

GAIDAR INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC POLICY

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova, A.Buzaev, T.Kiblitskaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES	2
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES	3
FOREIGN TRADE INDICES	5
DYNAMICS OF PRICES	8
MONETARY INDICES	10
INTERNATIONAL RESERVES	11
FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES	11
THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES	12
EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT	13
ANNEX	14

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations of various economic indicators for the Russian Federation in *October* of 2019 to *March* to 2020¹, which were performed using time series models developed as a result of research conducted by the Gaidar Institute over the past few years.² A method of forecasting falls within the group of *formal* or *statistical* methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the *opinion* nor *expert evaluation* of the researcher, rather they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator, which were performed using formal ARIMA models (p, d, q) given a prevailing trend and its, in some cases, significant changes. The presented forecasts are of inertial nature, because respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the time horizon to be forecast. The foregoing calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making decisions on economic policy, provided that the general trends, which were seen prior to forecasting for each specific indicator, remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies³, which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts was deteriorated in most of the cases when the data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included into the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes.⁴

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, which were calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute, and volumes of imports/exports from/to all countries, which were calculated using structural models (SM). The forecast values based on the structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding information of the dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides, the use of structural forecasts in making

¹ Given that from early 2019 Rosstat does not release monthly data on indexes of real disposable cash income of the population, commencing from issue 8-2019 we release forecasts in quarter terms for 2 quarters ahead.

² See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshevsky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. *The Econometric Analysis of the Time Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. *Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indexes*. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries*. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitskaya, *Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation*. Moscow, IET, 2010.

³ Ibid.

⁴ See.: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1997, No.80, p. 355–385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1992, No.10, p. 251–270.

aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

The dynamics of the Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from the monetary theory. The following was used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because the dynamics of manufacturers' costs relies heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator to be noted is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are most significant factors, which determine the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports of goods. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – were added so that seasonal fluctuations were factored in. The dynamics of imports is effected by personal and corporate incomes whose increase triggers higher demand for all goods including imported ones. The real disposable cash income reflects the personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects the corporate income.

The forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

The forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA models (p, d, q).

The paper also presents calculations of the values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index, which were calculated using the results of business surveys conducted by the Gaidar Institute. Empirical studies show¹ that the use of series of business surveys as explanatory variables ² in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on the average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to choose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the variable itself.

All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

Industrial production

For making forecast for October of 2019 to March 2020, the series of monthly data of the indexes of industrial production released by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 to July 2019, as well as the series of the base indexes of industrial production released by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE³) over the period from January 2010 to August 2019 were used (the corrected

² Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

09/ 2019

¹ See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings*. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

³ The indexes in question are calculated by E.A. Baranov and V.A. Bessonov.

09/2019

Table 1

Calculations of forecast values of indexes of industrial production¹ (%)

	-	Index of industrial production	ex of industr production	rial	IIP for mining	nining	IIP for manufacturi	for cturing	IIP for utilities (electricity, wate and gas)	IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	IIP for food products	· food ucts	IIP for coke and petroleum	for coke and petroleum	IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products	IIP for primary metals and abricated metal products	IIP for m	IIP for machinery
	Ro	Rosstat	NRU HSE	HSE	ţ	SE	ţ	SE	ţ	EE	ţ	EE	ţ	EE	ţ	EE	ţ	EE
	АМІЯА	SB	АМІЯА	88	stszoЯ	ако Н	stzcaЯ	ако н	etseoЯ	ако н	etseoA	ако н	stzsoЯ	ако н	etseoA	ако н	stzsoЯ	ако Н
							Expec	ted growth:	on the res	Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year	onth of the	previous y	ear					
Oct 19	2.8	1.6	2.8	1.6	0.8	1.6	1.3	1.2	3.6	5.3	2.6	2.3	3.1	2.8	-3.1	3.2	-4,3	4,2
Nov 19) 2.6	0.9	3.1	1.1	0.8	1.5	2.1	1.2	-0.2	0.3	2.4	4.3	2.2	0.4	1.2	3.8	2,9	-0,8
Dec 19	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.6	0.8	1.1	2.1	0.7	-1.9	-1.3	2.7	4.9	0.9	0.0	7.9	3.2	2,2	-17,6
Jan 20	2.6	1.8	2.1	1.9	1.8	1.1	2.9	0.7	-0.6	-0.4	4.8	3.9	1.5	1.8	2.6	3.5	3,2	2,8
Feb 20	1.9	1.6	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.7	-0.9	-1.2	3.0	3.1	4.0	1.8	-2.1	5.3	-8,8	-10,1
Mar 20	3.1	3.7	2.9	4.1	1.3	1.1	2.4	3.6	0.9	-1.0	4.7	1.6	1.8	4.7	8.7	3.5	-2,2	3,8
						For r	For reference: actua		th in 2018-	-2019 on th	ne respectiv	ve month o	growth in 2018-2019 on the respective month of 2017-2018	18				
Oct 18		3.7	4.7	7	7.4	5.4	2.7	5.7	-3.2	-3.9	6.9	4.6	1.5	0.3	19.6	6.2	-9.3	-6.2
Nov 18		2.4	3.8	8	7.8	6.0	0.0	2.7	2.4	2.7	6.6	1.4	0.3	2.9	7.2	2.5	-5.6	-1.3
Dec 18		2.0	4.8	8	6.3	5.7	0.0	4.2	4.5	5.5	4.5	-1.8	0.2	3.5	-8.3	0.2	-6.2	19.1
Jan 19		1.1	2.3	3	4.8	4.3	-1.0	1.2	1.3	1.1	2.8	-1.7	1.2	1.2	2.3	2.1	-10.6	4.8
Feb 19		4.1	3.4	4	5.1	4.2	4.6	4.3	-1.1	-2.7	7.7	2.5	5.2	3.9	10.3	1.6	5.7	24.4
Mar 19		1.2	0.3	3	4.3	4.1	0.3	-0.5	-4.8	-7.0	3.3	1.1	-0.4	-1.9	-6.4	-0.3	3.3	3.5
Note cesses a	. In the around	e time sp the trer	pans ur nd with	nder reי מום חם ו	Note. In the time spans under review, the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain indexes of IIP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary processes around the trend with an endogenous structural change; the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products,	ries of the tructural ch	Rosstat an Jange; the	d the NRU series of tl	HSE chain he Rosstat	indexes of and the NR	IIP, as well U HSE chai	as the NRU n IIPs for n	NRU HSE chain indexes of IIP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary pro- ss of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products,	IIP for mar ing, for prin	nufacturing nary metal	j are identif s and fabric	fied as stat cated meta	ionary pro- l products,

as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for mining and Rosstat chain IIP for machinery and equipment are identified as stationary processes around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The time series of other chain indexes are stationary at levels.

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

¹ It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indexes (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

value of January 2010 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecast values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes of industrial production are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The obtained results are shown in Table 1.

As seen from *Table 1*, the Rosstat average¹ growth in the industrial production index in October 2019 – March 2020 compared to the same period of the previous year for the industry as a whole comes to 2.3%. For the NRU HSE, the industrial production index also comes to 2.3%. At year-end 2019, projected growth in industrial production index according to Rosstat will amount to 2.5%, and growth in the industrial production index of NRU HSE – 2.6%.

The average monthly gain in the Rosstat and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes for mining and quarrying amount to 1.1% and 1.2%, respectively in October 2019 – March 2020.

The average gain in the industrial production index in manufacturing industry according to Rosstat for October 2019 – March 2020 amounts to 2.0% compared to the same period of the previous year and the NRU HSE industrial production index in manufacturing industry comes to 1.3%. The average monthly increase in production of food products to average by 3.4% and 3.3% for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The production of coke and petroleum products is forecast to grow on average by 2.3% and 1.9% for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively. The average monthly change in the industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products for October 2019 – March 2020 computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE constitutes 2.5% and 3.8%, respectively. Manufacturing of machinery and equipment is forecast to grow on average by (-1.2%) and (-2.9%) for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

The average gain in the industrial production index for electricity, gas, and steam supply; for air conditioning computed by Rosstat for October 2019 – March 2020 constitutes 0.1% in comparison with the same period of the previous year; the same indicator for the NRU HSE industrial production index comes to 0.3% per month. Increase in the Rosstat industrial production indexes will average 2.1% (by types of economic activity) in 2019, and the NRU HSE industrial production indexes – (-1.3%).

Retail Sales

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – September 2019.

As seen from *Table 2*, the average forecast increment in retail sales turnover for October 2019 to March 2020 against the corresponding period of 2018–2019 amounts to around 3.2%. The average forecast growth in the real turnover for the period from October 2019 to March 2020 compared to the same period of 2018–2019 constitutes 4.9%.

Year-on-year, the nominal retail sales turnover in 2019 will gain 4.1%, and the real one – 2.2%.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

Model calculations of forecast values of the export and export to countries outside the CIS and the import and import from countries outside the CIS were made on the

Table 2

Calculations of forecast values of retail sales and real retail sales

F	orecast value according to A	RIMA-model
	Retail sales, billion RUB (in brackets – growth on	Real retail sales (as % of the respective
	the respective month of the previous year, %)	period of the previous year)
Oct 19	2883.9 (5.1)	102.0
Nov 19	2897.6 (4.9)	102.4
Dec 19	3475.3 (4.9)	102.0
Jan 20	2619.8 (4.7)	101.8
Feb 20	2561.2 (4.6)	100.8
Mar 20	2725.4 (2.1)	100.5
For	reference: actual values in th of 2018–2019	e same months
Oct 18	2744.9	102.2
Nov 18	2762.8	103.3
Dec 18	3311.6	102.7
Jan 19	2502.8	102.0
Feb 19	2448.0	102.1
Mar 19	2670.2	107.1

Note. The series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – September 2019.

¹ Average growth of industrial production indexes is the average value of these indexes for six months under review.

6	
0	
2	
6	
\circ	

Calculations of forecast values of volumes of foreign trade turnover with countries outside the CIS Table 3

		Exports to	Exports to all countries	Ś	ш	iports from	Imports from all countries	SS	Export	s to countri	Exports to countries outside the CIS	the CIS	Imports	from count	Imports from countries outside the CIS	the CIS
	Forecas (billion mo	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	Percen actual di respectiv of the pre	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	t values USD a hth)	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year	tage of ta in the e month rious year	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	t values USD a ith)	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year	ta of ta in the e month vious year	Forecast values (billion USD a month)	: values USD a ith)	Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year	ta in the e month vious year
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM
Oct 19	37.2	38.3	60	93	23.4	22.4	109	104	33.3	34.3	93	96	20.6	19.6	107	102
Nov 19	39.4	40.1	98	100	22.6	23.0	106	108	35.4	36.6	100	103	19.9	20.0	105	105
Dec 19	43.6	42.1	107	103	24.5	22.7	109	101	36.5	39.1	102	109	21.6	21.0	108	105
Jan 20	35.8	36.0	116	117	19.1	18.4	116	112	31.0	32.3	114	119	16.9	15.4	116	106
Feb 20	36.0	37.9	104	109	20.8	20.5	114	112	32.7	33.4	108	110	17.4	17.9	107	110
Mar 20	39.6	40.3	107	109	21.9	22.9	104	109	35.6	36.9	111	115	19.8	18.9	106	101
					For refere	snce: actual	For reference: actual values in respective months of 2018-2019 (billion USD)	espective n	nonths of 2	018-2019 (billion USD)					
Oct 18		4	41.3			21	21.5			35	35.8			19	19.2	
Nov 18		4	40.3			21	21.3			35	35.5			19	19.0	
Dec 18		41	40.8			22	22.4			35	35.8			20	20.1	
Jan 19		31	30.8			16	16.4			27	27.2			14	14.6	
Feb 19		Ý.	34.7			18	.8.2			30	30.3			16	16.2	
Mar 19		3	37.0			21	21.0			32	32.2			18	18.7	
Note. identified	Over the poly as station	eriod from J ary series i	January 199 in the first-c	Note. Over the period from January 1999 to September 2019, the series of exports, imports, exports to the countries outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.	nber 2019, t inces. In all	the series of the cases,	f exports, in seasonal co	nports, exp. mponents v	orts to the were incluc	countries o led in the s	utside the (pecification	CIS and imp of the mod	orts from th dels.	ne countrie	s outside th	ie CIS were

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...

Table 4 Calculations of forecast values of price indexes

	for transport for framgiupa gninufacturing		101.0	100.4	100.6	100.1	100.2	100.5		103.8	104.2	104.8	100.1	100.3	100.8		102.9	103.1	103.7	101.0	101.3	100.9	with two
	for machinery and equipment		100.2	100.2	100.3	101.4	100.9	100.3		102.9	103.1	103.4	101.4	102.3	102.7		106.2	105.0	105.5	101.2	101.6	101.9	the trend
	for basic metals and fabricated metal		101.0	100.4	100.2	100.7	101.3	99.7		101.9	102.3	102.6	100.7	102.0	101.7		109.9	108.8	108.6	98.7	97.9	98.5	a stationary process around the trend with two
	for chemical		99.5	99.1	98.9	100.6	100.7	99.9		96.9	96.1	95.0	100.6	101.3	101.2		115.2	115.5	113.3	99.8	99.1	97.9	nary proce
	for coke and refined petroleum		102.9	102.2	99.5	97.2	102.3	102.1		99.5	101.7	101.1	97.2	99.5	101.6	(135.9	136.3	123.4	90.9	88.4	86.9	s a statio
	for pulp and paper industry		100.3	100.3	100.5	100.6	100.4	100.4		99.1	99.4	99.9	100.6	101.0	101.5	2017/2018)	113.1	114.9	115.6	101.6	101.4	101.5	dentified a
dexes:	for wood products		100.3	100.2	99.8	100.3	100.4	100.3		98.8	99.0	98.9	100.3	100.7	101.0	ecember 2	110.3	111.2	111.3	100.4	101.7	101.5	of the chain producer price index for machinery are identified as
Producer price indexes:	for textile and sewing industry	nonth)	100.5	100.5	100.0	100.4	100.5	100.4	8/2019)	102.4	103.0	103.0	100.4	100.9	101.3	2018/2019 (% of December	104.4	106.5	107.0	100.5	100.5	100.4	for machi
Produc	for food products	previous r	100.4	100.1	100.3	100.4	100.1	100.4	mber 201	100.1	100.2	100.5	100.4	100.5	101.0	f 2018/20	105.3	106.8	107.5	100.3	100.4	99.2	rice index
	for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	Forecast values (% of the previous month)	100.6	99.9	99.9	99.9	101.5	100.5	Forecast values (% of December 2018/2019)	106.0	105.9	105.8	99.9	101.4	101.9	periods of	104.1	103.8	103.5	99.1	100.4	101.0	roducer pi
	ุ ถุกานวังคำมาธุณาวิ	ast values	100.5	99.8	99.5	100.1	100.1	100.3	st values (100.6	100.4	99.9	100.1	100.3	100.5	the same	112.4	112.7	110.5	98.6	98.0	97.6	he chain p
	for mining and guarrying	Forec	101.4	99.2	96.8	100.0	102.1	98.1	Foreca	92.3	91.6	88.6	100.0	102.1	100.1	l values in	128.2	131.1	121.0	95.9	97.3	101.2	
	for industrial goods (FM)		100.5	100.5	100.7	100.2	100.5	100.7		98.1	98.6	99.3	100.2	100.7	101.4	For reference: actual valu							2019, the
	for industrial goods (BS)		101.2	100.5	99.2	9.99	100.0	100.4		99.1	9.66	98.8	9.99	99.9	9.66	⁻ or referei	114.6	115.4	111.6	98.0	98.1	99.0	sptember
	for industrial goods (AMIЯA)		100.9	99.7	99.4	100.2	100.6	100.9		9.66	99.3	98.7	100.2	100.8	101.7								1999 to Se
Ð	The consumer pric (M7) The consumer pric		100.6	100.4	100.5	100.5	100.5	100.5		103.9	104.3	104.8	100.5	101.0	101.5								n January
Ð	The consumer pric (M2) xəbni		100.1	100.3	100.3	100.3	100.2	100.2		102.1	102.4	102.7	100.3	100.5	100.7		103.0	103.6	104.4	101.0	101.4	101.7	Note. Over the period from January 1999 to September 2019, the series
Ð	The consumer pric (AMIЯA) xəbni		100.2	100.3	100.5	101.4	100.7	100.4		102.8	103.1	103.7	101.4	102.0	102.5								Over the p
			Oct 19	Nov 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Feb 20	Mar 20		Oct 19	Nov 19	Dec 19	Jan 20	Feb 20	Mar 20		Oct 18	Nov 18	Dec 18	Jan 19	Feb 19	Mar 19	Note. (

7

endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indexes are stationary at levels.

FOREIGN TRADE INDEXES

basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to September 2019 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia.¹ The results of calculations are shown in Table 3.

Export, import, export outside the CIS and import from the countries outside the CIS are forecast to grow on average at 3.7%, 8.5%, 6.0%, and 6.2%, respectively for October 2019 – March 2020 against the same period of 2018–2019. The average forecast trade balance volume with all countries for October 2019 – March 2020 will total \$102.1 bn, which corresponds to a decline by 1.9% in relation to the same period of 2018–2019.

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

The Consumer Price Index and Producer Price Index

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the consumer price index and producer price index (as regards both the industry in general and some types of its activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) made on the basis of the time-series models evaluated on the basis of the data released by Rosstat over the period from January 1999 to September 2019². Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values over October 2019 to March 2020 in accordance with ARIMA-models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The forecast average monthly increment in the consumer price index in October 2019 to March 2020 will come to 0.4%. The producer price index for industrial goods for the same period is forecast to average 0.3% per month. Annual gain in consumer price index on two models will come to 3.7%. The same indicator for the producer price index is forecast at 1.1%.

The Rosstat producer price indexes are forecast to grow at average monthly rate for October 2019 – March 2020: for mining and quarrying (-0.4%), manufacturing 0.1%, utilities (electricity, gas, and steam) 0.4%, food products 0.3%, textile and sewing industry 0.4%, wood products 0.2%, pulp and paper industry 0.4%, coke and refined petroleum 1.0%, for chemical industry (-0.2%), for basic metals and fabricated metal 0.6%, for machinery and equipment 0.6%, and for motor vehicles manufacture 0.5%.

The annual gain in the producer price indexes across types of economic activity will average 0.3%. At year-end 2019, the maximum annual gain is projected in electricity, gas, and steam supply (5.8%), and the minimum one – in mining and quarrying (-11.4%).

The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over October of 2019 to March of 2020. The forecasts were made on the basis of time series with use the Rosstat data over the period from January 2000 to September 2019. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5

The forecast of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket

	Forecast values according to ARIMA-model (RUB)						
Oct 19	4022.6						
Nov 19	4052.6						
Dec 19	4107.7						
Jan 20	4167.1						
Feb 20	4209.3						
Mar 20	4268.8						
	erence: actual values in the same ths of 2018–2019 (billion RUB)						
Oct 18	3833.2						
Nov 18	3883.5						
Dec 18	3989.2						
Jan 19	4065.7						
Feb 19	4103.9						
Mar 19	4179.8						
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)							
Oct 19	4.9						
Nov 19	4.4						
Dec 19	3.0						
Jan 20	2.5						
Feb 20	2.6						
Mar 20	2.1						

Note. The series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 to September 2019 are stationary in the first-order differences.

09/2019

¹ The data on the foreign trade turnover is calculated by the CBR in accordance with the methods for making of the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

² Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

As can be seen from *Table 5*, the minimum set of food products' cost is forecast to grow compared to the corresponding level of the previous year. Having said that, the minimum set of food products is forecast to average RUB 4,138.0. The minimum set of food products cost is forecast to grow on average at around 3.2% against the same period of the previous year. The annual gain in the minimum set of food products cost will amount to 3.0% in 2019.

Table 6

Indexes of Freight Rates

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight tariff indexes on cargo carriage¹, made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to August 2019. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in October of 2019 – March 2020. It should be noted that some of the indexes under review (for instance, the index of pipeline tariff) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase in tariffs in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

According to the forecast for October 2019 – March 2020, the composite index of transport tariffs on freight carriage will be declining at an average monthly rate of -0.6% in the course of 6 months. Having said that, in October 2019 seasonal decline in the index in expected by -4.3 p.p. As a result, its annual growth will come to 2.1%.

The index of motor freight tariffs will be increasing during these six months at an average monthly rate of 0.1%. Its annual increment in 2019 is forecast at 1.8%.

The index of pipeline tariffs during coming six months will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.1%. As a result, its annual decrease in 2019 will amount to 0.9%.

World Prices of Natural Resources

Calculations of forecast values of indexes of freight tariffs

	The composite index of transport tariff	The index of motor freight tariff	The index of pipeline tariff				
F	orecast values acco		-models				
	(% of the pr	evious month)					
Oct 18	94.8	100.1	88.7				
Nov 18	100.7	99.9	100.0				
Dec 18	101.0	100.1	102.2				
Jan 19	97.6	100.1	90.5				
Feb 19	100.3	102.0	99.9				
Mar 19	100.0	100.1	99.8				
F	precast values acco						
	(% of December of	of the previous	year)				
Oct 19	101.8	102.1	99.9				
Nov 19	101.9	101.9	97.2				
Dec 19	102.1	101.8	99.1				
Jan 20	100.2	101.4	99.3				
Feb 20	100.3	101.2	98.7				
Mar 20	100.4	101.1	98.2				
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2018–2019 (% of the previous month)							
Oct 18	94.8	100.1	88.7				
Nov 18	100.7	99.9	100.0				
Dec 18	101.0	100.1	102.2				
Jan 19	97.6	100.1	90.5				
Feb 19	100.3	102.0	99.9				
Mar 19	100.0	100.1	99.8				

Note. Over the period from September 1998 to August 2019, the series of the freight tariff index were identified as stationary ones; the other series were identified as stationary ones over the period from November 1998 August 2019, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were used in respect of all the series.

This section presents calculations of such average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), the aluminum prices (US\$ per ton), the gold prices (\$ per ounce), the copper prices (US\$ per ton), and the nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over October of 2019 to March of 2020 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of the IMF data over the period from January 1980 to August 2019.

The crude oil price is forecast to average around \$54.2 per barrel, which is below its corresponding year-earlier indexes on average by 14.3%. The aluminum prices are forecast to average around \$1,702 per ton and their average forecast gain constitutes around 11.0% compared to the same level of last year. The

¹ The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the motor load freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indexes by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and motor load freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: *Prices in Russia*. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

Table 7

	Brent oil (\$ per barrel)	Aluminum (\$ per ton)	Gold (\$ per ounce)	Copper (\$ per ton)	Nickel (\$ per ton)			
	(+		Forecast values	(+	(4			
Oct 19	57.73	1722	1544	5540	19991			
Nov 19	56.60	1705	1563	5536	20428			
Dec 19	54.71	1695	1590	5535	20955			
Jan 20	53.38	1702	1610	5548	21278			
Feb 20	52.15	1697	1622	5567	21593			
Mar 20	50.86	1692	1638	5589	21683			
	Ex	pected growth on the r	espective month of the	previous year (%)				
Oct 19	-23.5	-12.0	27.1	-7.6	73.2			
Nov 19	-3.6	-12.9	28.0	-10.7	84.1			
Dec 19	1.7	-7.0	27.4	-7.5	96.3			
Jan 20	-13.8	-10.5	24.6	-10.0	70.7			
Feb 20	-21.0	-10.4	22.8	-14.1	65.3			
Mar 20	-25.6	-10.9	25.9	-13.7	66.9			
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2017–2018								
Oct 18	75.47	1956	1215	5998	11543			
Nov 18	58.71	1958	1221	6197	11098			
Dec 18	53.8	1823	1248	5981	10678			
Jan 19	61.89	1901	1292	6165	12468			
Feb 19	66.03	1895	1320	6483	13063			
Mar 19	68.39	1900	1301	6476	12995			

Note. Over the period from January 1980 to August 2019, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper, and aluminum are series of DS type.

gold price is forecast to average \$1,594 per ounce. The copper price is forecast to average \$5,552 per ton, and prices for nickel – around \$20,988 per ton. The average forecast price increase in gold constitutes around 26.0 %, average decline in copper prices – around 11.0%, average gain in nickel prices – 76.0% against the corresponding level of last year.

At year-end 2019, the forecast growth in crude oil, gold, and nickel prices compared to late 2018 will come to 1.7%, 27.4%, and 96.3% respectively. Projected decline in prices on aluminum and nickel constitute 7.0 and 7.5%.

MONETARY INDEXES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR) and M_2 monetary aggregate over the period from October of 2019 to March 2020 were received on the basis of models of time-series of respective indexes calculated by the CBR¹ in the period from October 1998 to September 2019. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indexes in the

Table 8 The forecast of M, and the monetary base

		2		-
	The m	ionetary base		M ₂
	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month, %
Oct 19	10556	0.4	47404	-0,4
Nov 19	10690	1.3	47583	0,4
Dec 19	10691	0.0	48114	1,1
Jan 20	11199	4.8	49005	1,9
Feb 20	10835	-3.3	48838	-0,3
Mar 20	10976	1.3	49001	0,3
		actual value in t Ə (growth on the		
Oct 18		0.0		-03

Oct 18	0.0	-0.3
Nov 18	-0.8	-0.1
Dec 18	-0.9	1.5
Jan 19	5.2	4.9
Feb 19	-5.0	-2.9
Mar 19	0.3	1.1

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to September 2019, the time series of monetary base were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component and the time series of M_2 monetary aggregate from October 1998 to September 2019 was identified as stationary series with explicit seasonal component.

¹ The data on the specific month is given in accordance with the methods of the CBR as of the beginning of the following month.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

same period of previous year. It is to be noted that due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In October 2019 – March 2020, the monetary base will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.8%. The annual gain in the monetary base in 2019 will come according to projections to 5.8%. In January 2020, seasonal adjustment of the monetary base is projected by 4.8%.

In the period under review, M_2 monetary index will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.5%. Annual increment of M_2 index in 2019 is forecast at 7.1%. In January 2020, the projected seasonal growth in M_2 monetary index will come to 1.9%.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of such future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation¹ as were received on the basis of evaluation of the model of time series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves on the basis of the data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to September of 2019. That index is forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may happen to be overestimated (or otherwise underestimated) as compared to the actual ones.

Subsequent to the forecast findings for October 2019 – March 2020, the international reserves will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.6%. In 2019, the international reserves are forecast to increase by 15.3%.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of the foreign exchange rates (RUB per USD and USD per euro) were made on the basis of assessment of the time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indicators released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the

Table 9

The forecast of the international reserves of the
Russian Federation

	Forecast val	ues according to ARIMA-model
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
Oct 19	533.6	0.9
Nov 19	534.1	0.1
Dec 19	537.6	0.7
Jan 20	541.1	0.6
Feb 20	544.0	0.5
Mar 20	547.0	0.5
For		ial values in the same period 2018–2019
Oct 18	459.2	-0.3
Nov 18	459.6	0.1
Dec 18	462.1	0.6
Jan 19	468.5	1.4
Feb 19	475.9	1.6
Mar 19	482.6	1.4

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to September 2019, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

Table 10

Forecasts of the USD/RUB and EUR/USD exchange rates

	5	/RUB ex- le rate er USD)	The EUR, chang (USD p	e rate			
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM			
Oct 19	63.94	63.94	1,12	1,12			
Nov 19	63.43	63.76	1,11	1,10			
Dec 19	63.77	64.29	1,11	1,11			
Jan 20	63.90	64.69	1,11	1,10			
Feb 20	64.10	65.10	1,11	1,10			
Mar 20	64.27	65.50	1,11	1,10			
For	For reference: actual values in the similar period of 2018–2019						
Oct 18	65.	.77	1.14				
Nov 18	66	.63	1.14				
Dec 18	69.	69.47 1.15					
Jan 19	66	.10	1.15				
Feb 19	65	.76	1.14				
Mar 19	64	.73	1.12				

Note. Over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

^{09/2019}

¹ The data on the volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to September 2019 and from February 1999 to September 2019¹, respectively.

In October 2019 – March 2020, USD/RUB average exchange rate is forecast according to two models in the amount of RUB 64.22 per USD. Projected for late 2019 value of this index will average at RUB 64.03 per USD according to two models.

Projected Euro/USD exchange rate over the period under review will average USD 1.11 per 1 euro. In late 2019, value of the index is forecast to average at USD 1.10 per 1 euro according to two models.

THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

This section (Table 11) presents results of calculations of monthly forecast values of index of real wages, as well as quarterly forecast values of real disposable cash income and real cash income² as were obtained on the basis of models of time series of respective indexes computed by Rosstat and taken over the period from January 1999 to August 2019, as well as from Q1 2014 to Q3 2019. The above indexes depend to a certain extent on the centralized decisions on raising of wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as those on raising of pensions, scholarships, and allowances; such a situation introduces some changes in the dynamics of the indexes under review. Consequently, the future values of the indexes of real wages and real disposable cash income calculated on the basis of the series which last observations are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such a raise may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

The results presented in *Table 11*, project growth in real wages. The real wages are expected to grow on average at 4.3% compared to the same period of the previous year. At year-end 2019, projected increase in real wages will amount to 2.6% for 12 months

Results presented in *Table 12* project average quarterly decline in real disposable cash income by 1.4% and real cash income by 1.3% compared to the corresponding period of the last year.

At year-end 2019, projected decline in real disposable cash income will come to 1.4%; reduction in real cash income – by 0.4% over 12 months

Table 11 The forecast of the real wages

	Real accrued wages
	precast values according to ARIMA-models 5 % to the respective month of 2018–2019)
Oct 19	103.2
Nov 19	103.7
Dec 19	104.2
Jan 20	104.6
Feb 20	105.0
Mar 20	105.3
	ference: actual values in the respective period 3–2019 (as % to the same period of 2017–2018)
Oct 18	105.2
Nov 18	104.2
Dec 18	102.9
Jan 19	101.1
Feb 19	100.0
Mar 19	102.3

Note. For calculation purposes, the series of real wages in base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to August 2019 those series were attributed to the class of processes, which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

Table 12 The forecast of the living standard indexes

	Real disposable cash income	Real cash income
	ues according to AR corresponding quar	
Q4 2019	98.6	98.7
Q1 2020	98.6	98.7
For reference: ac of 2018 (in	espective period od of 2017)	
Q4 2018	98.0	99.5
Q1 2019	97.5	98.6

The Bulletin uses the IMF data related to Euro/USD exchange rate for the period from January 1999 to August 2019, and on USD/RUB exchange rate from October 1998 to September 2019. Data on Euro/USD exchange rate for August- September 2019 and on USD/RUB exchange rate for September 2019 were taken from the exchange rate website www.oanda.com.

Real cash income – a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of nominal size (i.e. which was formed in the period under review) of cash income of the population by the CPI. The real disposable cash income – is cash income less mandatory payments and contributions. (See: Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212).

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

For the purpose of calculation of the future values of the employment (of the number the gainfully employed population) and the unemployment (the total number of the unemployed), models of the time series evaluated over the period from October 1998 to July 2019 on the basis of the monthly data released by Rosstat¹ were used. The unemployment was calculated on the basis of the models with results of the findings from business surveys² too.

It is to be noted that feasible logical inconsistencies³ in forecasts of employment and unemployment which totals should be equal to the index of economically active population may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of the economically active population and another index.

Table 13

	Employ	/ment (ARIMA)		Unemployment	(ARIMA)		Unemploymer	nt (BS)	
	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population	
Oct 19	71.8	-1.0	3.5	-4.1	4.8	3.4	-4.7	4.7	
Nov 19	71.6	-1.3	3.6	-3.7	5.0	3.5	-4.6	4.9	
Dec 19	71.6	-1.3	3.6	-3.9 5.0 3.5 -4.6		4.9			
Jan 20	70.4	-1.1	3.6	-2.4	5.1	3.5	-4.4	5.0	
Feb 20	70.6	-1.2	3.6	-2.1	5.1	3.5	-4.4	5.0	
Mar 20	70.8	-0.9	3.5	0.7	5.0 3.5		0.0	4.9	
		For reference	e: actual \	values in the sam	ne periods of 2018-	-2019 (mil	lion people)		
Oct 18		72.5			7	3.6			
Nov 18		72.6			7	3.7			
Dec 18		72.6				3.7			
Jan 19		71.2	3.7						
Feb 19		71.5	3.7						
Mar 19		71.5	3.5						

Calculation of forecast values of the indexes the employment and the unemployment

Note. Over the period from October 1998 to July 2019, the series of employment is a stochastic process which is stationary around the trend. The series of unemployment is a stochastic process with the first order integration. Both indexes include seasonal component.

According to ARIMA-model forecast (*Table 13*), in October 2019 – March 2020, the decrease in the number of employed in the economy will average 1.1% per month against the corresponding period of the previous year. At the year-end 2019, the projected number of employed in the economy population will come to 71.6 mn persons.


The average decrease in the total number of unemployed is forecast at 3.2% per month against the same period of last year. Average number of jobless in late 2019 is forecast to hit 3.6 mn persons.

¹ The index is computed in accordance with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

² The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to July 2019.

³ For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in the employment and the unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of the economically active population.

Diagrams of the Time Series of the Economic Indexes of the Russian Federation






Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2010)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)




Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2010)




Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2010)




Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)





Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2010)



09/2019

Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)






Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2010)








Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2010)




Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)






Fig. 8b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in January 2010)












Fig. 9a. The real volume of retail sales (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)











09/ 2019




Fig. 12. Import from all countries (billion USD)

Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 14. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 14a. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)




Fig.15. The producer price index for industrial goods (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 18. The price index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 19. The price index for food products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 21. The price index for wood products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 26. The price index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 27. The price index for transport equipment manufacturing (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUB)




Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)







Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS...


Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)



























09/2019



Fig. 40. The RUB/USD exchange rate






Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)






Fig. 43. Real cash income (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)





Fig. 44. Real accrued wages (as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)



 74.0

 74.0

 73.5

 73.6

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.6

 73.7

 73.8

 73.9

 73.0

 73.7

 73.7

 73.7

 73.8

 73.9

 73.9

 73.0

 73.1

 73.2

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

 74.1


 74.1

 74.1

 74.1

<t

Fig. 46. *Unemployment (million people)*



Model calculations of short-term forecasts of social and economic indices of the Russian Federation: September 2019

	2019						2020			
Index	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	
Rosstat IIIP (growth rate, %)*	2.8	2.0	2.7	2.2	1.8	2.7	2.2	1.8	3.4	
HSE IIP (growth rate %)*	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.0	1.6	3.5	
Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	3.0	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.8	1.3	1.3	
HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	0.8	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	
Rosstat IIIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	2.8	1.9	2.2	1.3	2.1	2.1	2.9	1.0	2.4	
HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	3.4	3.1	2.3	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7	3.6	
Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	1.7	4.1	4.9	3.6	-0.2	-1.9	-0.6	-0.9	0.9	
HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	0.9	1.6	2.3	5.3	0.3	-1.3	-0.4	-1.2	-1.0	
Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	6.7	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.7	4.8	3.0	4.7	
HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	4.6	3.5	4.5	2.3	4.3	4.9	3.9	3.1	1.6	
Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	-0.5	0.7	3.4	3.1	2.2	0.9	1.5	4.0	1.8	
HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %) *	-0.4	4.9	1.0	2.8	0.4	0.0	1.8	1.8	4.7	
Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-3.8	3.6	6.5	-3.1	1.2	7.9	2.6	-2.1	8.7	
HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	0.1	1.8	2.8	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.5	5.3	3.5	
Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*	-0.9	-9.2	-11.0	-4.3	2.9	2.2	3.2	-8.8	-2.2	
HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*	9.6	2.8	-0.5	4.2	-0.8	-17.6	2.8	-10.1	3.8	
Retail sales, trillion Rb	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	
Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*	1.1	0.8	0.7	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.8	0.8	0.5	
Export to all countries (billion \$)	33.0	33.8	35.0	37.8	39.8	42.9	35.9	37.0	40.0	
Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	28.4	29.1	30.3	33.8	36.0	37.8	31.7	33.1	36.3	
Import from all countries (billion \$)	22.3	21.8	20.9	22.9	22.8	23.6	18.8	20.7	22.4	
Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	19.8	19.5	18.6	20.1	20.0	21.3	16.2	17.7	19.4	
CPI (growth rate, %)**	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.4	
PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**	-2.7	-0.4	0.6	0.9	0.2	-0.2	0.1	0.4	0.7	
PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**	-10.4	-3.3	1.9	1.4	-0.8	-3.2	0.0	2.1	-1.9	
PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	-0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	-0.2	-0.5	0.1	0.1	0.3	
PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**	1.4	2.5	0.5	0.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	1.5	0.5	
PPI for food products (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.4	
PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.4	
PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**	-1.0	-0.1	-0.3	0.3	0.2	-0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	
PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**	-1.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	
PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**	-2.1	2.4	1.8	2.9	2.2	-0.5	-2.8	2.3	2.1	
PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**	-1.2	-0.1	0.4	-0.5	-0.9	-1.1	0.6	0.7	-0.1	
PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**	0.1	-0.7	0.9	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.7	1.3	-0.3	
PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	1.4	0.9	0.3	
PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	1.0	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.5	
The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb)	4.31	4.17	4.06	4.02	4.05	4.11	4.17	4.21	4.27	
The composite index of transportation tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.0	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	1.4	-0.2	-0.2	
The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**	7.6	0.3	0.6	-2.7	2.0	1.5	-0.7	-0.5	1.2	
The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**	3.1	0.2	0.2	-4.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	
The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)	65.2	60.4	60.2	57.7	56.6	54.7	53.4	52.1	50.9	

Index		2019						2020		
		Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	
The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)	1.78	1.73	1.73	1.72	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.70	1.69	
The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)	1.41	1.50	1.52	1.54	1.56	1.59	1.61	1.62	1.64	
The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)	5.94	5.65	5.57	5.54	5.54	5.53	5.55	5.57	5.59	
The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)	14.6	17.9	19.4	20.0	20.4	21.0	21.3	21.6	21.7	
The monetary base (trillion Rb)	10.3	10.4	1.1	10.6	10.7	10.7	11.2	10.8	11.0	
M2 (trillion Rb)	47.3	47.4	47.6	47.4	47.6	48.1	49.0	48.8	49.0	
Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)	0.52	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.55	
The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)	63.38	66.49	64.42	63.94	63.60	64.03	64.30	64.60	64.89	
The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)	1.11	1.10	1.09	1.12	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	1.11	
Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*	3.0	2.4	2.8	3.3	3.7	4.2	4.6	5.0	5.3	
Employment (million people)	72.2	72.8	72.4	71.8	71.6	71.6	70.4	70.6	70.8	
Unemployment (million people)	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	

Note. Actual values are printed in the bold type

* % of the respective month of the previous year ** % of the previous month.



Building 1, 3-5, Gazetny lane, Moscow, 125993 Russia Tel.: +7(495)629-47-13 info@iep.ru www.iep.ru