

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

10/2020

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova, A.Buzaev, T.Kiblitskaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES	2
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES	3
FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES	5
PRICE DYNAMICS	
MONETARY INDEXES	
INTERNATIONAL RESERVES	
FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES	
LIVING STANDARD INDEXES	
EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT	
ANNEXES	14

.

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations by researchers at the Gaidar Institute¹ of economic indicators derived from time series models for the period *November 2020 to April 2021*² The forecasting method belongs to the group of *formal* or *statistical* methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the *opinion* nor the *expert evaluation* of the researcher, but rather, they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator derived by formal ARIMA(p, d, q)-models, given a prevailing trend and divergences, in some cases, by a significant amount. The forecasts here are inertial in nature because the respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the forecasted time horizon. These calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making economic policy decisions, provided that the general trends that were observed prior to forecasting for each indicator remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies³, which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts deteriorated where data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included in the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes.⁴

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute and volumes of import/export estimates between all countries, calculated using structural models (SM). Forecast values based on structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides, the use of structural forecasts in making aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshevsky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. *The Econometric Analysis of the Time Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. *Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries*. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitskaya, *Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation*. Moscow, IET, 2010.

² Given that from early 2019 Rosstat does not release monthly data on indexes of real disposable cash income of the population, commencing from issue 8/2019 we release forecasts in quarter terms for 2 quarters ahead.

lbid.

⁴ See.: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1997, 80, p. 355–385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1992, 10, p. 251–270.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

The dynamic Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from monetary theory. The following were used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because manufacturers' costs rely heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are the most significant factor determining the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – captured seasonal fluctuations. In regard to imports, an increase in personal and corporate incomes triggers higher demand for all goods, including imported ones. Real disposable cash income reflects personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects corporate income.

Forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

Forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA(p, d, q)-models.

The paper also estimates values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index using Gaidar Institute business survey responses. Empirical studies show¹ that the use of business surveys as explanatory variables² in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to choose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st, 3rd and 12th lags of the variable itself.

All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

Industrial production

The forecast for November 2020 to April 2021 is based on monthly indexes of industrial production by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 to August 2020, and on base indexes of industrial production by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE³) over the period from January 2010 to September 2020 (the corrected value of January 2010 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecasts by Rosstat and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The results are shown in Table 1.

¹ See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings*. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

The indexes in question are calculated by E.F. Baranov and V.A. Bessonov.

Table 1 Calculations of forecast values of the industrial production indexes 1 (%)

nery	NRU	HSE		11.4	2.7	-3.4	-0.1	0.9	2.9		3.0	-6.7	18.0	6.5	14.5	3.6
IIP for machinery																
IIP fo	-	Kosstat		-1.6	-7.9	-9.9	-3.7	8.0	13.9		5.1	-6.8	16.7	1.9	0.4	-12.0
orimary s and ed metal ucts	NRU	HSE		0.7	-1.0	0.0	-0.4	-1.5	4.0		-2.0	4.8	1.8	-0.1	2.3	-6.6
IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products	1	KOSSTAT		6.0	1.1	-5.3	-5.8	-0.2	9.9		-2.2	3.4	2.3	-1.6	1.5	-7.1
oke and leum	NRU	HSE		-3.8	-4.0	-4.7	-5.7	-4.5	9.7		-1.1	-3.1	6.0	5.9	7.7	-5.5
IIP for coke and petroleum	4	Kosstat		-5.8	-7.3	-8.8	-10.8	-9.1	-2.4	8/2019	3.6	3.0	2.3	5.2	7.0	0.7
food ucts	NRU	HSE	us year	1.9	1.9	0.7	9.0	0.7	1.6	th of 2018	2.7	9.9	8.7	8.9	6.7	4.3
IIP for food products	400	KOSSTAT	Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year	0.8	1.2	0.3	0.5	0.1	2.5	growth in 2019/2020 on the respective month of 2018/2019	5.1	9.7	11.0	9.5	9.3	3.7
ıtilities :y, water, gas)	NRU	HSE	month of	0.0	3.4	7.8	4.6	5.7	3.0	the respe	-0.1	-6.2	-7.6	-3.0	-3.7	-0.6
IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	70,000	Kosstat	espective	-2.3	0.3	3.2	0.1	1.0	0.8	9/2020 on	0.1	-4.8	-4.7	-0.2	-2.2	-1.9
for cturing	NRU	HSE	h on the r	1.9	0.0	-0.1	-2.3	-2.5	4.5	vth in 201	-0.1	2.4	3.7	6.2	5.3	-7.7
IIP for manufacturing	10100	Kosstat	ted growt	1.8	-0.8	-5.9	-5.6	-4.0	8.9	ctual grov	1.5	4.0	3.9	5.0	2.6	-10.0
mining	NRU	HSE	Expec	-10.8	-11.4	-12.2	-13.1	-9.3	-12.4	For reference: actual	8.0	1.7	-0.1	2.8	-0.6	-1.4
IIP for mining		Kosstat		-12.9	-12.7	-13.1	-15.2	-10.9	-10.1	For re	0.1	0.5	-0.4	2.3	-1.7	-3.2
ction	NRU HSE	BS		-5.2	-4.0	-6.8	-4.1	-3.9	1.7		2	1	9	9	1.6	-4.4
Index of industrial production	NRU	ARIMA		-4.6	-5.1	-5.5	9.9-	-4.3	1.5		0.7	1.1	9.0	3.6	ij	4-
c of indust	stat	BS		4.4	-3.1	-6.1	-3.5	-3.5	2.4		7	7	\leftarrow	3	3	-6.6
Index	Rosstat	ARIMA		-5.8	-5.7	-6.4	-6.4	-6.2	2.6		0.7	1.7	1.1	3.3	0.3	9-
Month				Nov 20	Dec 20	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	Apr 21		Nov 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Feb 20	Mar 20	Apr 20

Note. In the time spans under review, the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain indexes of IIP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary processes around the trend with an endogenous structural change; the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for mining and Rosstat chain IIP for machinery and equipment are identified as stationary processes around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The time series of other chain indexes are stationary at levels.

1 It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indexes (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

As seen in *Table 1*, the Rosstat average¹ drop in industrial production index in November 2020 – April 2021 compared to the same period of the of the previous year comes to 3.8% in the industry as a whole. For the NRU HSE this index comes to 3.9%. At year-end 2020, the projected annual drop in the Rosstat industrial production index will come to 1%, the NRU HSE industrial production index will drop by 3.8%.

The average monthly drop in the Rosstat and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes for mining and quarrying amount to 12.5% and 11.5% respectively in November 2020 – April 2021.

The average decrease in the Rosstat industrial production index in manufacturing industry in November 2020 – April 2021 amounts to 0.9% compared to the same period of the previous year and the NRU HSE industrial production index in manufacturing industry comes to 0.2%. The average monthly gain in production of food products will average 0.9% and 1.2% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The production of coke and petroleum products is forecast to decline on average by 7.4% and 2.5% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The average monthly change in the industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products for November 2020 – April 2021 computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE constitutes -0.1% and 0.3%, respectively. Manufacturing of machinery and equipment is forecast to average at -0.2% and 3.3% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

The average gain in the Rosstat industrial production index for electricity, gas and water supply; for air conditioning for November 2020 – April 2021 constitutes 0.5% in comparison with the same period of the previous year; the same indicator for the NRU HSE industrial production index comes to 4.1%.

On average (according to the types of economic activity) the drop in the Rosstat industrial production index in 2020 will be 2.1%, the NRU HSE industrial production indexes will decrease at 0.1%.

Retail Sales

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – October 2020.

As seen from *Table 2*, the average forecast gain in the monthly turnover for November 2020 – April 2021 against the corresponding period of 2019-2020 amounts to around 10.1%. The average forecast gain in the monthly real turnover for the period November 2020 – April 2021 compared to the same period of 2019-2020 constitutes 0.8%.

At 2020-end, the projected gain in the retail turnover index in 2020 in nominal terms comes to 4.6% and the drop in the real retail turnover is projected at 3.8%.

Table 2
Calculations of forecast values of retail sales and real retail sales

F	orecast value according to	ARIMA-model				
Month	Retail sales, billion RUB (in brackets – growth on the respective month of the previous year, %)	Real retail sales (as % of the respective period of the previous year)				
Nov 20	3040.3 (3.7)	98.2				
Dec 20	3633.1 (4.6)	97.8				
Jan 21	2760.1 (4.6)	98.6				
Feb 21	2751.3 (4.7)	99.1				
Mar 21	3014.2 (3.3)	99.3				
Apr 21	2975.1 (40.0)	135.8				
For reference: actual values in the same months of 2019/2020						
Nov 19	2932.5	102.6				
Dec 19	3472.9	101.8				
Jan 20	2639.8	102.7				
Feb 20	2628.9	104.7				
Mar 20	2917.5	105.7				
Apr 20	2125.3	77.4				

Note. The series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – October 2020.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

Model calculations of forecast values of the export, export to countries outside the CIS and the import, import from countries outside the CIS were made on the basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to October 2020 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia.² The results of calculations are presented in Table 3.

¹ Average growth of industrial production indexes is the average value of these indexes for six months under review.

The data on the foreign trade turnover are calculated by the CBR in accord with the methods used to derive the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

Table 3 Calculations of forecast values of volumes of foreign trade turnover with countries outside the CIS

		Exports to	Exports to all countries	S	mI	ports from	Imports from all countries	SS	Export	Exports to countries outside the CIS	es outside t	the CIS	Imports	from count	Imports from countries outside the CIS	the CIS
Month	Forecast v Lion USD	Month Forecast values (bil-lion USD a month)		Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	orecast values (bil- lion USD a month)	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the	e of actual e respec- th of the	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	orecast values (bil- lion USD a month)	Percentage of actua data in the respec- tive month of the	e of actual e respec- th of the	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	alues (bil- a month)	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the	e of actual e respec- th of the
	ARIMA	ΣS	previou ARIMA	previous year	ARIMA	ΣS	previous year ARIMA SM	ıs year SM	ARIMA	ΣS	previous year ARIMA SM	ıs year SM	ARIMA	ΣS	previous year ARIMA SIV	ıs year SM
Nov 20	29.1	28.4	82	80	21.2	22.6	91	76	26.7	28.9	88	95	20.0	19.8	96	95
Dec 20	30.6	31.9	78	82	22.4	23.9	92	66	28.4	30.2	85	90	21.9	22.4	101	103
Jan 21	19.2	21.6	63	71	16.5	16.0	96	93	17.3	16.7	64	62	14.7	15.0	96	86
Feb 21	20.1	22.7	70	79	18.3	18.0	66	97	18.6	18.0	9/	73	16.3	16.2	66	66
Mar 21	22.7	24.5	9/	82	19.4	20.2	95	66	19.3	19.8	74	9/	17.0	18.4	93	100
Apr 21	23.1	23.5	92	94	19.7	19.9	112	113	19.1	20.3	68	94	17.5	18.0	111	114
					For reference: actu		values in r	espective n	onths of 2	al values in respective months of 2019/2020 (billion USD)	oillion USD)					
Nov 19		31	35.5			23	23.2			30.4	4.			20	20.8	
Dec 19		35	39.0			24	24.2			33.5	.5			21	21.7	
Jan 20		3(30.6			17.1	Ţ:			26.9	6:			15	15.3	
Feb 20		28	28.7			18	18.5			24.6	9:			16	16.4	
Mar 20		3(30.0			20	20.5			25.9	6.			18	18.3	
Apr 20		2.5	25.0			17	17.6			21.5	5.			15	15.7	
	-				-											ij

Note. Over the period from January 1999 to October 2020, the series of exports, imports, exports to the countries outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.

Calculations of forecast values of price indexes

	for transport equip- ment manufacturing		100.7	100.8	100.4	100.7	100.7	101.1		109.3	110.2	100.4	101.1	101.8	102.9		102.4	102.5	100.9	101.1	101.7	102.0
	for machinery and equipment		100.3	100.4	101.2	100.5	100.8	100.4		105.5	105.9	101.2	101.6	102.5	102.9		102.6	102.6	100.2	100.4	102.6	103.0
	for basic metals and fabricated metal		100.9	8.66	101.0	102.5	100.6	100.7		110.9	110.7	101.0	103.5	104.1	104.8		8.76	95.5	100.6	102.2	108.4	111.7
	for chemical industry		99.5	99.2	100.6	100.3	99.5	99.3		6:96	96.1	100.6	101.0	100.4	2.66		92.2	90.5	99.1	98.4	97.7	98.0
	for coke and refined petroleum		101.7	97.7	97.2	102.2	101.6	101.8		98.1	95.9	97.2	99.3	100.9	102.7	(6	88.2	84.6	101.1	100.2	95.9	78.0
	for pulp and paper industry		100.3	100.9	101.0	100.7	101.0	100.9		101.6	102.5	101.0	101.7	102.7	103.6	2018/2019)	93.9	93.1	8.66	9.76	97.3	96.3
dexes:	for wood products		100.7	1001	100.6	100.9	100.4	100.2		105.1	105.2	100.6	101.5	101.9	102.1	ecember	8.76	6.96	100.9	101.3	101.7	102.1
Producer price indexes:	for textile and sewing industry	month)	100.4	100.3	100.3	100.4	100.4	100.5	9/2020)	105.2	105.5	100.3	100.7	101.1	101.6	2019/2020 (% of December	2.66	100.2	100.4	99.5	9.66	103.7
Produc	for food products	previous	100.9	100.9	101.1	100.8	100.9	100.7	of December 2019/2020)	109.3	110.3	101.1	101.9	102.8	103.5	of 2019/20	0.86	97.5	1001	100.7	100.7	102.3
	for utilities (electrici- ty, water, and gas)	Forecast values (% of the previous month)	2.66	100.0	100.9	100.8	100.2	99.5	(% of Dece	106.1	106.1	100.9	101.8	102.0	101.5	periods	101.6	101.2	102.7	101.7	102.7	101.3
	for manufacturing	ast values	100.3	6.66	101.1	101.1	100.9	100.7	recast values	103.3	103.2	101.1	102.2	103.2	103.9	the same	97.8	6.7	100.6	100.7	101.0	98.3
	for mining and quarrying	Forec	97.4	96.2	97.1	95.1	94.7	95.0	Foreca	80.5	77.4	97.1	92.3	87.5	83.1	l values in	89.4	8.06	102.3	9.66	97.6	70.3
	for industrial goods (FM)		100.5	100.6	100.3	100.4	100.6	100.3		101.9	102.5	100.3	100.7	101.3	101.6	For reference: actual						
	for industrial goods (BS)		6.66	100.2	99.1	100.2	100.5	100.3		101.4	101.6	100.7	100.9	101.4	101.7	For refere	96.1	95.7	101.2	100.6	99.3	92.1
	for industrial goods (ARIMA)		99.0	99.4	99.1	9.86	98.7	98.5		96.1	95.5	99.1	97.8	96.5	95.0							
Th	e consumer price index (FM)		100.3	100.5	100.5	100.5	100.4	100.4		104.2	104.7	100.5	101.0	101.4	101.8							
Th	e consumer price index (SM)		100.4	100.6	100.4	100.3	100.4	100.3		103.8	104.4	100.4	100.7	101.1	101.4		102.5	102.9	100.4	100.7	101.3	102.1
Th	e consumer price index (ARIMA)		100.5	100.6	101.1	100.8	100.7	100.7		104.4	105.0	101.1	102.0	102.7	103.4							
	Month		Nov 20	Dec 20	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	Apr 21		Nov 20	Dec 20	Jan 21	Feb 21	Mar 21	Apr 21		Nov 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Feb 20	Mar 20	Apr 20

Note. Over the period from January 1999 to October 2020, the series of the chain producer price index for machinery are identified as a stationary process around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indexes are stationary at levels.

Export, import, export outside the CIS and import from the countries outside the CIS are forecast to grow on average by -21.2%, -1.7%, -19.2%, and 0.3%, respectively for November 2020 – April 2021 against November 2019 – April 2020. The average forecast trade balance volume with all countries for November 2020 – April 2021 will total \$29.7 bn, which corresponds to a decrease by 56.1% in relation to November 2019 – April 2020.

PRICE DYNAMICS

The Consumer and Producer Price Indexes

This section presents estimates of forecast values of the consumer and producer price indexes (including both the industry in general and some branch activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) derived from time-series models from Rosstat data for the period from January 1999 to October 2020.¹ Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values from November of 2020 to April of 2021 by ARIMA models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The forecast average monthly gain in the consumer price index in November 2020 – April 2021 will come to 0.5%. The producer price index for industrial goods for the same period is forecast to average at 0.2% per month. The annual gain in the consumer price index according to two models will average 4.7%. The same indicator for the producer price index is forecast at -0.1%.

The Rosstat producer price indexes are forecast to gain at an average monthly rate for November 2020 – April 2021: for mining and quarrying (-4.1%), for manufacturing 0.7%, for utilities (electricity, gas, and water) 0.2%, for food products 0.9%, for the textile and sewing industry 0.4%, for wood products 0.5%, for pulp and paper industry 0.8%, for coke and refined petroleum 0.4%, for the chemical industry (-0.3%), for basic metals and fabricated metal products 0.9%, for machinery and equipment 0.6%, and for transport equipment manufacturing 0.7%.

The annual gain in the producer price indexes according to types of economic activity will average 2.4%. At 2020-end, the maximum annual gain is forecast for basic metals and metal products at 10.7%, the maximum drop – in mining and quarrying – 22.6%.

The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over November of 2020 to April of 2021. The forecasts were estimated by time series from Rosstat data for January 2000 to October 2020. The results are presented in Table 5.

As can be seen from *Table 5* the minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow compared to the corresponding level of the previous year. Having said that, the minimum set of food products is forecast to average RUB 4,394.8. The minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow on average at around 6.3% against the same period of last year. The annualized gain in the cost of minimum food basket will come to 7% in 2020.

Table 5
The forecast of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket

food basket					
	ast values according RIMA-model (RUB)				
Nov 20	4320.0				
Dec 20	4354.3				
Jan 21	4373.7				
Feb 21	4389.9				
Mar 21	4428.0				
Apr 21	4503.0				
	e: actual values in the same 2019/2020 (billion RUB)				
Nov 19	4031.5				
Dec 19	4067.7				
Jan 20	4096.1				
Feb 20	4109.0				
Mar 20	4176.9				
Apr 20	4321.4				
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)					
Nov 20	7.2				
Dec 20	7.0				
Jan 21	6.8				
Feb 21	6.8				
Mar 21	6.0				
Apr 21	4.2				
	wice of the cost of the month				

Note. The series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 October 2020 are stationary in the first-order differences.

¹ Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

PRICE DYNAMICS

Indexes of Freight Rates

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight tariff indexes on cargo carriage,¹ made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to August 2020. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in the November 2020 to April 2021. It should be noted that some of the indexes under review (for instance, the index of pipeline tariff) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase in tariffs in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

Table 6
Calculations of forecast values of freight tariffs indexes

Month	The composite index of transport tariff	The index of motor freight tariff	The index of pipeline tariff
	Forecast values accor	ding to ARIMA-models (% of the pre-	vious month)
Nov 20	100.0	99.5	97.4
Dec 20	100.0	99.5	97.1
Jan 21	99.9	100.9	97.2
Feb 21	99.9	99.4	97.2
Mar 21	99.9	99.4	97.2
Apr 21	103.7	99.4	103.0
	Forecast values according t	o ARIMA-models (% of December of	the previous year)
Nov 20	98.7	94.7	101.9
Dec 20	98.7	94.2	98.9
Jan 21	99.9	100.9	97.2
Feb 21	99.9	100.3	94.5
Mar 21	99.8	99.8	91.8
Apr 21	103.5	99.2	94.6
	For reference: actual values in	the same period of 2019/2020 (% of	f the previous month)
Nov 19	100.0	100.1	100.0
Dec 19	99.9	100.0	99.9
Jan 20	98.9	100.4	94.5
Feb 20	100.1	100.2	100.3
Mar 20	100.8	100.5	101.4
Apr 20	104.2	97.0	110.1

Note. Over the period from September 1998 to August 2020, the freight tariffs indexes were identified as stationary; the other series were identified as stationary over the period from September 1998 to August 2020, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were applied to all series.

According to the forecast findings for November 2020 – April 2021, the composite index of transport tariffs on freight carriage during six months under review will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.6%. In October 2020, the seasonal decline in the index is expected at 4.4 p.p. As a result, its annual drop in 2020 will come to 0.3%. In April 2021, the seasonal growth in the index is expected at 3.7 p.p.

The index of motor freight tariffs will be decreasing during these six months at an average monthly rate of 0.3%. Its annual decline is forecast at 0.6% in 2020.

The index of pipeline tariffs will be dropping during the next six months at an average monthly rate of -1.8%. in October 2020, the seasonal decline in the index is expected at -2.9 p.p. Its annual slump is forecast at 0.1% in 2020. In April 2021, the seasonal growth in the index is expected at 5.8 p.p.

The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the motor load freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indexes by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and motor load freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: Prices in Russia. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

World Prices of Natural Resources

This section presents estimates of average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), aluminum prices (US\$ per ton), gold prices (\$\$ per ounce\$), copper prices (US\$ per ton), and nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over November 2020 to April 2021 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of IMF data over the period from January 1980 to September 2020.

Table 7
Estimates of forecast values of world prices of natural resources

Month	Brent oil	Aluminum	Gold	Copper	Nickel
	(\$ per barrel)	(\$ per ton)	(\$ per ounce)	(\$ per ton)	(\$ per ton)
			Forecast values		
Nov 20	40.72	1778	1935	6759	14280
Dec 20	41.30	1801	1963	6815	14456
Jan 21	42.20	1799	1974	6854	14452
Feb 21	42.49	1803	1985	6897	14451
Mar 21	42.48	1809	2000	6934	14453
Apr 21	42.55	1815	2017	6974	14483
	Ex	spected growth on the r	espective month of the	previous year (%)	
Nov 20	-34.8	-0.1	31.6	15.0	4.5
Dec 20	-37.4	0.7	33.0	10.5	2.9
Jan 21	-27.4	4.5	26.5	23.3	13.1
Feb 21	-15.9	6.9	24.3	23.4	18.3
Mar 21	86.8	20.5	25.6	40.7	26.0
Apr 21	68.4	22.8	19.8	34.5	19.4
		For reference: actual va	alues in the same period	of 2019/2020	
Nov 19	62.43	1780	1470	5879	13668
Dec 19	66	1789	1476	6169	14053
Jan 20	58.16	1722	1561	5560	12778
Feb 20	50.52	1686	1597	5590	12220
Mar 20	22.74	1502	1592	4927	11470
Apr 20	25.27	1478	1683	5186	12133

Note. Over the period from January 1980 to September 2020, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper, and aluminum are series of DS type.

The crude oil price is forecast to average around \$42.0 per barrel, which is below its corresponding year-earlier indexes on average by 6.6%. The aluminum prices are forecast to average around \$1,801 per ton and their average forecast gain constitutes around 9% compared to the same level of last year. The gold price is forecast to average \$1,979 per ounce. The copper price is forecast to average \$6,872 per ton, and prices for nickel – around \$14,429 per ton. The average forecast price gain in gold constitutes around 24.6%, of copper – around 25%, of nickel – 14% against the corresponding level of last year.

At 2020-end, the forecast drop in oil prices compared to late 2019 will come to 37.4%. The projected gain in price of aluminum will be 0.7%, of gold -33%, of copper -10.5%, and of nickel -2.9%.

MONETARY INDEXES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR) and $\rm M_2$ monetary aggregate over the period from November 2020 to April 2021 were derived from models of time-series of respective indexes calculated by the CBR¹ in the period from October 1998 to October 2020 for the monetary base and to September 2020 for $\rm M_2$ monetary aggregate. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indexes in the same period of the previous year. It is to be noted that

¹ Data for a specific month is given, as by the CBR, as of the beginning of the following month.

due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In November 2020 – April 2021, the monetary base in the period under review will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.9%. The annual gain in the monetary base will come to 25.1% in 2020.

In the period under review, the $\rm M_2$ monetary aggregate index will be growing at 0.5% per month on average. The annual gain of the $\rm M_2$ index is projected at 12.0% in 2020.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation¹ as derived from time series modeling of gold and foreign exchange reserves from data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to October of 2020. That index is forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may be overestimated (or otherwise underestimated) as compared to actual values.

Subsequent to the forecast findings for November 2020 – April 2021, international reserves will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.6%. In 2020, international reserves are forecast to grow at 10.9%.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of foreign exchange rates (RUB per USD and USD per euro) were derived from time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indicators released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to November 2020 and from February 1999 to November 2020,² respectively.

Table 8
The forecast of M₂ and the monetary base

	The mo	onetary base		M_{γ}		
Month	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %		
Nov 20	13387	1.1	55297	1.1		
Dec 20	13465	0.6	55331	0.1		
Jan 21	13990	3.9	56822	2.7		
Feb 21	13700	-2.1	56230	-1.0		
Mar 21	13857	1.1	56825	1.1		
Apr 21	13939	0.6	56227	-1.1		
		tual value in th growth on the p				
Nov 19		-0.4	-0.4			
Dec 19		0.2		2.3		
Jan 20		4.2		5.1		
Feb 20		-3.4		-2.0		
Mar 20		1.9		1.4		

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to October 2020, the time series of the monetary base were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component and the time series of the M_2 monetary aggregate from October 1998 to September 2020 was identified as a stationary series with an explicit seasonal component.

2.0

Apr 20

Table 9
The forecast of international reserves of the Russian Federation

	Forecast values acco	ording to ARIMA-model
Month	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
Nov 20	579.0	-0.8
Dec 20	571.7	-1.3
Jan 21	571.7	0.0
Feb 21	580.7	1.6
Mar 21	587.0	1.1
Apr 21	586.9	0.0
For	reference: actual value of 2019/2	•
Nov 19	540.9	1.9
Dec 19	542.0	0.2
Jan 20	554.4	2.3
Feb 20	562.3	1.4
Mar 20	570.4	1.4
Apr 20	563.5	-1.2

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to October 2020, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

¹ The volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

The Bulletin uses the IMF data related to Euro/USD exchange rate for the period from January 1999 to September 2020, and on USD/RUB exchange rate from October 1998 to September 2020. Data for October and November 2020 were taken from the exchange rate website www.oanda.com.

Table 10
Forecasts of the USD/RUB and EUR/USD exchange rates

Month	The USD chang (RUB p	e rate	The EUR, chang (USD p	e rate	
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	
Nov 20	76.17	76.17	1.20	1.20	
Dec 20	75.21	75.59	1.19	1.19	
Jan 21	75.40	75.68	1.19	1.19	
Feb 21	75.75	75.99	1.19	1.19	
Mar 21	76.01	76.27	1.19	1.19	
Apr 21	76.33	76.59	1.19	1.19	
For	reference: ac	tual values i of 2019/20		r period	
Nov 19	64	.08	1.10		
Dec 19	61.	.91	1.13		
Jan 20	63.	.04	1.:	11	
Feb 20	66	.99	1.0	09	
Mar 20	77.	.73	1.:	10	
Apr 20	73.	.69	1.0	09	

Note. Over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

Table 11 Forecast of real wages

Month	Real accrued wages
	ccording to ARIMA-models ective month of 2019/2020)
Nov 20	101.1
Dec 20	101.4
Jan 21	101.7
Feb 21	101.9
Mar 21	102.1
Apr 21	102.4
	values in the respective period the same period of 2018/2019)
Nov 19	102.7
Dec 19	106.9
Jan 20	106.5
Feb 20	105.7
Mar 20	105.9
Apr 20	98.0

Note. For calculation purposes real wages in base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to August 2020 those series were attributed to the class of processes, which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

In November 2020 through April 2021, USD/RUB average exchange rate is forecast according to two models at RUB 75.93 per USD. The projected index will come to RUB 75.40 per USD on average according to two models at 2020-end.

Projected Euro/USD exchange rate over the period under review will average USD 1.19 per 1 euro. At 2020-end, the projected index will stay on average at USD 1.19 per 1 euro according to two models.

LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

This section (Table 11) presents results of calculations of monthly forecast values of index of real wages, as well as quarterly forecast values of real disposable cash income and real cash income¹ obtained from time series models of respective indexes computed by Rosstat for the period from January 1999 to August 2020, as well as from Q1 2014 to Q3 2020. The above indexes depend to a certain extent on centralized decisions on raising wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as on raising pensions, scholarships, and allowances; this

introduces some change in the dynamics of the indexes under review. Consequently, future values of the indexes of real wages and real disposable cash income that are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such decisions may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

The results presented in *Table 11*, project gain in real wages. Average monthly increase in the real wages is expected at 1.8% compared to the same period of the previous year. At the end of 2020, the projected gain

Table 12
Forecasts of living standard indexes

Period	Real disposable cash income	Real cash income							
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (as % to the corresponding quarter of 2019/2020)									
Q4 2020	98.3	97.9							
Q1 2021	96.7	97.0							
For reference: actual values for the respective period of 2019/2020 (in % to the same period of 2018/2019)									
Q4 2019	100.7	101.7							
Q1 2020	91.6	91.7							

Real cash income – a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of nominal size (i.e. which was formed in the period under review) of cash income of the population by the CPI. The real disposable cash income – is cash income less mandatory payments and contributions. (See: Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212).

in real wages will come to 2.3%. The results presented in *Table 12* predict decline in real disposable cash income by around 2.5% quarterly. Furthermore, real cash income is projected to drop quarterly on average by around 2.6% compared to the corresponding last year level.

At the end of 2020, the projected decrease in real disposable cash income will come to 2.9%; the drop in real cash income will be 2.6% for 12 months.

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

For the purpose of estimating future values of employment (the number of the gainfully employed population) and unemployment (the total number of the unemployed), models of time series, evaluated over the period from October 1998 to August 2020 from monthly data released by Rosstat, were used. Unemployment was also estimated from models with results from responses to business surveys.²

Potential logical inconsistencies³ in forecasts of employment and unemployment, for which totals should be equal to the index of the gainfully employed population, may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of gainfully employed population and another index.

Table 13
Calculation of forecast values of employment and unemployment indexes

			· ·							
	Emplo	oyment (ARIMA)		Unemployment	(ARIMA)	Unemployment (BS)				
Month	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully em- ployed population	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully em- ployed population		
Nov 20	70.3	-3.3	4.8	37.0	6.8	4.7 33.0		6.7		
Dec 20	70.3	-2.9	4.8	36.2	6.8	4.6	31.1	6.5		
Jan 21	69.3	-3.0	4.8	37.2	6.9	4.5	29.7	6.5		
Feb 21	69.4	-2.4	4.7	38.9	6.8	4.5	32.4	6.5		
Mar 21	69.5	-2.7	4.7	33.3	6.7	4.5	28.1	6.5		
Apr 21	68.4	-2.5	4.6	4.6 7.7 6.8 4.5		4.5	3.8	6.6		
		For referen	ce: actua	l values in the sai	me periods of 2019/	2020 (mi	llion people)			
Nov 19		72.7			3	.5				
Dec 19	72.4 3.5									
Jan 20	71.4 3.5									
Feb 20	71.1 3.4									
Mar 20		71.4 3.5								
Apr 20		70.2 4.3								

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to August 2020, the unemployment series is a stochastic process, which is stationary around the trend. The unemployment series is a stochastic process with first order integration. Both indexes include a seasonal component.

According to ARIMA-model forecast (*Table 13*), in November 2020 – April 2021, the decrease in the number of employed in the economy will average 2.8% per month against the corresponding period of the previous year. At 2020-end, the projected number of employed in the economy will come to 70.3 mn.

The average monthly gain in the total number of unemployed is forecast at 29% per month against the same period of last year. The average number of jobless is projected at 4.7 mn.

¹ The index is computed in accord with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

² The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to August 2020.

For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in employment and unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of the gainfully employed population.

ANNEXES

Annex 1. Diagrams of the Time Series of the Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation

Fig. 1a. The Rosstat industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of December 2001)




Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2010)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)




Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2010)




Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2010)




Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig.7a. The Rosstat industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 8b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 9. The volume of retail sales (billion RUB)




Fig. 9a. The real volume of retail sales (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig.10. Export to all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 11. Export to countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 12. Import from all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 14. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 14a. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)




Fig.15. The producer price index for industrial goods (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 18. The price index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 19. The price index for food products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 21. The price index for wood products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)





Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)



10/2020

Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 26. The price index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 27. The price index for transport equipment manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUB)




Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 32. The Brent oil price (\$ per barrel)



Fig. 33. The aluminum price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 34. The gold price (\$ per ounce)




Fig. 35. The nickel price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 36. The copper price (\$ per ton)



Fig. 37. The monetary base, billion RUB




Fig. 38. M₂, billion RUB




Fig. 39. The international reserves of the Russian Federation, million USD




Fig. 40. The RUB/USD exchange rate




Fig. 41. The USD/EUR exchange rate





Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)



Q1 2014 Q42014 Q3 2015 Q2 2016 Q1 2017 Q4 2017 Q3 2018 Q2 2019 Q1 2020 Q4 2020

Fig. 43. Real cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 44. Real accrued wages (as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)



Fig. 45. Employment (million people)



Fig. 46. Unemployment (million people)



Annex 2. Model calculations of short-term forecasts of social and economic indices of the Russian Federation: October 2020

	2020 2021								
	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Rosstat IIIP (growth rate, %)*	-7.2	-6.2	-5.7	-5.1	-4.4	-6.3	-5.0	-4.9	-0.1
HSE IIP (growth rate %)*	-5.6	-5.7	-4.3	-4.9	-4.6	-6.2	-5.4	-4.1	1.6
Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	-11.8	-12.5	-11.6	-12.9	-12.7	-13.1	-15.2	-10.9	-10.1
HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	-11.4	-10.7	-11.3	-10.8	-11.4	-12.2	-13.1	-9.3	-12.4
Rosstat IIIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	-4.1	-4.6	-3.9	1.8	-0.8	-5.9	-5.6	-4.0	8.9
HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	-0.8	-1.6	-3.1	1.9	0.0	-0.1	-2.3	-2.5	4.5
Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)									
(growth rate, %)*	-3.6	-2.9	-0.8	-2.3	0.3	3.2	0.1	1.0	0.8
HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	-3.2	-4.7	1.1	0.0	3.4	7.8	4.6	5.7	3.0
Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.8	1.2	0.3	0.5	0.1	2.5
HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	-0.2	-0.5	0.0	1.9	1.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	1.6
Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	-6.5	-4.5	-6.0	-5.8	-7.3	-8.8	-10.8	-9.1	-2.4
HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	-6.1	-3.4	-4.9	-3.8	-4.0	-4.7	-5.7	-4.5	7.6
Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-7.8	-4.8	-4.6	0.9	1.1	-5.3	-3.8	-0.2	6.6
HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-6.5	-2.4	-3.3	0.7	-1.0	0.0	-0.4	-1.5	4.0
Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*	6.0	3.1	3.0	-1.6	-7.9	-9.9	-3.7	8.0	13.9
HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*	10.1	-2.2	14.2	11.4	2.7	-3.4	-0.1	6.0	2.9
Retail sales, trillion Rb	2.96	2.92	3.01	3.04	3.63	2.76	2.75	3.01	2.98
Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*	-2.7	-2.1	-1.4	-1.8	-2.2	-1.4	-0.9	-0.7	35.8
Export to all countries (billion \$)	23.2	30.8	28.2	28.8	31.3	20.4	21.4	23.6	23.3
Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	19.1	26.3	23.5	27.8	29.3	17.0	18.3	19.6	19.7
Import from all countries (billion \$)	20.0	20.5	21.8	21.9	23.2	16.3	18.2	19.8	19.8
Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	17.8	18.4	19.6	19.9	22.2	14.9	16.3	17.7	17.8
CPI (growth rate, %)**	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5
PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**	1.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.2	0.1	-0.5	-0.3	-0.1	-0.3
PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**	1.1	-6.4	-4.3	-2.6	-3.8	-2.9	-4.9	-5.3	-5.0
PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	-0.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.7
PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**	3.4	-0.5	0.8	-0.3	0.0	0.9	0.8	0.2	-0.5
PPI for food products (growth rate, %)**	0.9	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.7
PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**	-0.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5
PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.9	0.4	0.2
PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**	0.2	1.1	0.9	0.3	0.9	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.9
PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**	-1.3	-1.1	2.5	1.7	-2.3	-2.8	2.2	1.6	1.8
PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**	1.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.5	-0.8	0.6	0.3	-0.5	-0.7
PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**	3.5	2.2	0.9	0.9	-0.2	1.0	2.5	0.6	0.7
PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.4	1.2	0.5	0.8	0.4
PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	2.0	0.1	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.1
The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb)	4.36	4.27	4.29	4.32	4.35	4.37	4.39	4.43	4.50
The composite index of transportation tariffs	-1.4	-0.7	-0.7	-0.5	-0.5	0.9	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
(growth rate, %)** The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.3	2.9	-2.9	-2.6	-2.9	-2.8	-2.8	-2.8	3.0
The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.0	0.0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	3.7
The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)	45.1	40.8	40.0	40.7	41.3	42.2	42.5	42.5	42.5
The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)	1.77	1.74	1.75	1.78	1.80	1.80	1.80	1.81	1.82
The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)	1.97	1.92	1.91	1.93	1.96	1.97	1.98	2.00	2.02
The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)	6.68	6.69	6.74	6.76	6.82	6.85	6.90	6.93	6.97
The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)	15.3	14.5	14.3	14.3	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5	14.5
The monetary base (trillion Rb)	13.0	13.1	13.2	13.4	13.5	14.0	13.7	13.9	13.9
··· / ···· \· ··· · ··· · · · · · · · ·									

ANNEXES

	2020					2021				
	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	
M ₂ (trillion Rb)	54.7	55.3	54.7	55.3	55.3	56.8	56.2	56.8	56.2	
Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)	0.59	0.59	0.58	0.58	0.57	0.57	0.58	0.59	0.59	
The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)	74.64	79.68	79.37	76.17	75.40	75.54	75.87	76.14	76.46	
The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)	1.19	1.18	1.16	1.20	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	1.19	
Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*	0.1	0.5	0.8	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.4	
Employment (million people)	70.5	70.3	70.0	70.3	70.3	69.3	69.4	69.5	68.4	
Unemployment (million people)	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	

Note. Actual values are printed in the bold type

 $^{^{*}}$ % of the respective month of the previous year

^{** %} of the previous month.