RUSSIAN FOOD EMBARGO: MINOR LOSSES IN WESTERN COUNTRIES¹ V.Uzun, D.Loginova

Most of the countries counter-sanctioned by Russia have seen no decline in food exports, increasing them to other countries to compensate for losses in the Russian market. Only a few countries have experienced a decline in exports due to the Russian food embargo, namely Norway (a total decline of 11.3%, of which the Russian market accounts for 10.1%), Finland (respectively 24.5% and 20.9%), Lithuania (20.7% and 20.6%), Latvia (21.5% and 11.5%), Estonia (22.8% and 12.2%), Poland (4.8% and 4.6%).

There is an established opinion in Russia that the countries that support sanctions against Russia have sustained considerable losses due to the Russian food embargo. There are few publications that prove it wrong². Mass media and economic literature generally assess the effect of the food embargo using data showing the decline in import volumes from these countries to Russia³. However, the respective losses should be assessed given both the decline in exports to Russia and the changes in exports to the markets of other countries.

The Table (see the Attachment hereto) shows that food exports to Russia from the counter-sanctioned countries fell sharply (by \$12.4bn) in 2015, as compared to 2013. The deepest decline was seen in Norway (\$1134m), USA (\$914m), EU member countries as a whole (\$9634m), including Germany (\$1162m), Lithuania (\$1284m), The Netherlands (\$1071m), Poland (\$1027m).

The overwhelming majority of these countries have seen exports decline not only to Russia but also to other countries over the same period. The biggest losses (\$61.9bn) have been sustained by EU member countries (\$14bn by The Netherlands, more than \$10bn by France and Germany each, \$8bn by Belgium). In fact, these countries sustained inconsiderable losses in the Russian market amid the overall decline in exports from these countries. For example, exports in Slovakia dropped 28.9%, with losses in the Russian market being as little as 0.8%. Exports in France fell 15.6%, losing 0.9% in the Russian market.

At the same time, some countries sustained major losses in the Russian market. For example, Norway lost 11.3% in the global market, of which the Russian market accounted for 10.1%. Finland saw its entire food exports fall

¹ This paper was originally published in *Online Monitoring of Russia's Economic Outlook* No.14(32).

² Shagaida N., Uzun V. Food embargo and choice of priorities /Voprosy Economiki. 2016. No. 7, pp. 93–105.

³ Western countries sustain \$8.6bn in losses due to food embargo / https:// rg.ru/2016/08/02/poteri-stran-zapada-ot-prodembargo-sostavili-86-milliarda-dollarov.html; The Baltic states conceal real losses of "the War of Sanctions" /http://newvhttp://www.rubaltic.ru/article/ekonomika-i-biznes/200116-sanktsii/z.ru/info/80283.html ;Losses in the war of sanctions against Russia: Brussels count losses / http://newvz.ru/info/80283.html ;Loss count in the war of sanctions. Russia's Ministry of Economic Development have assessed losses sustained due to the food embargo / http://www.newizv.ru/economics/2016-08-02/243960-vsankcionnoj-vojne-podschitali-poteri.html

24.5%, of which the Russian market accounted for 20.9%, Lithuania (respectively 20.7% and 20.6%), Latvia (21.5% and 11.5%), Estonia (22.8% and 12.2%), Poland (4.8% and 4.6%).

The initiators of sanctions against Russia, namely the United States, Great Britain, Canada, Germany, France, have sustained minor specific losses from the Russian food embargo. Russia's neighbour countries with whom Russia had well established food trade were hurt the most by the counter-sanctions.

An emphasis should be placed on the fact that food prices have recently been on the slide in the global market. And to obtain a more objective assessment, further study of the effects of the embargo is needed using physical values of exports and imports¹.

The EU increased exports (in physical terms) of most types of products in 2015, that is, EU member countries found new markets for the products sanctioned by Russia. And, in terms of value, there was no decline in exports from the EU. Instead, they increased to 482.5bn euro in 2015, as compared to 455.1bn euro in 2013. The decline in value of exports (in USD terms) was driven by the euro-dollar exchange rate (1.11 in 2015 compared to 1.33 in 2013). There is a prevailing view in Russian and European mass media that the agrifood market is facing problems due to the Russian embargo, although the key culprit is the national currency devaluation, both in Russia and the EU.

Meat and meat products, milk and dairy products, vegetables and fruits were the principal items of exports from EU member countries to Russia. In 2013, these products accounted, respectively, for 19.8%, 14.2%, 23.4% and 32.5% of EU member countries' exports outside the EU. Given the exports within the EU, Russia's share was much smaller (respectively 3.7%, 3.4%, 3.7% and 5.1%). *Table 1* shows an overall response of EU markets to the Russian embargo on food imports.

Table 1

EXPORTS OF SELECTED PRODUCTS FROM EU TO RUSSIA AND TO OTHER COUNTRIES

		nd meat lucts		id dairy lucts	Veget	tables	ables Fruits				
	Russia	Other coun- tries	Russia	Other coun- tries	Russia	Other coun- tries	Russia	Other coun- tries			
thousand tonnes											
2013	852	16 985	441	24 367	948	25 827	1 614	20 861			
2014	165	18 348	273	25 570	661	26 307	1 074	22 254			
2015	10	19 065	49	27 230	81	26 885	104	24 108			
Changes (+,-) in 2015 as compared to 2013	-842	2 080	-392	2863	-868	1057	-1 510	3246			
million euro											
2013	1 608	41 501	1 462	41 918	769	20 173	1 258	24 477			
2014	280	42 866	936	44 357	505	19 484	802	24 826			
2015	14	43 691	211	42 034	68	21 664	96	27 621			
Changes (+,-) in 2015 as compared to 2013	-1 594	2 190	-1 251	116	-700	1 490	-1 162	4305			

Source: Eurostat.

1 Below is analysis that was made using the Eurostat's data, because the Comtrade database provides no data on sales in physical volume. The decline in exports to Russia was offset by growth in exports to other countries. In terms of value, extra revenues in other countries failed to compensate for the losses in Russia despite growth in volumes of milk exports alone, because exports to Russia used to include more expensive types of food products (cheese, etc.).

The foregoing provide the picture in the EU as a whole. The picture by country differs largely from the EU average. Almost all the major exporters of meat products found a replacement for the Russian market, and not only did they compensate for the loss, but also their exports were increased (*Table 2*).

For example, Poland cut its exports of meat products to Russia by 77,000 tonnes as its exports to other countries were increased by 373,000 tonnes. The sole exception is France that failed to compensate for cutbacks on supplies to Russia with sales in other markets. Germany, Denmark, Belgium saw their revenues from meat exports drop considerably.

Table 2

	Gro	wth (+) / decli	ine in exports	s in 2015 as co	ompared to 2	013.
	To R	ussia	To other	countries	То	tal
	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro
EU-28	-841.69	-1594.09	2080.21	2189.50	1238.52	595.41
Germany	-184.91	-333.39	284.22	-159.93	99.31	-493.32
Denmark	-134.63	-284.45	125.53	-141.03	-9.11	-425.48
France	-86.94	-123.92	-16.67	-139.38	-103.62	-263.30
Poland	-77.73	-159.57	373.25	689.68	295.52	530.11
The Netherlands	-74.06	-138.86	286.52	245.92	212.45	107.06
Spain	-53.64	-116.02	526.05	837.12	472.41	721.11
Belgium	-44.62	-69.68	136.41	-55.26	91.78	-124.93
Hungary	-36.08	-79.99	61.06	77.18	24.97	-2.80
Italy	-35.51	-56.93	43.91	245.27	8.40	188.34
Ireland	-29.49	-69.25	127.30	405.75	97.81	336.50
Lithuania	-27.25	-64.13	38.66	61.43	11.41	-2.70
Austria	-26.86	-48.96	57.77	22.44	30.91	-26.52

CHANGES IN VOLUME AND VALUE OF EXPORTS OF MEAT AND MEAT PRODUCTS FROM EU

Source: Eurostat.

The picture for dairy products is somewhat different. Expensive products ceased to be exported to Russia due to the Russian embargo. It was not always possible to export expensive products to other countries. The export pattern underwent some changes and almost all the exporters saw their revenues decline, although sales volumes increased (*Table 3*).

Lithuania sustained financial losses in the vegetable, although it managed to compensate for cutbacks in exports to Russia (in terms of volume) by increasing exports to other countries (*Table 4*).

Poland was the sole country whose fruits exports were hurt by the Russian embargo. Exports to Russia were cut by 782,000 tonnes, which was partially offset by increasing exports to other countries (433,000 tonnes).

Table 3

CHANGES IN VOLUME AND VALUE OF EXPORTS OF MILK AND DAIRY PRODUCTS FROM EU (FEACN 04)

	Grov	wth (+) / decli	ne in exports	s in 2015 as co	ompared to 2	2013.
	To R	ussia	To other	countries	То	tal
	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro
EU-28	-392.15	-1250.83	2863.30	115.74	2471.15	-1135.09
Finland	-86.75	-252.18	73.27	111.97	-13.48	-140.21
The Netherlands	-63.58	-225.97	1042.30	-275.33	978.71	-501.30
Lithuania	-47.19	-152.69	47.17	-27.20	-0.02	-179.89
Poland	-45.86	-139.57	321.55	95.95	275.69	-43.62
Germany	-45.29	-153.49	437.41	-482.94	392.11	-636.43
France	-27.73	-83.63	166.52	56.05	138.79	-27.58
Denmark	-21.44	-77.86	88.81	117.11	67.37	39.25
Estonia	-20.98	-49.24	-11.49	-11.66	-32.48	-60.90

Source: Eurostat.

Table 4

CHANGES IN VOLUME AND VALUE OF EXPORTS OF VEGETABLES FROM EU (FEACN 07)

	Grov	wth (+) / decli	ne in exports	s in 2015 as co	ompared to 2	.013.
	To R	ussia	To other	countries	То	tal
	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro
EU-28	-867.73	-700.36	1057.35	1490.39	189.63	790.02
Poland	-297.27	-172.02	234.38	178.90	-62.89	6.88
Lithuania	-264.45	-323.60	470.75	120.73	206.30	-202.88
The Netherlands	-133.76	-48.89	-362.96	414.65	-496.72	365.76
Spain	-69.50	-72.00	567.39	618.47	497.89	546.48
Belgium	-47.89	-40.24	258.95	224.20	211.06	183.96

Source: Eurostat.

Table 5

CHANGES IN VOLUME AND VALUE OF EXPORTS OF FRUITS FROM EU (FEACN 8)

	Growth (+) / decline in exports in 2015 as compared to 2013.													
	To R	ussia	To other	countries	Total									
	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro	thousand tonnes	million euro								
EU-28	-1509.54	-1161.59	3246.15	4305.00	1736.61	3143.41								
Poland	-781.73	-338.16	433.21	196.66	-348.52	-141.51								
Spain	-161.85	-157.79	668.08	1414.94	506.23	1257.14								
Lithuania	-151.38	-271.01	802.80	187.73	651.42	-83.27								
Belgium	-147.41	-154.23	169.42	189.26	22.00	35.03								
Greece	-107.00	-108.23	100.76	80.36	-6.23	-27.87								
Italy	-63.64	-61.29	381.57	416.42	317.92	355.13								
The Netherlands	-20.60	0.63	148.82	791.96	128.22	792.59								

Source: Eurostat.

There were isolated instances where the decline in imports to Russia caused a real decline in volume and value of exports from the counter-sanctioned countries, however, the economic loss was insignificant. Hence the Russian ban on exports of food staples has to date failed to inflict considerable damages to exporters in these countries.

	Decline (-), increase (+) in exports in 2015 as compared to 2013	to other countries	as % of	millions exports US dol- lars tries in	2013	-7758 -5.3	-541 -1.7	-22 0.0	459 9.3	-137 -1.2	-61910 -10.4	-1297 -10.3	-8044 -16.6	-1180 -22.0	162 10.3	-55 -12.4	-114 -1.4	-2812 -12.7	-206 -10.6	-76 -3.6	-11648 -14.8	-10012 -11.1	-407 -6.4	-1718 -16.2	-545 -4.1	-2987 -6.8	
	ecline (-), incre in 2015 as col		as % of	exports to all coun- tries in	2013	-0.6	-0.9	-0.8	-0.2	-10.1	-1.6	-1.5	-1.0	-0.4	-0.4	-4.0	-0.4	-3.2	-12.2	-20.9	-0.8	-1.3	-2.8	-1.5	-1.8	-1.1	
RIES	Declin in 20	to R		millions US dol- lars		-914.4	-290.8	-379.3	-7.8	-1134.6	-9629.8	-184.6	-479.6	-21.6	-6.4	-17.5	-29.7	-697.7	-235.6	-443.1	-617.6	-1161.5	-180.5	-156.4	-244.1	-505.6	
COUNT	March)	9	% 'ə	nsda s'sissu	Я	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.1	1.1	1.5	0.9	1.5	0.3	0.2	1.3	0.9	13.8	7.6	0.7	1.3	0.6	3.2	0.5	0.8	
CTIONED	2016 (January–March)	SJ		incl. to Russ illions US do		103	32	12	ŋ	1	1479	45	92	14	1	0	26	41	43	26	119	251	6	69	14	76	
TER-SAN(2016 (J	-	su	otal to all cc tries, millio US dollar:	þ	32458	6776	10598	1309	2649	129937	2915	9764	906	417	97	2016	4421	311	343	16532	19393	1472	2133	3001	9860	
M COUN		9	% 'ə	nede e'eieeu	Я	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.3	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.1	1.2	0.8	18.1	8.2	0.6	1.3	0.7	2.3	0.4	1.0	
RTS FROI	2015	SJ		incl. to Russ illions US do		404	60	37	26	27	6077	131	302	49	14	0	95	154	271	131	379	1024	43	199	53	415	
OF AGRI-FOOD EXPORTS FROM COUNTER-SANCTIONED COUNTRIES		-	su	ctal to all co tries, millio US dollar:	pt.	138216	30947	47792	5397	9376	523806	11154	39894	4155	1723	370	8059	18638	1496	1597	66687	79333	5817	8763	12613	40754	
AGRI-FO		9	% 'ə	neda a'eiaau	Я	0.6	0.5	0.8	0.8	4.9	2.0	1.9	1.2	1.4	0.9	1.9	1.6	1.7	24.2	20.2	1.0	1.7	2.6	3.0	1.2	1.8	
ш	2014	SJ		incl. to Russ illions US do		938	168	406	38	572	12033	248	552	67	15	∞	143	374	459	419	760	1595	158	307	171	802	
CHANGES IN VOLUM		-	su	oo lla ot lato tries, millin US dollar:	pt.	154853	32479	50693	5028	11754	599988	12946	46181	4915	1735	431	8690	22182	1897	2077	75931	91709	6185	10274	14148	45400	
CHANGE		9	% 'ə	rsha s'sissu	Я	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	10.3	2.6	2.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	4.1	1.5	3.8	26.2	27.1	1.3	2.4	3.5	3.3	2.2	2.1	
	2013	SJ		incl. to Russ illions US do		1319	351	417	34	1161	15706	315	782	71	21	18	125	852	507	574	966	2186	224	356	297	921	
		-	su	otal to all co tries, millio US dollar	p†	146889	31779	48194	4946	11247	595346	12636	48417	5356	1567	442	8203	22148	1937	2116	78953	90507	6404	10638	13402	44247	
				Countries		USA	Australia	Canada	Japan	Norway	EU-28, incl.*	Austria	Belgium	Bulgaria	Croatia	Cyprus	Czech Republic	Denmark	Estonia	Finland	France	Germany	Greece	Hungary	Ireland	Italy	

36

ATTACHMENT

orts 3	ntries	as % of exports to all coun- tries in 2013	-0.1	3.4	0.5	-14.0	-0.2	-4.9	-6.0	-28.4	1.3	-4.1	-7.8	-5.4	-8.3
Decline (-), increase (+) in exports in 2015 as compared to 2013	to other countries	millions ext US dol- cc lars tri- tri- 20	-4 -(46 3	0	-14296 -1	-48 -(-363	-381 -	-1214 -2	16 1	-1988		-1704 -	- 60669-
rease (- mpare	to of			4	0	-14	4-	- Ŷ	ņ	-12	1	-19	-761	-17	-69
: (-), incr 15 as co	to Russia	as % of exports to all coun- tries in 2013	-20.6	-0.4	-1.9	-1.0	-4.6	-0.5	-0.1	-0.5	-1.2	-1.0	-0.6	-0.3	-1.5
Decline in 20	to Ri	millions US dol- lars	-1284.1	-5.8	0.0	-1071.1	-1226.5	-34.9	-3.2	-23.4	-14.1	-508.6	-62.3	-101.1	-12356.7
larch)	ç	% ,ənarla s'aizsuA	10.2	0.2	0.0	1.0	1.5	0.5	2.7	0.5	2.1	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.9
2016 (January–March)	S.	, sissuß to Russia, millions US dollai	115	0	0	240	94	7	33	ŝ	9	58	15	33	1633
2016 (J		total to all coun- tries, millions US dollars	1136	209	0	23165	6202	1552	1235	662	287	12385	2237	6802	183729
	ç	8 share, s'aissu's, %	10.9	0.4	0.1	1.0	1.7	0.4	0.9	0.6	2.1	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.9
2015	S.	,6iszuß to Ruszia, Isllob 2U znoillim	540	9	0	006	442	30	52	18	25	268	68	122	6631
		total to all coun- tries, millions US dollars	4958	1370	1	86850	25594	7019	5925	3033	1181	46220	8919	29549	756135
	ç	% ,ənərə s'əissuß	23.3	0.7	0.4	1.5	4.3	0.7	1.3	0.9	2.6	1.1	1.2	0.5	1.7
2014	S.	, sizzu R to Russia, Isllob 2U znoillim	1438	12	0	1557	1194	55	87	32	33	565	122	180	14155
		total to all coun- tries, millions US dollars	6183	1590	2	103407	27965	7968	6999	3633	1288	50803	10084	33066	854794
	ç	% ,ənərə s'əissuß	29.2	0.9	2.0	1.9	6.2	0.9	0.9	1.0	3.3	1.6	1.3	0.7	2.3
2013	S.	, sizzu Я to I. loni Isllob 2U znoillim	1824	12	0	1971	1669	65	55	41	39	776	131	223	18988
	-	total to all coun- tries, millions US dollars	6246	1330	1	102217	26869	7417	6309	4270	1179	48717	9743	31355	838400
		Countries	Lithuania	Luxemburg	Malta	The Netherlands	Poland	Portugal	Romania	Slovakia	Slovenia	Spain	Sweden	Great Britain	Total for sanctioned

* Aggregate data are presented for all EU member countries, including each country's exports within and outside the European Union. *Source:* Comtrade.

RUSSIAN FOOD EMBARGO: MINOR LOSSES IN WESTERN COUNTRIES