800         | 9244         |

*Note*: over the period from January 1980 to April 2016, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper and aluminum are series of DS type.

The average forecast of crude oil price amounts to around \$51.9 per barrel, which is above its corresponding year-earlier indices on average by 2.9%. Aluminum prices are forecast at around \$1,593.0 per ton and their average forecast growth constitutes around 1% compared to the same level last year. Forecast for gold prices constitute around \$1320.0 per ounce. Forecast average copper prices constitute around \$4,862 per ton and of nickel prices – about \$9,205 per ton. Average forecast price growth on gold constitutes around 17%, average reduction of copper prices – about 7%, average reduction of nickel prices – 13% compared to the corresponding level of last year.

#### MONETARY INDICES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR)) and M<sub>2</sub> monetary aggregate over the period from June to November 2016 were received on the basis of models of time-series of respective indices calculated by the CBR<sup>1</sup> over the period from October 1998 to May (April – for M2 time series) 2016. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indices in the same period of previous year. It is to be noted that due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In June–November 2016, the monetary base will be growing in the intervening period with a monthly rate of 0.8%, and monetary indicator  $\rm M_2-$  at an average monthly rate of 0.3%.

### THE FORECAST OF M<sub>2</sub> AND THE MONETARY BASE

|         | The Mo         | onetary base                             |                | $\mathbf{M}_2$                           |
|---------|----------------|--|----------------|--|
| Period  | Billion<br>RUR | Growth<br>on the<br>previous<br>month, % | Billion<br>RUR | Growth<br>on the<br>previous<br>month, % |
| Jun 16  | 8400           | -1.0                                     | 35755          | 0.5                                      |
| Jul 16  | 8601           | 2.4                                      | 35920          | 0.5                                      |
| Aug 16  | 8526           | -0.9                                     | 36084          | 0.5                                      |
| Sep 16  | 8730           | 2.4                                      | 36248          | 0.5                                      |
| Oct 16  | 8655           | -0.9                                     | 36412          | 0.5                                      |
| Nov 16  | 8861           | 2.4                                      | 36576          | 0.4                                      |
| For ref | erence: a      | ctual value in                           | the respe      | ctive months                             |
| of      | 2015 (gr       | owth on the pr                           | evious m       | onth, %)                                 |
| Jun 15  |                | -2.0                                     |                | 0.6                                      |
| Jul 15  |                | 1.3                                      |                | 0.6                                      |
| Aug 15  |                | 1.0                                      |                | 0.5                                      |
| Sep 15  |                | 0.6                                      |                | 1.1                                      |
| Oct 15  |                | -1.6                                     |                | -0.2                                     |

*Note*: over the period from October 1998 to May (April) 2016, all the time series of monetary indices were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

Nov 15

#### INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of such future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation<sup>2</sup> as were received on the basis of evaluation of the model of time series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves on the basis of the data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to April 2016. That index is forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may happen to be overestimated (or, otherwise, underestimated) as compared to the actual ones.

Subsequent to the forecast results in June–November 2016, the international reserves will be growing by an average monthly rate of 0.4%.

Table 9
THE FORECAST OF THE INTERNATIONAL
RESERVES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

| 111    | DERVEO OT THE    | L ROOOD ATTEDLIA MOT                 |  |  |  |  |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Period | Forecast valu    | es according to ARIMA-model          |  |  |  |  |
|        | Billion USD      | Growth on the previous month, %      |  |  |  |  |
| Jun 16 | 392.9            | 0.5                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 16 | 394.2            | 0.3                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 16 | 395.9            | 0.4                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep 16 | 397.7            | 0.5                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 16 | 399.5            | 0.4                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 16 | 401.3            | 0.4                                  |  |  |  |  |
| For    | reference: actua | al values in the same period of 2015 |  |  |  |  |
| Jun 15 | 356.8            | 0.2                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Jul 15 | 361.6            | 1.3                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 15 | 357.6            | -1.1                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Sep 15 | 366.3            | 2.4                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 15 | 371.3            | 1.3                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 15 | 369.6            | -0.4                                 |  |  |  |  |

*Note*: over the period from October 1998 to April 2016, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

<sup>1</sup> The data on the specific month is given in accordance with the methods of the CBR as of the beginning of the following month

<sup>2</sup> The data on the volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

#### **FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES**

FORECASTS OF THE USD/RUR AND EUR/USD EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of the foreign exchange rates (RUR per USD and USD per euro) were made on the basis of assessment of the time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indicators released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to May 2016 and from January 1999 to May 2016<sup>1</sup>, respectively.

| Period | exchan       | SD/RUR<br>ge rate<br>er USD) | The EU<br>exchan<br>(USD pe | ge rate   |
|--------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
|        | ARIMA        | SM                           | ARIMA                       | SM        |
| Jun 16 | 67.32        | 66.69                        | 1.12                        | 1.14      |
| Jul 16 | 68.45        | 67.54                        | 1.12                        | 1.15      |
| Aug 16 | 68.70        | 68.47                        | 1.12                        | 1.14      |
| Sep 16 | 69.13        | 68.97                        | 1.12                        | 1.13      |
| Oct 16 | 69.53        | 69.71                        | 1.12                        | 1.13      |
| Nov 16 | 69.94        | 70.18                        | 1.12                        | 1.13      |
| For re | eference: ac | tual values<br>of 2015       | in the simil                | ar period |
| Jun 15 | E E          | 52                           | 1                           | 19        |

USD/RUR average exchange rate in the intervening period is forecast along two models in the amount of RUR 68.72 for USD. Euro/USD average exchange rate is forecast at USD 1.13 per 1 euro.

Jun 15 Jul 15 58.99 1.10 Aug 15 66.481.11 Sep 15 66.24 1.12 Oct 15 64.37 1.10 Nov 15 66.24 1.05

#### THE LIVING STANDARD INDICES

*Note*: over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

This section (Table 12) presents calculations of forecast values of indices of real wages, real disposable income and real income 2 as were received on the basis of the model of time series of respective indices computed by Rosstat and taken over the period from January 1999 to Marchy 2016. The above indices depend to a certain extent on the centralized decisions on raising of wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as those on raising of pensions, scholarships and allowances; such a situation introduces some changes in the dynamics of the indices under review. As a result, the future values of the indices of real wages and real disposable income calculated on the basis of the series which last observations are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such a raising may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

Table 11
THE FORECAST OF THE LIVING STANDARD
INDICES

| Period  | Real disposable    | Real money     | Real accr   | ued  |  |
|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------|--|
| reriou  | money income       | income         | wages       |      |  |
| For     | ecast values acco  | rding to ARIM  | IA-models   |      |  |
|         | (% of the respect  | tive month of  | 2015)       |      |  |
| Jun 16  | 99.5               | 99.5           | 99.0        |      |  |
| Jul 16  | 97.9               | 97.8           | 100.0       |      |  |
| Aug 16  | 98.7               | 98.6           | 102.7       |      |  |
| Sep 16  | 100.7              | 100.7          | 101.3       |      |  |
| Oct 16  | 99.6               | 99.4           | 99.7        |      |  |
| Nov 16  | 100.6              | 100.6          | 100.5       |      |  |
| For ref | erence: actual val | ues in the res | pective per | riod |  |
|         | of 2015 (% of the  | same period o  | f 2014)     |      |  |
| Jun 15  | 95.8               | 94.7           | 91.4        |      |  |
| Jul 15  | 95.9               | 95.5           | 90.8        |      |  |
| Aug 15  | 94.7               | 93.9           | 91.0        |      |  |
| Sep 15  | 93.9               | 93.8           | 89.6        |      |  |
| Oct 15  | 93.2               | 93.2           | 89.5        |      |  |
| Nov 15  | 93.7               | 93.5           | 89.6        |      |  |

According to the results presented in *Table 11*, in summer-autumn 2016, the forecast average monthly fall of the real disposable money income will constitute 0.5% per month compared to the same period of last year. The average monthly decrease of the real money income in the intervening period is forecast at 0.6% against the corresponding period of last year. The real accrued wages will go up at an average monthly rate of 0.5%.

Note: for calculating purposes, the series of the real disposable money income, real money income and real accrued wages in the base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to April 2016 those series were attributed to the class of processes which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

<sup>1</sup> The authors use the IMF data over the period from January 1999 to March 2016. The data over the period from April and May 2016 was obtained from the foreign exchange rate statistics website: www.oanda.com

<sup>2</sup> Real cash income is a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of the nominal size (which was actually formed in the period under review) of households' cash income by the CPI. Real disposable cash income is cash income minus mandatory payments and contributions. (See: Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212).

#### **EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT**

For the purpose of calculation of the future values of the employment (of the number the gainfully employed population) and the unemployment (the total number of the unemployed), models of the time series evaluated over the period from October 1998 to March 2016 on the basis of the monthly data released by Rosstat<sup>1</sup> were used. The unemployment was calculated on the basis of the models with results of the findings from business surveys<sup>2</sup>, too.

It is to be noted that feasible logical inconsistencies<sup>3</sup> in forecasts of employment and unemployment which totals should be equal to the index of economically active population may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of the economically active population and another index.

Table 12 CALCULATION OF FORECAST VALUES OF THE INDICES THE EMPLOYMENT AND THE UNEMPLOYMENT

|        | Employ            | ment (ARIMA)   |                   | Unemployment (A  | ARIMA)  |                   | Unemployme  | nt (BS)   |
|--------|-------------------|--|-------------------|--|---|-------------------|---|---|
| Month  | Million<br>people | Growth on<br>the respective<br>month of previ-<br>ous year (%) | Million<br>people | Growth on<br>the respective<br>month of previ-<br>ous year (%) | % of the index<br>of the number<br>of the gainfully<br>employed<br>population | Million<br>people | Growth on<br>the respect-<br>ive month<br>of previous<br>year (%) | % of the index<br>of the number<br>of the gainfully<br>employed<br>population |
| Jun 16 | 72.2              | -0.3   | 4.3               | 3.7  | 5.9   | 4.4               | 7.3   | 6.1   |
| Jul 16 | 72.5              | -0.8   | 4.3               | 4.5  | 5.9   | 4.4               | 6.2   | 6.1   |
| Aug 16 | 72.8              | -0.7   | 4.3               | 3.8  | 5.8   | 4.3               | 3.8   | 5.9   |
| Sep 16 | 72.6              | -0.3   | 4.3               | 7.2  | 5.9   | 4.4               | 10.0  | 6.1   |
| Oct 16 | 72.5              | -0.1   | 4.4               | 2.9  | 6.1   | 4.5               | 4.7   | 6.2   |
| Nov 16 | 72.2              | 0.0  | 4.5               | 2.4  | 6.2   | 4.5               | 2.3   | 6.2   |
|        |                   | For referer  | nce: actua        | l values in the sar  | me periods of 2013  | 5 (million        | people)   |   |
| Jun 15 |                   | 72.4   |                   |  | 4.1   |                   |   |   |
| Jul 15 |                   | 73.1   |                   |  | 4.1   |                   |   |   |
| Aug 15 |                   | 73.3   |                   |  | 4.1   |                   |   |   |
| Sep 15 |                   | 72.9   |                   |  | 4.0   |                   |   |   |
| Oct 15 |                   | 72.5   |                   |  | 4.3   |                   |   |   |
| Nov 15 |                   | 72.2   |                   |  | 4.4   |                   |   |   |

*Note:* over the period from October 1998 to March 2016 the series of employment is a stochastic process which is stationary around the trend. The series of unemployment is a stochastic process with the first order integration. Both indices include seasonal component.

According to ARIMA-model forecast (*Table 12*), in June–November 2016, the number of employed in the economy will grow at the average rate of 0.4% monthly against the corresponding period of the previous year.

The average increase of the total number of jobless is forecast at 4.9% per month against the corresponding period last year.

<sup>1</sup> The index is computed in accordance with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

<sup>2</sup> The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to March 2016.

<sup>3</sup> For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in the employment and the unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of the economically active population.

#### **ANNEX**

#### Diagrams of the Time Series of the Economic Indices of the Russian Federation

Fig. 1a. The Rosstat industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of December 2001)




Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2005)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)




Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2005)




Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2005)





Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)



#### 5'2016 MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig.7a. The Rosstat industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 8b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 9. The volume of retail sales (billion RUR)




Fig. 9a. The real volume of retail sales (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 10. Export to all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 11. Export to countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 12. Import from all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 14. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 14a. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)




Fig.15. The producer price index for industrial goods (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 18. The price index for utilities (electricity, water and gas) (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 19. The price index for food products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 21. The price index for wood products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig.25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig.26. The price index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig.27. The price index for transport equipment manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUR)





Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)



#### 5'2016 MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS

Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 32. The Brent oil price (\$ per barrel)



Fig. 33. The aluminum price (\$ per ton)



Fig. 34. The gold price (\$ per ounce)



Fig. 35. The nickel price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 36. The copper price (\$ per ton)





Fig. 37. The monetary base, billion RUR






Fig. 39. The international reserves of the Russian Federation, million USD




Fig. 40. The RUR/USD exchange rate




Fig. 41. The USD/EUR exchange rate




Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 43. Real cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 44. Real accrued wages (as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)






Fig. 45. Employment (million people)



#### 5'2016 MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Fig. 46. Unemployment (million people)



Model calculations of short-term forecasts of social and economic indices of the Russian Federation: May 2016

| Model calculations of short-term infecasts of social and econ              | economic marces |       | 15    | Massian | renera | eueration: May | ay 2010   |         |          |
|--|-----------------|-------|-------|---------|--------|----------------|-----------|---------|----------|
| Index  | March           | April | May   | June    | July   | August         | September | October | November |
| Rosstat IIIP (growth rate, %)*   | -0.5            | 2.3   | 2.4   | 1.6     | 0.7    | 2.1            | 9.0       | -0.1    | 2.3      |
| HSE IIP (growth rate %)*   | 1.3             | 9.0-  | 1.5   | 1.1     | 0.0    | 1.6            | 0.3       | 0.2     | 1.4      |
| Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*                                   | 4.2             | 3.9   | 3.7   | 3.2     | 2.2    | 1.3            | 9.0-      | -0.1    | 0.7      |
| HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*                                       | 4.9             | 1.6   | 3.0   | 2.9     | 3.0    | 2.8            | 1.8       | 1.6     | 2.2      |
| Rosstat IIIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*                           | -2.8            | 1.9   | 2.6   | 2.3     | 2.1    | 3.1            | 1.2       | 1.1     | 1.8      |
| HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*                                | -0.5            | -0.7  | 2.0   | 1.2     | 6.0    | 1.9            | -0.5      | 1.5     | 6.0      |
| Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*  | -0.8            | -2.3  | 3.7   | 3.4     | 2.7    | 3.9            | 2.5       | -1.6    | -2.4     |
| HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*          | -0.5            | -5.8  | -1.2  | -0.7    | -1.2   | 0.0            | 2.2       | -1.3    | -0.4     |
| Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*                            | 2.5             | 2.7   | 3.2   | 2.2     | 2.7    | 2.4            | 2.1       | 2.1     | 2.2      |
| HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*                                | 3.5             | 1.7   | 3.2   | 2.2     | 2.3    | 2.8            | 2.0       | 2.0     | 2.2      |
| Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*                       | -9.3            | -5.2  | -5.1  | -2.7    | -2.9   | -3.6           | -2.1      | 0.1     | -2.0     |
| HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*                               | -3.4            | -4.6  | -4.0  | -0.5    | 9.0-   | -2.0           | 0.0       | 3.5     | 1.0      |
| Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)* | 0.3             | 1.4   | 4.6   | 2.4     | 1.6    | 2.4            | -0.5      | 0.4     | -1.5     |
| HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)* | -2.9            | -1.8  | 0.3   | 8.0-    | -2.1   | -1.0           | -2.0      | 0.5     | 0.9      |
| Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*                                | 13.1            | 3.8   | 10.6  | 16.1    | 8.2    | 7.2            | 2.7       | -3.7    | 2.7      |
| HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*                                     | 3.9             | 3.5   | 20.1  | 14.4    | 8.8    | 11.5           | 4.9       | 1.8     | 3.8      |
| Retail sales, trillion Rb  | 2.23            | 2.21  | 2.30  | 2.31    | 2.39   | 2.47           | 2.42      | 2.48    | 2.52     |
| Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*  | -5.67           | -3.32 | -4.24 | -3.39   | -4.70  | -5.22          | -3.80     | -3.29   | -4.34    |
| Investments in capital assets, trillion Rb                                 | 23.0            | 21.9  | 26.6  | 26.4    | 26.4   | 26.1           | 25.9      | 26.3    | 26.4     |
| Real investments in capital assets (growth rate, %)*                       | 19.7            | 18.7  | 23.6  | 21.9    | 23.0   | 23.0           | 23.6      | 25.5    | 23.3     |
| Export to all countries (billion \$)                                       | 15.3            | 15.1  | 14.1  | 15.3    | 17.3   | 16.1           | 16.2      | 16.6    | 16.8     |
| Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)                           | 13.7            | 13.3  | 12.3  | 12.6    | 14.5   | 13.6           | 14.0      | 14.1    | 14.0     |
| Import from all countries (billion \$)                                     | 0.5             | 9.0   | 9.0   | 0.5     | 0.5    | 0.3            | 0.4       | 9.0     | 9.0      |
| Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)                         | 3.1             | 2.4   | 1.1   | 9.0     | 1.1    | 0.7            | 0.3       | 9.0     | 0.2      |
| CPI (growth rate, %)**   | 12.5            | 3.6   | -6.5  | -2.9    | -0.2   | -1.7           | 1.4       | -5.7    | -2.3     |
| PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**                                | 1.3             | 6.0   | 9.0   | 0.2     | 0.4    | 0.0            | 1.0       | 1.2     | 0.8      |
| PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**  | -0.2            | 0.4   | -0.3  | -0.8    | 0.3    | 1.9            | 0.3       | 0.2     | 0.9      |
| PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**                                   | 0.1             | 0.3   | 0.5   | 0.7     | 1.0    | 0.0            | 0.5       | 0.5     | 0.5      |
| PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**         | 1.2             | 1.0   | 6.0   | 6.0     | 8.0    | 8.0            | 0.7       | 0.7     | 8.0      |
| PPI for food products (growth rate, $\%$ )**                               | 1.1             | 1.1   | 0.7   | 0.7     | 0.4    | -0.1           | 0.3       | 0.3     | 0.1      |
| PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**                 | 8.0             | 8.0   | 0.7   | 9.0     | 9.0    | 9.0            | 9.0       | 9.0     | 0.2      |
| PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**                                   | 4.9             | 3.6   | 3.1   | 2.5     | 2.3    | 2.1            | 1.5       | 1.0     | 0.4      |
| PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**                     | -0.3            | 0.2   | 0.0   | 0.0     | 0.1    | 6.0            | 6.0       | 1.1     | -0.1     |
| PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**                              | 0.4             | -0.5  | 0.2   | 9.0-    | 0.0    | 0.3            | 0.4       | 0.5     | 0.7      |
| PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**                           | 1.1             | 0.5   | 1.0   | 1.0     | 0.5    | 8.0            | 6.0       | 9.0     | 1.1      |
| PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**    | 0.5             | 0.7   | 0.0   | 0.5     | 0.4    | 0.7            | 0.0       | 1.1     | 0.4      |

| Index  | March | April | May   | June  | July  | August | September | October | November |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**                                 | 3.72  | 3.76  | 3.78  | 3.80  | 3.82  | 3.76   | 3.73      | 3.73    | 3.66     |
| PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**         | -1.0  | -0.3  | -0.1  | 0.0   | 0.0   | 0.0    | 0.0       | 0.0     | 0.0      |
| The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb) | -0.4  | 3.7   | 2.7   | 2.4   | 0.5   | 9.0    | -0.2      | 0.0     | 9.0      |
| The composite index of transportation tariffs (growth rate, %)**     | -0.5  | 3.1   | -0.5  | -0.5  | 3.0   | 9.0-   | 9.0-      | 9.0-    | 9.0-     |
| The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**                     | 39.1  | 42.3  | 50.5  | 55.3  | 56.8  | 51.1   | 51.2      | 48.6    | 48.3     |
| The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**                | 1.53  | 1.57  | 1.58  | 1.59  | 1.60  | 1.60   | 1.59      | 1.59    | 1.59     |
| The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)                                    | 1.25  | 1.24  | 1.25  | 1.28  | 1.31  | 1.31   | 1.31      | 1.34    | 1.37     |
| The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)                               | 4.95  | 4.87  | 4.90  | 4.86  | 4.81  | 4.80   | 4.84      | 4.90    | 4.96     |
| The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)                               | 8.7   | 8.9   | 0.6   | 9.1   | 9.1   | 9.5    | 9.3       | 9.3     | 9.3      |
| The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)                                 | 8.28  | 8.22  | 8.48  | 8.40  | 8.60  | 8.53   | 8.73      | 99.8    | 8.86     |
| The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)                                 | 35.1  | 35.4  | 35.6  | 35.8  | 35.9  | 36.1   | 36.2      | 36.4    | 36.6     |
| The monetary base (trillion Rb)                                      | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.39  | 0.39  | 0.39  | 0.40   | 0.40      | 0.40    | 0.40     |
| $ m M_2 \ (trillion \ Rb)$   | 67.61 | 64.33 | 65.88 | 67.01 | 68.00 | 68.59  | 69.05     | 69.65   | 70.06    |
| Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)                      | 1.14  | 1.14  | 1.11  | 1.13  | 1.14  | 1.13   | 1.13      | 1.13    | 1.13     |
| The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)                       | -1.2  | -7.1  | -4.1  | -0.5  | -2.2  | -1.3   | 0.7       | -0.4    | 9.0      |
| The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)                         | -1.9  | -6.5  | -4.1  | -0.5  | -2.2  | -1.4   | 0.7       | 9.0-    | 9.0      |
| Real disposable cash income (growth rate, %)*                        | 1.5   | -1.7  | -2.3  | -1.0  | 0.0   | 2.7    | 1.3       | -0.3    | 0.5      |
| Real cash income (growth rate, %)*                                   | 71.6  | 71.7  | 72.3  | 72.2  | 72.5  | 72.8   | 72.6      | 72.5    | 72.2     |
| Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*                                 | 4.6   | 4.4   | 4.4   | 4.4   | 4.4   | 4.3    | 4.4       | 4.5     | 4.5      |
| Employment (million people)  | 72.3  | 72.0  | 72.1  | 72.3  | 72.4  | 72.9   | 72.7      | 73.0    | 73.2     |
| Unemployment (million people)  | 4.4   | 4.6   | 3.1   | 4.5   | 4.4   | 4.2    | 4.2       | 4.3     | 9.1      |

Note: actual values are printed in the bold type  $^*$  % of the respective month of the previous year  $^{**}$  % of the previous month.