

GAIDAR INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC POLICY

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova, A.Buzaev, T.Kiblitskaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES
FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES 8
DYNAMICS OF PRICES
MONETARY INDEXES 10
INTERNATIONAL RESERVES 10
FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES 11
THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES 11
EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT 12
ANNEX 13

4'2018

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations of various economic indicators for the Russian Federation in May-October of 2018, which were performed using time series models developed as a result of research conducted by the Gaidar Institute over the past few years¹. A method of forecasting falls within the group of *formal* or *statistical* methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the *opinion* nor *expert evaluation* of the researcher, rather they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator, which were performed using formal ARIMA-models (*p*, *d*, q) given a prevailing trend and its, in some cases, significant changes. The presented forecasts are of inertial nature, because respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the time horizon to be forecast. The foregoing calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making decisions on economic policy, provided that the general trends, which were seen prior to forecasting for each specific indicator, remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies², which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts was deteriorated in most of the cases when the data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included into the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes³.

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, which were calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute, and volumes of imports/exports from/to all countries, which were calculated using structural models (SM). The forecast values based on the structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding information of the dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides,

See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshevsky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. The Econometric Analysis of the Time 1 Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indexes. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indexes. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitskaya, Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation. Moscow, IET, 2010. 2 Ibid.

See.: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, Journal of Econometrics, 1997, 80, pp. 355-385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 1992, 10, pp. 251-270.

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

the use of structural forecasts in making aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

The dynamics of the Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from the monetary theory. The following was used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because the dynamics of manufacturers' costs relies heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator to be noted is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are most significant factors, which determine the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports of goods. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – were added so that seasonal fluctuations were factored in. The dynamics of imports is effected by personal and corporate incomes whose increase triggers higher demand for all goods including imported ones. The real disposable cash income reflects the personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects the corporate income.

The forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

The forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA-models (p, d, q).

The paper also presents calculations of the values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index, which were calculated using the results of business surveys conducted by the Gaidar Institute. Empirical studies show¹ that the use of series of business surveys as explanatory variables ² in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on the average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to choose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st, 3rd and 12th lags of the variable itself.

All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

¹ See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings*. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

² Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

4'2018 Model Calculations of Short-term Forecasts...

¹ It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indexes (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

Industrial production

For making forecast for May-October of 2018, the series of monthly data of the indexes of industrial production released by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 February 2018, as well as the series of the base indexes of industrial production released by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE¹) over the period from January 2010 to March 2018 were used (the corrected value of January 2010 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecast values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes of industrial production are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The obtained results are shown in Table 1.

As seen from *Table 1*, the Rosstat average² growth of industrial production index posted 1.1% in May-October 2018 compared to the same period of the previous year for industry as a whole. As for the NRU HSE industrial production index, this indicator constitutes 1.2%.

The average monthly decrease of the Rosstat industrial production index and for the NRU HSE industrial production index for mining for May-October 2018 comes to 0.7% and 0.2%, respectively.

In May-October 2018 in comparison with the same period of last year, the average growth of the Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing comes to 0.6% and the NRU HSE industrial production index to 0.6%. The average monthly growth of the Rosstat industrial production index and the NRU HSE industrial production index for food products constitute 2.6% and 2.3%, respectively. The growth of production of coke and petroleum products is forecast to average 0.7% and (-0.1%) for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The average monthly change of the industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products for May-October 2018 computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE constitute (-4.7%) and 0.0%, respectively. Manufacturing of machinery and equipment is forecast to contract by 5.4% and 1.6% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

The average growth of the industrial production index for electricity, gas, and steam supply; for air conditioning computed by Rosstat for May-October 2018 in comparison with the same period of the previous year constitutes 2.7%; the same indicator for the NRU HSE industrial production index comes to 2.3%.

Retail Sales

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – March 2018.

As seen from *Table 2*, the monthly trade turnover is forecast to grow on average at around 3.8% in May-October 2018 against the corresponding period of 2017.

The monthly real trade turnover is forecast to grow at around 3.0% in May-October 2018 compared to the corresponding period of 2017.

Table 2

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF THE RETAIL SALES AND THE REAL RETAIL SALES

	Forecast value according to	ARIMA-model
	Retail sales, billion RUB (in brackets – growth on the respective month of the previous year, %)	Real retail sales (as % of the respec- tive period of the previous year)
May 18	2495.1 (4.5)	102.9
Jun 18	2526.1 (4.2)	102.7
Jul 18	2612.4 (4.0)	103.2
Aug 18	2690.4 (3.7)	103.0
Sep 18	2657.5 (3.3)	103.1
Oct 18	2666.8 (3.0)	103.1
For re	ference: actual values in the	same months of 2017
May 17	2386.8	101.0
Jun 17	2423.8	101.5
Jul 17	2512.0	101.3
Aug 17	2593.7	101.9
Sep 17	2572.1	103.2
Oct 17	2588.2	103.1

Note: the series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – March 2018.

¹ The indexes in question are calculated by E.A. Baranov and V.A. Bessonov.

² Average growth of industrial production indexes is the average value of these indexes for six months under review.

Table 3

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF VOLUMES OF FOREIGN TRADE TURNOVER WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE CIS

		Exports to all countries	ull countries		In	Imports from all countries	all countrie	S	Export	Exports to countries outside the CIS	es outside th	ne CIS	Imports	Imports from countries outside the CIS	ies outside	the CIS
	Forecas	Forecast values	Percen actual da	Percentage of actual data in the	Forecast values	values	Percentage of actual data in the	tage of ta in the	Forecas	Forecast values	Percentage of actual data in the	tage of ta in the	Forecast values	t values	Percentage of actual data in the	cage of ta in the
	(billion US	(billion USD a month)	respective the previ	respective month of the previous year	(billion USD a month)	D a month)	respective month of the previous year	month of ous year	(billion USD a month)	D a month)	respective month of the previous year	month of ous year	(billion USD a month)	D a month)	respective month of the previous year	month of ous year
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM
May 18	36.9	38.2	131	135	23,0	24,6	117	125	31,7	32,7	131	135	20.5	21,9	118	126
Jun 18	37.3	38.8	126	131	24,5	24,4	118	118	32,2	32,2	128	128	19,7	22,1	106	119
Jul 18	34.8	37.2	141	151	24,7	25,0	118	120	32,0	33,6	153	160	21,6	22,8	116	122
Aug 18	37.7	39.3	130	135	25,8	24,6	115	110	32,6	33,9	130	136	21,2	22,4	105	111
$Sep \ 18$	38.2	40.1	124	130	26,1	25,8	127	126	33,1	34,2	125	129	22,6	23,0	123	125
Oct 18	38.1	39.9	121	127	26,8	25,4	125	118	33,4	34,5	125	129	22,3	23,2	116	121
					For r	For reference: act	tual values	in respectiv	e months of	actual values in respective months of 2017 (billion USD)	1 USD)					
May 17		28.3	.3			19.6	.6			24.2	2			17.4	4.	
Jun 17		29.5	.5			20.7	7.			25.1	.1			18.5	5	
Jul 17		24.7	7.			20.8	8			20.9	6.			18.7	7	
Aug 17		29.1	.1			22.4	.4			25.0	0.			20.2	2	
$\operatorname{Sep} 17$		30.8	.8			20.6	.6			26.4	.4			18.4	4	
Oct 17		31.5	.5			21.5	5			26.7	7.			19.3	çî	
Note:	over the pe	Note: over the period from January 1999 to March 2018, the series	lanuary 19	99 to Marc	3h 2018, th€	s series of e	xports, im	ports, exp	orts to the	of exports, imports, exports to the countries outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS	utside the	CIS and ii	mports fror	n the count	ries outsic	le the CIS

4'2018 Model Calculations of Short-term Forecasts...

were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

Table 4

	((Produ	Producer price indexes	ndexes						
irq rəmuznoə ədT (AMIAA) xəbni	rəmuznoə ədT M2) xəbni əəirq	The consumer MA) xəbni əəirq	lsirtzubni rot (AMIAA) zboog	for industrial goods (BS)	for industrial goods (FM)	for mining and guirtying	tof gnirut3sfunsm	for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	stoubord boot rot	for textile and Yıtzubni gniwəz	products products	for pulp and paper industry	for coke mand refined muslortoleum	for chemical industry	for basic metals and fabricated metal	for machinery and equipment	for transport equipment garutacturing
						Fore	ast values	Forecast values (% of the previous month)	previous n	nonth)							
100.5	100.4	100.4	100.4	100.1	100.6	104.5	101.0	100.6	101.1	100.6	100.0	100.3	101.7	100.4	101.2	100.4	100.8
100.5	100.3	100.5	100.5	100.1	100.6	97.1	100.8	99.5	101.0	100.4	100.4	100.4	102.2	100.5	100.8	100.6	100.6
100.5	100.2	100.5	100.5	100.3	100.6	101.3	100.5	99.66	101.0	100.4	100.3	100.5	101.8	100.9	100.6	100.3	100.7
100.3	100.0	100.4	100.9	100.0	100.6	103.4	100.4	102.3	100.9	100.4	100.2	100.4	102.1	101.0	101.2	100.6	100.5
100.0	100.3	100.6	101.4	100.7	100.6	100.8	100.5	102.8	100.4	100.4	100.5	100.3	101.8	101.6	100.2	99.8	100.0
100.4	100.3	100.5	101.1	100.6	100.6	101.6	100.7	100.7	100.6	100.5	100.7	100.4	102.0	102.0	99.4	100.1	100.1
						Foi	ecast valu	Forecast values (% of December 2017)	ecember 2(117)							
 101.5	101.6	101.7	102.0	100.1	103.3	106.4	102.6	99.2	103.1	103.2	103.9	101.5	103.2	104.6	106.6	103.0	102.0
102.0	101.9	102.2	102.5	100.2	104.0	103.3	103.4	98.7	104.2	103.6	104.3	101.9	105.5	105.1	107.5	103.6	102.7
102.5	102.1	102.7	103.0	100.5	104.6	104.6	103.8	98.2	105.3	104.0	104.6	102.3	107.4	106.0	108.1	103.9	103.3
102.8	102.1	103.1	103.9	100.5	105.2	108.2	104.3	100.5	106.3	104.4	104.8	102.7	109.6	107.1	109.4	104.5	103.9
102.8	102.4	103.8	105.3	101.2	105.8	109.1	104.8	103.3	106.7	104.8	105.3	103.0	111.6	108.8	109.6	104.4	103.9
103.2	102.7	104.3	106.5	101.8	106.5	110.9	105.5	104.0	107.3	105.3	106.0	103.5	113.8	111.1	109.0	104.5	104.0
				Fι	or reference	For reference: actual values in the	lues in the		iods of 201	.7 (% of De	same periods of $2017 \ (\% $ of December $2016)$	16)					
	101.6			101.7		106.1	100.7	101.1	97.1	100.8	100.6	100.2	104.9	102.2	98.6	103.8	102.2
	102.2			101.4		105.8	100.6	99.5	97.1	101.5	100.8	100.7	106.1	100.2	96.5	103.8	102.3
	102.3			100.9		103.6	100.2	100.4	97.6	100.5	100.6	100.5	105.2	99.6	94.7	104.9	102.0
	101.8			102.4		106.9	100.8	103.9	97.6	100.5	101.1	100.0	107.0	100.5	97.5	102.9	103.5
	101.7			104.9		113.9	102.0	106.3	96.5	100.6	101.4	100.2	110.6	102.1	103.2	101.8	102.8
	101.9			106.2		114.9	103.3	107.2	95.9	100.4	101.8	101.1	114.2	103.5	107.8	101.8	103.2

with two endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indexes are stationary at levels.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

Model calculations of forecast values of the export and export to countries outside the CIS and the import and import from countries outside the CIS were made on the basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to March 2018 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia¹. The results of calculations are shown in Table 3.

Export, import, export outside the CIS and import from the countries outside the CIS are forecast to grow on average at 31.3%, 33.4%, 15.2%, and 17.0%, respectively in May-October 2018 against the same period of 2017. The average forecast surplus volume of the trade balance with all countries for May-October 2018 will amount to \$ 77.9bn which reflects increase of 61.7% on the same period of 2017.

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

The Consumer Price Index and Producer Price Index

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the consumer price index and producer price index (as regards both the industry in general and some types of its activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) made on the basis of the time-series models evaluated on the basis of the data released by Rosstat over the period from January 1999 to February 2018².

Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values over May-October of 2018 in accordance with ARIMA models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The consumer price index is forecast to grow at an average monthly rate of 0.4% in May-October 2018. The producer price index (PPI) for the same period is also forecast to average 0.6% per month. The producer price indexes computed by Rosstat are forecast to grow at average monthly rates in May-October 2018: for mining and quarrying 1.5%, manufacturing 0.6%, utilities (electricity, gas, and steam) 0.9%, food products 0.8%, textile and sewing industry 0.4%, wood products 0.3%, pulp and paper industry 0.4%, coke and refined petroleum 1.9%, for chemical industry 1.1%, for basic metals and fabricated metal 0.6%, for machinery and equipment 0.3%, and for motor vehicles manufacture 0.5%.

The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over May and October of 2018. The forecasts were made on the basis of time series with use the Rosstat data over the period from January 2000 to March 2018. The results are shown in Table 5. Table 5 THE FORECAST OF THE COST OF THE MONTHLY PER CAPITA MINIMUM FOOD BASKET

Forecast values accord	ing to ARIMA-model (RUB)			
May 18	4137.2			
Jun 18	4190.9			
Jul 18	4049.2			
Aug 18	3899.9			
Sep 18	3795.5			
Oct 18	3720.9			
	ual values in the same 017 (billion RUB)			
May 17	4036.7			
Jun 17	4233.2			
Jul 17	4066.8			
Aug 17	3839.9			
Sep 17	3729.1			
Oct 17	3714.2			
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)				
May 18	2.5			
Jun 18	-1.0			
Jul 18	-0.4			
Aug 18	1.6			
Sep 18	1.8			
Oct 18	0.2			

Note: the series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 to March 2018 are stationary in the first-order differences.

¹ The data on the foreign trade turnover is calculated by the CBR in accordance with the methods for making of the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

² Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

As can be seen from *Table 5*, the minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow compared to the corresponding period of the previous year. At the same time, the minimum set of food products is forecast to average RUB 3,965.6. The minimum set of food products cost is forecast to grow on average around 0.8% compared to the level of the corresponding period of the previous year.

Indexes of Freight Rates

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight rate indexes on cargo carriage¹, made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to February 2018. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in May-October of 2018. It should be noted that some of the indexes under review (for instance, the pipeline rate index) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase of rates in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

According to the forecast results for May-October 2018, the composite index of transport tariffs will practically stay unchanged. This is due to the fact that in October 2018, the seasonal decrease of the index is expected by 4.1 p.p., and in July 2018 – growth by 3.5 p.p.

Table 6 CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF INDEXES OF FREIGHT RATES

	The composite freight rate index	The index of motor load freight rate	The index of pipeline rate
]]	Forecast values acc (% of the p	ording to ARIMA-r previous month)	nodels
May 18	100.3	99.8	101.5
Jun 18	100.2	99.7	99.6
Jul 18	103.5	99.7	101.5
Aug 18	100.2	99.7	103.3
Sep 18	100.2	99.7	97.8
Oct 18	95.9	99.7	96.2
]	Forecast values acc (% of December	ording to ARIMA-r of the previous yea	
May 18	100.0	99.6	95.0
Jun 18	100.3	99.3	94.6
Jul 18	103.8	99.0	96.0
Aug 18	104.0	98.8	99.2
Sep 18	104.2	98.5	96.9
Oct 18	99.9	98.1	93.2
For re	eference: actual val (% of the p	ues in the same per previous month)	riod of 2017
May 17	100.0	100.1	100.1
Jun 17	100.2	100.0	100.2
Jul 17	106.8	100.1	114.0
Aug 17	100.0	100.1	100.0
Sep 17	100.1	100.1	100.0
Oct 17	94.2	100.1	89.0

Note: over the period from September 1998 to February 2018, the series of the freight rates index were identified as stationary ones; the other series were identified as stationary ones over the period from September 1998 to February 2018, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were used in respect of all the series.

The index of motor freight tariffs will decrease in the course of given six months at an average monthly rate of 0.3%. The index of pipeline tariffs will be staying flat in the course of the next six months.

World Prices of Natural Resources

This section presents calculations of such average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), the aluminum prices (US\$ per ton), the gold prices (\$ per ounce), the copper prices (US\$ per ton), and the nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over May-October of 2018 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of the IMF data over the period from January 1980 to February 2018.

The crude oil price is forecast to average around \$68.3 per barrel, which is above its corresponding year-earlier indexes on average by 28.4%. The Aluminum prices are forecast to average

¹ The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the motor load freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indexes by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and motor load freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: *Prices in Russia*. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

around \$1,910.0 per ton and their average forecast decrease constitutes around 5.0% compared to the same level of last year. The gold price is forecast to average \$1,361.0 per ounce. The copper price is forecast to average \$6,732 per ton, and prices for nickel – around \$13,595 per ton. The average forecast price increase for gold constitutes around 7.0%, average increase of copper prices – around 7.0%, and average increase of nickel prices – 31.0% compared to the corresponding level of last year.

MONETARY INDEXES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR) and M₂ monetary aggregate over the period from May to October of 2018 were received on the basis of models of time-series of respective indexes calculated by the CBR^1 over the period from October 1998 to April (March – for M_{\circ}) time series) 2018. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indexes in the same period of previous year. It is to be noted that due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In May-October 2018, the monetary base will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.7%. In the period under review the monetary index M_2 will remain flat.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of such future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation² as were received on the basis of evaluation of the model of time series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves on the basis of the data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to March 2018. That index is

WO	rld pric	ces on i	NATURA	_ RESOU	rces
	Brent oil (\$ per barrel)	Alumi- num (\$ per ton)	Gold (\$ per ounce)	Copper (\$ per ton)	Nickel (\$ per ton)
		Forecas	st values		
May 18	69.09	1950	1339	6730	13514
Jun 18	68.62	1907	1356	6742	13566
Jul 18	68.39	1911	1356	6737	13573
Aug 18	68.20	1910	1356	6734	13614
Sep 18	67.62	1888	1372	6728	13626
Oct 18	67.75	1892	1390	6721	13676
	-	ted growth th of the p		*	
May 18	35.8	1.9	7.5	20.2	47.6
Jun 18	46.3	1.2	7.6	17.9	51.9
Jul 18	29.9	-0.3	9.7	5.7	32.8
Aug 18	30.2	-10.1	5.7	-1.2	15.6
Sep 18	17.5	-10.3	4.4	3.4	29.5
Oct 18	10.4	-12.7	8.6	-2.0	10.1
For re	eference: ac	tual value	s in the sa	me period	of 2017
May 17	50.87	1913	1245	5600	9155
Jun 17	46.89	1885	1260	5720	8932
Jul 17	52.65	1917	1236	6375	10223
Aug 17	52.38	2124	1282	6813	11780
Sep 17	57.54	2106	1315	6504	10525

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF

Note: over the period from January 1980 to February 2018, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper, and aluminum are series of DS type.

1280

6860

2167

Oct 17 61.37

Table 8

12423

Table 7

THE FORECAST OF M₂ AND THE MONETARY BASE

	Tho	Monetary base		$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{a}}$	
	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %	
May 18	9593	0.5	42047	1.1	
Jun 18	9655	0.6	41586	-1.1	
Jul 18	9736	0.8	42049	1.1	
Aug 18	9799	0.6	41584	-1.1	
Sep 18	9862	0.6	42051	1.1	
Oct 18	9942	0.8	41583	-1.1	
		e: actual value in t growth on the pre	-		
May 17	2.7			0.3	
Jun 17	-0.3		n 17 -0.3 1.4		1.4
Jul 17		1.8 1.0		1.0	
Aug 17		1.2		-0.9	
Sep 17		0.2		0.4	
Oct 17		0.3		0.4	

Note: over the period from October 1998 to April (March) of 2018, all the time series of monetary indexes were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

¹ The data on the specific month is given in accordance with the methods of the CBR as of the beginning of the following month.

² The data on the volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may happen to be overestimated (or otherwise underestimated) as compared to the actual ones.

Subsequent to the forecast results for May-October 2018, the international reserves will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.6%.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of the foreign exchange rates (RUB per USD and USD per euro) were made on the basis of assessment of the time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indicators released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to April 2018 and from February 1999 to April 2018¹, respectively.

In May-October 2018, USD/RUB average exchange rate is forecast along two models in the amount of RUB 65.99 for USD along two models.

Over the period under review, Euro/USD exchange rate is forecast at USD 1.22 per 1 euro.

THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

This section (Table 12) presents calculations of forecast values of indexes of real wages, real disposable income and real income² as were received on the basis of the model of time series of respective indexes computed by Rosstat and taken over the period from January 1999 to March 2018. The above indexes depend to a certain extent on the centralized decisions on raising of wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as those on raising of pensions, scholarships and allowances; such a situation introduces some changes in the dynamics of the indexes under review. As a result, the future values of the indexes of real wages and real disposable income calculated on the basis of the series which last observa-

Table 9 THE FORECAST OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESERVES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

	Forecast val	ues according to ARIMA-model
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, $\%$
May 18	463.3	1.0
Jun 18	464.8	0.3
Jul 18	466.9	0.5
Aug 18	469.5	0.6
Sep 18	472.0	0.5
Oct 18	474.4	0.5
For re	ference: actual v	alues in the same period of 2017
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
May 17	401.0	0.8
Jun 17	405.7	1.2
Jul 17	412.2	1.6
Aug 17	418.4	1.5
Sep 17	424.0	1.3
Oct 17	424.8	0.2

Note: over the period from October 1998 to March 2018, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

Table 10

FORECASTS OF THE USD/RUB AND EUR/USD EXCHANGE RATES

exchan	ge rate	exchan	ge rate	
ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	\mathbf{SM}	
64.70	64.44	1.22	1.22	
65.99	65.64	1.21	1.22	
66.07	65.75	1.21	1.22	
66.34	66.16	1.21	1.22	
66.57	66.54	1.21	1.22	
66.82	66.85	1.21	1.21	
erence: actua	l values in th	e similar per	iod of 2017	
56.	52	1.	12	
59.09		1.	14	
l 17 59.54 1.18		18		
58.	73	1.18		
58.	.02	1.	18	
57.	.87	1.	16	
	exchan (RUB po ARIMA 64.70 65.99 66.07 66.34 66.57 66.82 erence: actua 56. 59. 59. 58. 58.	$\begin{array}{c cccc} 64.70 & 64.44 \\ \hline 65.99 & 65.64 \\ \hline 66.07 & 65.75 \\ \hline 66.34 & 66.16 \\ \hline 66.57 & 66.54 \\ \hline 66.82 & 66.85 \\ \hline \text{erence: actual values in th} \\ \hline 56.52 \\ \hline 59.09 \\ \hline \end{array}$	exchange rate (USD provide 1000 provide	

Note: over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

¹ The authors use the IMF data over the period from January 1999 to February 2018. The data over the March and April 2018 was obtained from the foreign exchange rate statistics website: <u>www.oanda.com</u>

² *Real cash income* is a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of the nominal size (which was actually formed in the period under review) of households' cash income by the CPI. *Real disposable cash income* is cash income minus mandatory payments and contributions. (See: Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212).

tions are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such a raising may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

According to the results presented in *Table 11*, average monthly growth of real disposable cash income is forecast at the rate of 4.8% compared to the previous year; real cash income -4.4%, real accrued wages -7.1%.

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

For the purpose of calculation of the future values of the employment (of the number the gainfully employed population) and the unemployment (the total number of the unemployed), models of the time series evaluated over the period from October 1998 to February 2018 on the basis of the monthly data released by Rosstat¹ were used. The unemployment was calculated on the basis of the models with results of the findings from business surveys² too.

It is to be noted that feasible logical inconsistencies³ in forecasts of employment and unemployment which totals should be equal to the index of economically active population may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of the economically active population and another index.

Table 11 THE FORECAST OF THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

	Real disposable cash income	Real cash income	Real accrued wages
ARIMA	Forecast valu- models (% of the		
May 18	104.0	104.2	105.6
Jun 18	103.6	103.7	105.7
Jul 18	106.5	106.0	108.6
Aug 18	105.4	104.6	108.2
Sep 18	103.8	103.0	107.3
Oct 18	105.6	104.7	107.3
	reference: actual v od of 2017 (% of th		
May 17	99.4	100.0	102.7
Jun 17	99.9	100.2	103.8
Jul 17	96.1	96.6	103.0
Aug 17	99.3	99.2	102.3
Sep 17	99.2	100.4	104.3
Oct 17	98.4	99.2	105.4

Note: for calculating purposes the series of the real disposable cash income, real cash income and real accrued wages in the base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to March 2018, those series were attributed to the class of processes, which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

Table 12

CALCULATION OF FORECAST VALUES OF THE INDEXES THE EMPLOYMENT AND THE UNEMPLOYMENT

	Employm	ent (ARIMA)	τ	Jnemployment (A	RIMA)	Unemployment (BS)					
	Million people	Growth on the respective month of pre- vious year (%)	Million people	Growth on the respective month of pre- vious year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainful- ly employed population	Million people	Growth on the respective month of pre- vious year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population			
May 18	73.1	1.4	3.5	-11.0	4.7	3.8	-1.3	5.2			
Jun 18	73.3	1.3	3.5	-10.7	4.8	3.8	0.9	5.2			
Jul 18	73.6	1.5	3.5	-11.3	4.7	3.8	-1.0	5.2			
Aug 18	74.0	1.2	3.4	-11.2	4.6	3.8	0.9	5.1			
Sep 18	73.7	1.0	3.4	-10.8	4.6	3.8	-0.2	5.2			
Oct 18	73.4	0.9	3.5	-10.0	4.8	3.9	1.0	5.3			
		For refere	ence: actual	values in the san	ne periods of 2017	(million	people)				
May 17	7	72.1	3.9								
Jun 17	7	72.3	3.9								
Jul 17	7	72.5	3.9								
Aug 17	ug 17 73.1			3.8							
Sep 17	7 73,0 3.8					.8					
Oct 17		72.7 3.9									

Note: over the period from October 1998 to February 2018, the series of employment is a stochastic process which is stationary around the trend. The series of unemployment is a stochastic process with the first order integration. Both indexes include seasonal component.

¹ The index is computed in accordance with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

² The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to February 2018.

³ For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in the employment and the unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of the economically active population.

ANNEX

According to ARIMA-model forecast (*Table 12*), in May-October 2018, the increase of the number of employed in the economy will average 1.2% per month against the corresponding period of the previous year

The decrease of the total number of jobless is forecast to average 5.4% per month against the same period of last year.

ANNEX

DIAGRAMS OF THE TIME SERIES OF THE ECONOMIC INDEXES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

Fig. 1a. The Rosstat industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of December 2001)




Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2010)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)




Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2010)




Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2010)




Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products

(as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig.7a. The Rosstat industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)









Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)














Fig. 11. Export to countries outside the CIS (billion USD)



Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)





Fig. 14. The consumer price index

(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)



ANNEX




Fig. 14a. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)

Fig. 15. The producer price index for industrial goods (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)








Fig. 19. The price index for food products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 21. The price index for wood products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)






Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)

Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)







Fig. 25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)












Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUB)





Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)



ANNEX

Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)






Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs




23

4'2018 Model Calculations of Short-term Forecasts...



ANNEX






Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income

Fig. 43. Real cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 44. Real accrued wages (as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)







Fig. 45. Employment (million people)

Fig. 46. Unemployment (million people)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INDICES OF THE RUS-SIAN FEDERATION: APRIL 2018

Index	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Rosstat IIIP (growth rate, %)*	1.5	1.8	1.9	1.1	0.6	1.8	1.2	0.6	1.5
HSE IIP (growth rate %)*	1.8	0.7	1.8	0.9	0.6	1.9	0.9	1.1	1.9
Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	0.3	0.7	-1.0	-1.2	-1.0	-1.1	-0.9	-0.1	0.0
HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	-1.1	-0.2	-0.7	-0.6	-0.8	-0.3	-0.5	0.2	0.8
Rosstat IIIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	1.9	2.2	2.7	0.2	-0.7	1.8	1.4	1.0	-0.2
HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	3.4	-0.5	0.8	1.0	-0.2	0.8	-0.1	1.2	0.7
Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	1.8	2.8	1.0	1.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.7	1.9
HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	2.8	9.9	2.7	0.6	3.3	4.6	3.7	3.1	-1.6
Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	1.1	1.5	2.4	0.6	4.0	2.7	2.0	2.9	3.6
HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	3.2	1.3	4.9	3.0	4.0	2.9	0.4	2.3	1.5
Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	0.9	5.6	3.2	5.3	1.1	0.8	-1.2	0.6	-2.5
HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	0.7	1.4	-1.3	2.5	-0.3	-1.5	-0.7	0.1	-0.5
Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	1.2	-8.0	-13.4	-7.6	-8.3	-0.1	-5.5	-6.7	0.3
HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	5.4	-0.6	0.7	0.9	-0.6	-0.9	-1.5	0.3	2.0
Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*	4.3	-10.2	9.7	0.2	0.3	12.8	9.3	15.1	-5.0
HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*	-4.7	-12.5	-5.1	-7.0	12.1	7.0	-4.2	7.1	-5.7
Retail sales, trillion Rb	2.27	2.46	2.44	2.50	2.53	2.61	2.69	2.66	2.67
Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*	1.7	2.1	2.5	2.9	2.7	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.1
Export to all countries (billion \$)	31.2	36.9	36.5	37.6	38.1	36.0	38.5	39.2	39.0
Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	26.8	32.1	31.8	32.2	32.2	32.8	33.3	33.7	34.0
Import from all countries (billion \$)	19.0	21.9	23.6	23.8	24.5	24.9	25.2	26.0	26.1
Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	17.0	19.5	20.4	21.2	20.9	22.2	21.8	22.8	22.8
CPI (growth rate, %)**	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.4
PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.9	0.8
PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**	2.1	-2.3	1.0	4.5	-2.9	1.3	3.4	0.8	1.6
PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	0.8	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.7
PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**	0.4	0.1	-0.5	0.6	-0.5	-0.4	2.3	2.8	0.7
PPI for food products (growth rate, %)**	-0.3	0.8	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.4	0.6
PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**	1.4	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.7
PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**	-0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4
PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**	0.6	1.1	2.4	1.7	2.2	1.8	2.1	1.8	2.0

Index	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**	0.5	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.5	0.9	1.0	1.6	2.0
PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.2	0.8	0.6	1.2	0.2	-0.6
PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**	0.2	-0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.6	-0.2	0.1
PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.0	0.1
The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb)	3.83	3.90	3.99	4.14	4.19	4.05	3.90	3.80	3.72
The composite index of transportation tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.0	0.1	7.7	1.5	-0.4	1.5	3.3	-2.2	-3.8
The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**	1.5	0.3	3.8	0.3	0.2	3.5	0.2	0.2	-4.1
The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)	65.8	70.3	68.8	69.1	68.6	68.4	68.2	67.6	67.7
The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)	2.13	2.00	2.01	1.95	1.91	1.91	1.91	1.89	1.89
The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)	1.33	1.32	1.32	1.34	1.36	1.36	1.36	1.37	1.39
The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)	6.93	6.74	6.73	6.73	6.74	6.74	6.73	6.73	6.72
The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)	13.7	13.4	13.4	13.5	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.7
The monetary base (trillion Rb)	9.26	9.43	9.54	9.59	9.65	9.74	9.80	9.86	9.94
M ₂ (trillion Rb)	41.6	42.0	41.6	42.0	41.6	42.0	41.6	42.1	41.6
Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)	0.45	0.45	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47
The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)	55.67	57.26	62.73	64.57	65.82	65.91	66.25	66.56	66.84
The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)	1.22	1.23	1.21	1.22	1.21	1.21	1.21	1.21	1.21
Real disposable cash income (growth rate, %)*	4.3	4.1	7.2	4.0	3.6	6.5	5.4	3.8	5.7
Real cash income (growth rate, %)*	4.9	4.5	6.7	4.2	3.7	6.0	4.6	3.0	4.7
Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*	10.5	6.5	5.7	5.6	5.7	8.6	8.2	7.3	7.3
Employment (million people)	72.0	72.2	72.4	73.1	73.3	73.6	74.0	73.7	73.4
Unemployment (million people)	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7

Note: actual values are printed in the bold type

* % of the respective month of the previous year ** % of the previous month.



Building 1, 3-5, Gazetny lane, Moscow, 125993 Russia Tel.: +7(495)629-6596 Fax: +7(495)697-8818 info@iep.ru www.iep.ru