



GAIDAR INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC POLICY

125993, Russia, Moscow, Gazetny Pereulok 5

Tel./Fax +7(495)629-6596

www.iep.ru

1'2017

MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF RUSSIAN ECONOMIC TIME SERIES

M.Turuntseva, E.Astafieva, M.Bayeva, A.Bozhechkova,
A.Buzaev, T.Kibliitskaya, Yu.Ponomarev and A.Skrobotov

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES	2
INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES	5
FOREIGN TRADE INDICES	8
DYNAMICS OF PRICES	8
MONETARY INDICES	10
INTERNATIONAL RESERVES	11
FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES	11
THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES	12
EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT	12
ANNEX	14

INTRODUCTION TO ALL THE ISSUES

This paper presents calculations of various economic indicators for the Russian Federation in February–July of 2017, which were performed using time series models developed as a result of research conducted by the Gaidar Institute over the past few years¹. A method of forecasting falls within the group of *formal* or *statistical* methods. In other words, the calculated values neither express the *opinion* nor *expert evaluation* of the researcher, rather they are calculations of future values for a specific economic indicator, which were performed using formal ARIMA-models (p , d , q) given a prevailing trend and its, in some cases, significant changes. The presented forecasts are of inertial nature, because respective models rely upon the dynamics of the data registered prior to the moment of forecasting and depend too heavily on the trends, which are typical of the time series in the period immediately preceding the time horizon to be forecast. The foregoing calculations of future values of economic indicators for the Russian Federation can be used in making decisions on economic policy, provided that the general trends, which were seen prior to forecasting for each specific indicator, remain the same, i.e. prevailing long-term trends will see no serious shocks or changes in the future.

Despite that there is a great deal of data available on the period preceding the crisis of 1998, models of forecasting were analyzed and constructed using only the time horizon which followed August 1998. This can be explained by the findings of previous studies², which concluded, among other key inferences, that the quality of forecasts was deteriorated in most of the cases when the data on the pre-crisis period was used. Additionally, it currently seems incorrect to use even shorter series (following the crisis of 2008), because statistical characteristics of models based on such a short time horizon are very poor.

Models for the economic indicators in question were evaluated using standard methods of time series analysis. Initially, the correlograms of the studied series and their first differences were analyzed in order to determine the maximum number of delayed values to be included into the specifications of a model. Then, the results of analyzed correlograms served as the basis for testing all the series for weak stationarity (or stationarity around the trend) using the Dickey–Fuller test. In some cases, the series were tested for stationarity around the segmented trend using Perron and Zivot–Andrews tests for endogenous structural changes³.

The series were broken down into weak stationary, stationary near the trend, stationary near the trend with structural change or difference stationary, and then models, which corresponded to each type (regarding the levels and including, if necessary, the trend or segmented trend or differences), were evaluated. The Akaike and Schwartz information criteria, the properties of models' residuals (lack of autocorrelation, homoscedasticity and normality) and the quality of the in-sample-forecasts based on these models were used to choose the best model. Forecast values were calculated for the best of the models constructed for each economic indicator.

Additionally, the Bulletin presents future monthly values of the CPI, which were calculated using models developed at the Gaidar Institute, and volumes of imports/exports from/to all countries, which were calculated using structural models (SM). The forecast values based on the structural models may, in some cases, produce better results than ARIMA-models do, because structural models are constructed by adding information of the dynamics of exogenous variables. Besides, the

1 See, for example, R.M. Entov, S.M. Drobyshevsky, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin. *The Econometric Analysis of the Time Series of the Main Macroeconomic Indices*. Moscow, IET, 2001; R.M. Entov, V.P. Nosko, A.D. Yudin, P.A. Kadochnikov, S.S. Ponomarenko. *Problems of Forecasting of Some Macroeconomic Indices*. Moscow, IET, 2002; V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *Analysis of the Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with the Outputs of the Polls of Industries*. Moscow, IET, 2003; M.Yu. Turuntseva and T.R. Kiblitckaya, *Qualitative Properties of Different Approaches to Forecasting of Social and Economic Indices of the Russian Federation*. Moscow, IET, 2010.

2 Ibid.

3 See: Perron, P. Further Evidence on Breaking Trend Functions in Macroeconomic Variables, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1997, 80, pp. 355–385; Zivot, E. and D.W.K. Andrews. Further Evidence on the Great Crash, the Oil-Price Shock, and Unit-Root Hypothesis. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1992, 10, pp. 251–270.

use of structural forecasts in making aggregated forecasts (i.e. forecasts obtained as average value from several models) may help make forecast values more accurate.

The dynamics of the Consumer Price Index was modeled using theoretical assumptions arising from the monetary theory. The following was used as explanatory variables: money supply, output volume, the dynamics of the ruble-dollar exchange rate, which reflects the dynamics of alternative cost of money-keeping. The model for the Consumer Price Index also included the price index in the electric power industry, because the dynamics of manufacturers' costs relies heavily on this indicator.

The baseline indicator to be noted is the real exchange rate, which can influence the value of exports and imports, and its fluctuations can result in changes to the relative value of domestically-produced and imported goods, though the influence of this indicator turns out to be insignificant in econometric models. Global prices of exported resources, particularly crude oil prices, are most significant factors, which determine the dynamics of exports: a higher price leads to greater exports of goods. The level of personal income in the economy (labor costs) was used to describe the relative competitive power of Russian goods. Fictitious variables D12 and D01 – equal to one in December and January and zero in other periods – were added so that seasonal fluctuations were factored in. The dynamics of imports is effected by personal and corporate incomes whose increase triggers higher demand for all goods including imported ones. The real disposable cash income reflects the personal income; the Industrial Production Index reflects the corporate income.

The forecast values of foreign exchange rates were also calculated using structural models of their dependence on global crude oil prices.

The forecast values of explanatory variables, which are required for forecasting on the basis of structural models, were calculated using ARIMA-models (p, d, q).

The paper also presents calculations of the values of the Industrial Production Index, the Producer Price Index and the Total Unemployment Index, which were calculated using the results of business surveys conducted by the Gaidar Institute. Empirical studies show¹ that the use of series of business surveys as explanatory variables² in forecasting models can make forecasting more accurate on the average. Future values of these indicators were calculated using ADL-models (seasonal autoregressive delays were added).

The Consumer Price Index and the Producer Price Index are also forecast using large datasets (factor models – FM). The construction of factor models relies basically on the evaluation of the principal components of a large dataset of socio-economic indicators (112 indicators in this case). The lags of these principal components and the lags of the explanatory variable are used as explanatory variables in these models. A quality analysis of the forecasts obtained for different configurations of the factor models was used to chose a model for the CPI, which included 9th, 12th and 13th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st and 12th lags of the variable itself, and a model for the PPI, which included 8th, 9th and 12th lags of the four principal components, as well as 1st, 3rd and 12th lags of the variable itself.

All calculations were performed using the Eviews econometric package.

1 See, for example: V. Nosko, A. Buzaev, P. Kadochnikov, S. Ponomarenko. *The Analysis of Forecasting Parameters of Structural Models and Models with Business Surveys' Findings*. Moscow, IEP, 2003.

2 Used as explanatory variables were the following series of the business surveys: the current/expected change in production, the expected changes in the solvent demand, the current/expected price changes and the expected change in employment.

Table 1

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF INDICES OF INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION¹ (%)

	Index of industrial production			IIP for mining		IIP for manufacturing		IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)		IIP for food products		IIP for coke and petroleum		IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products		IIP for machinery	
	Rosstat	NRU HSE	BS	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE	Rosstat	NRU HSE
	ARIMA	ARIMA	BS														
	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.0	9.2	2.9	1.5	0.5	0.8	-2.6	-0.9	15.3	9.4
Feb 17	0.7	3.9	1.0	4.1	-0.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	6.3	2.9	3.1	2.9	2.8	0.5	0.8	9.7	5.8
Mar 17	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.3	1.1	3.6	3.1	5.3	6.9	3.9	3.7	2.0	2.1	2.8	1.9	21.2	-1.1
Apr 17	1.9	3.7	2.8	3.9	1.8	5.1	4.2	4.4	5.6	4.6	5.2	4.2	5.3	2.6	1.8	20.8	7.4
May 17	2.3	3.2	1.8	3.3	1.1	1.1	2.4	5.7	4.4	3.0	3.9	2.6	2.8	1.0	1.1	5.3	15.6
Jun 17	1.3	3.5	2.5	3.3	0.4	2.4	3.7	5.7	3.7	4.5	5.4	3.4	3.9	4.4	3.8	-1.2	10.2
	For reference: actual growth in 2016 on the respective month of 2015																
Feb 16	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	6.3	-1.0	0.2	0.0	-0.9	4.5	4.8	-1.9	-4.0	-1.4	0.7	1.0	-9.1
Mar 16	-0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	4.2	-2.8	-0.2	-0.8	-0.6	2.5	3.7	-9.3	-3.3	0.3	-2.3	13.1	4.1
Apr 16	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.7	0.6	-0.4	-4.0	-4.8	2.2	2.3	-3.4	-4.5	-1.8	-1.3	-0.7	5.9
May 16	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.5	0.3	0.1	2.1	1.4	2.1	1.7	-6.8	-8.2	0.2	-0.7	-11.9	13.1
Jun 16	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.6	0.7	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.4	-1.8	-0.9	-1.0	-2.8	11.0	-4.8
Jul 16	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	1.8	-1.5	-2.2	0.8	1.4	-0.1	-0.2	-3.4	-2.5	-6.9	-7.8	10.9	-5.2

Note: in the time spans under review, the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain indices of IIP, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for manufacturing are identified as stationary processes around the trend with an endogenous structural change; the series of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE chain IIPs for manufacturing, for primary metals and fabricated metal products, as well as the NRU HSE chain IIP for mining and Rosstat chain IIP for machinery and equipment are identified as stationary processes around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The time series of other chain indices are stationary at levels.

1 It is to be noted that for making of forecasts so-called "raw" indices (without seasonal and calendar adjustment) were used and for that reason in most models existence of the season factor is taken into account and, as a consequence, the obtained outputs reflect the seasonal dynamics of the series.

INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION AND RETAIL SALES

Industrial production

For making forecast for February–July of 2017, the series of monthly data of the indices of industrial production released by the Federal State Statistics Service (Rosstat) from January 2002 to November 2016, as well as the series of the base indices of industrial production released by the National Research University Higher School of Economics (NRU HSE¹) over the period from January 1999 to December 2016 were used (the value of January 2000 was equal to 100%). The forecast values of the series were calculated on the basis of ARIMA-class models. The forecast values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indices of industrial production are calculated using business surveys (BS) as well. The obtained results are shown in Table 1.

As seen from Table 1, the index of industrial production computed by the NRU HSE posted growth² of 2.6% in February–July 2017 compared to the same period of the previous year on industry as a whole. For the index of industrial production computed by Rosstat, this indicator constitutes 2.5%. The average monthly values of the index of industrial production for mining computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE for February–July 2017 come to 0.2% and 1.0%, respectively. The production of coke and petroleum products is forecast to average 2.6% and 2.9% for the Rosstat and NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

In February–July 2017 in comparison with the same period of last year, the average growth of the NRU HSE index of industrial production for manufacturing comes to 3.1% and the Rosstat index to 3.6%. The average monthly values of the Rosstat and the NRU HSE index for industrial production of food products constitute 3.6% and 3.8%, respectively. The average monthly values of the index of industrial production for primary metals and fabricated metal products for January–June 2017 computed by Rosstat and the NRU HSE constitute 1.5% and 1.4%, respectively. Manufacturing of machinery and equipment is forecast to grow on average at 11.8% and 7.9% for the Rosstat and the NRU HSE indexes, respectively.

The average growth of the index of industrial production for utilities (electricity, gas and water supply) computed by Rosstat for February–July 2017 in comparison with the same period of the previous year constitutes 4.4%; the same indicator for the NRU HSE index comes to 6.0%.

Retail Sales

This section (Table 2) presents forecasts of monthly retail sales made on the basis of monthly Rosstat data over January 1999 – December 2016.

As seen from Table 2, the monthly trade turnover is forecast to grow on average at around 6.9% in February–July 2017 against the corresponding period of 2016.

The monthly real trade turnover is forecast to decrease on average at 7.7% in February–July 2017 against the same period of 2016.

Table 2
CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES
OF THE RETAIL SALES AND THE REAL RETAIL SALES

Forecast value according to ARIMA-model		
	Retail sales, RUB billion (in brackets – growth on the respective month of the previous year, %)	Real retail sales (as % of the respective period of the previous year)
Feb 17	2263.6 (7.9)	96.4
Mar 17	2360.4 (6.3)	95.0
Apr 17	2362.3 (7.2)	94.7
May 17	2389.1 (7.0)	95.3
Jun 17	2415.4 (7.1)	94.6
Jul 17	2501.1 (5.9)	95.5
For reference: actual values in the same months of 2016		
Feb 16	2098.6	95.3
Mar 16	2220.3	93.8
Apr 16	2204.2	94.9
May 16	2232.9	93.6
Jun 16	2255.7	93.8
Jul 16	2362.6	94.9

Note: the series of retail sales and real retail sales over January 1999 – December 2016.

1 The indices in question are calculated by E.A. Baranov and V.A. Bessonov.

2 The average growth of industrial production indices is understood here as the average value of the said indices for six forecast months.

Table 3

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF VOLUMES OF FOREIGN TRADE TURNOVER WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE THE CIS

	Exports to all countries				Imports from all countries				Exports to countries outside the CIS				Imports from countries outside the CIS			
	Forecast values (billion USD a month)		Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year		Forecast values (billion USD a month)		Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year		Forecast values (billion USD a month)		Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year		Forecast values (billion USD a month)		Percentage of actual data in the respective month of the previous year	
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM
Feb 17	20.5	23.3	102	116	14.5	14.2	113	110	18.5	19.6	107	113	11.6	12.7	100	110
Mar 17	23.3	22.7	101	98	15.4	16.0	100	104	20.6	20.4	104	103	13.7	13.9	99	101
Apr 17	22.7	23.4	104	108	15.3	15.7	101	103	20.0	20.1	108	108	13.1	13.5	98	101
May 17	24.2	24.6	110	112	15.9	16.4	110	113	21.5	20.8	114	111	13.4	13.4	105	105
Jun 17	24.1	24.3	100	101	16.3	16.1	102	101	20.6	19.9	100	97	14.8	14.2	105	101
Jul 17	24.5	24.8	109	110	16.1	16.7	100	104	21.3	21.7	110	112	15.2	14.0	106	97
For reference: actual values in respective months of 2016 (billion USD)																
Feb 16	20.0		12.9		17.3		11.6									
Mar 16	23.2		15.4		19.9		13.8									
Apr 16	21.7		15.2		18.5		13.3									
May 16	22.0		14.5		18.8		12.8									
Jun 16	24.0		16.0		20.6		14.1									
Jul 16	22.5		16.1		19.4		14.4									

Note: over the period from January 1999 to November 2016, the series of exports, imports, exports to the countries outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS were identified as stationary series in the first-order differences. In all the cases, seasonal components were included in the specification of the models.

Table 4

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF PRICE INDICES

	Producer price indices:																		
	The consumer price index (ARIMA)	The consumer price index (SM)	The consumer price index (FM)	for industrial goods (ARIMA)	for industrial goods (BS)	for industrial goods (FM)	for mining and quarrying	For manufacturing	for utilities (electricity, water, and gas)	for food products	for textile and sewing industry	for wood products	for pulp and paper industry	for coke and refined petroleum	for chemical industry	for basic metals and fabricated metal	for machinery and equipment	for transport equipment manufacturing	
	Forecast values (% of the previous month)																		
Feb 17	100.6	100.5	100.7	100.4	100.0	101.4	103.1	100.6	102.8	101.1	100.5	100.4	100.7	101.0	100.2	99.0	101.4	100.2	
Mar 17	100.6	100.4	100.8	101.8	100.1	101.8	103.1	100.3	100.9	101.2	100.1	100.8	100.4	101.2	100.3	100.0	100.5	100.4	
Apr 17	100.7	100.3	100.9	100.5	101.1	101.4	101.6	100.1	99.9	101.1	100.2	100.6	101.1	101.5	100.3	100.2	100.4	99.8	
May 17	100.7	100.5	100.9	100.8	100.4	101.5	101.9	100.9	99.5	101.0	100.1	100.7	100.5	100.9	100.3	99.9	100.8	100.4	
Jun 17	100.7	100.3	100.6	101.3	100.4	101.2	102.1	101.2	99.7	101.0	100.3	100.6	100.6	100.9	100.4	100.1	100.8	100.4	
Jul 17	100.6	100.2	100.7	99.8	100.9	101.2	101.8	100.8	100.6	101.2	99.9	100.6	100.6	100.6	100.4	100.4	100.7	100.3	
	Forecast values (% of December 2016)																		
Feb 17	101.9	101.1	102.4	100.6	99.1	101.3	103.7	101.5	103.8	101.8	101.0	100.8	102.3	102.5	100.1	98.5	102.5	100.3	
Mar 17	102.5	101.5	103.2	102.4	99.2	103.1	106.9	101.8	104.8	103.0	101.1	101.5	102.7	103.7	100.4	98.5	103.0	100.6	
Apr 17	103.2	101.8	104.2	102.9	100.3	104.6	108.6	101.9	104.6	104.2	101.3	102.2	103.9	105.3	100.7	98.7	103.5	100.4	
May 17	103.9	102.3	105.1	103.8	100.7	106.1	110.6	102.8	104.1	105.2	101.4	102.9	104.4	106.2	101.1	98.6	104.4	100.8	
Jun 17	104.6	102.6	105.7	105.2	101.1	107.4	112.9	104.1	103.8	106.3	101.7	103.5	105.1	107.1	101.4	98.7	105.2	101.2	
Jul 17	105.3	102.8	106.5	104.9	102.0	108.7	115.0	104.9	104.5	107.6	101.6	104.0	105.7	107.8	101.9	99.1	106.0	101.5	
	For reference: actual values in the same periods of 2016 (% of December 2015)																		
Feb 16		101.6			97.0		83.8	100.6	100.5	101.3	103.3	100.2	106.1	92.7	101.9	102.3	104.0	101.0	
Mar 16		102.1		100.0			94.3	101.9	100.3	101.4	104.6	101.3	106.9	97.2	101.5	102.7	105.2	101.5	
Apr 16		102.5		102.6			106.6	102.0	99.9	101.8	104.9	101.7	107.2	96.6	100.4	102.8	105.9	102.1	
May 16		102.9		103.7			107.9	103.3	98.5	102.2	105.5	102.8	108.1	98.0	99.6	107.9	106.4	103.3	
Jun 16		103.3		106.5			113.9	105.5	98.9	102.7	106.4	103.2	108.2	105.3	98.8	112.7	107.3	103.4	
Jul 16		103.9		106.6			112.4	105.9	100.5	104.1	106.1	103.1	107.9	105.4	98.1	113.3	107.0	103.9	

Note: over the period from January 1999 to December 2016, the series of the chain producer price index for machinery are identified as a stationary process around the trend with two endogenous structural changes. The series of other chain price indices are stationary at levels.

FOREIGN TRADE INDICES

Model calculations of forecast values of the export and export to countries outside the CIS and the import and import from countries outside the CIS were made on the basis of the models of time series and structural models evaluated on the basis of the monthly data over the period from September 1998 to November 2016 on the basis of the data released by the Central Bank of Russia¹. The results of calculations are shown in Table 3.

Exports, imports, exports outside the CIS and imports from the countries outside the CIS are forecast to grow at 5.8%, 4.8%, 7.0%, and 2.1%, respectively in February–July 2017 against the same period of 2016. The average forecast surplus volume of the trade balance with all countries in February–July 2017 will amount to \$ 46.9bn which reflects growth by 8.0% on the same period of 2016.

DYNAMICS OF PRICES

The Consumer Price Index and Producer Price Index

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the consumer price index and producer price index (as regards both the industry in general and some types of its activities under the National Industry Classification Standard (NICS)) made on the basis of the time-series models evaluated on the basis of the data released by Rosstat over the period from January 1999 to December 2016². Table 4 presents the results of model calculations of forecast values over February–July 2017 in accordance with ARIMA-models, structural models (SM) and models computed with the help of business surveys (BS).

The consumer price index is forecast to grow at an average monthly rate of 0.9% in February–July 2017. The producer's price index (PPI) for the same period is also forecast to average 0.9% per month. To note, the most satisfactory forecasts, to our mind, is provided by the PPI structural model and the model computed with the help of business surveys results for PPI. Forecasts provided by other models are greatly overestimated, to our mind.

The producer's price indexes computed by Rosstat are forecast to grow at average monthly rates in February–July 2017: for mining and quarrying 2.0%, manufacturing 0.9%, utilities (electricity, gas, and water supply) 0.9%, food products 1.0%, textile and sewing industry 0.4%, wood products 0.7%, pulp and paper industry 0.8%, coke and refined petroleum 2.1%, for chemical industry 0.3%, for basic metals and fabricated metal (-0.1%), for machinery and equipment 0.1%, and for transport equipment and manufacturing 0.6%.

The Cost of the Monthly per Capita Minimum Food Basket

This section presents calculations of forecast values of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over February–July 2017. The forecasts were made based

Table 5

THE FORECAST OF THE COST OF THE MONTHLY PER CAPITA MINIMUM FOOD BASKET

Forecast values according to ARIMA-model (RUB)	
Feb 17	3761.4
Mar 17	3787.5
Apr 17	3819.7
May 17	3874.6
Jun 17	3931.9
Jul 17	3952.5
For reference: actual values in the same months of 2016 (billion RUB)	
Feb 16	3649.8
Mar 16	3655.3
Apr 16	3677.6
May 16	3740.0
Jun 16	3816.6
Jul 16	3819.2
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)	
Feb 17	3.1
Mar 17	3.6
Apr 17	3.9
May 17	3.6
Jun 17	3.0
Jul 17	3.5

Note: the series of the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket over the period from January 2000 to December 2016 are stationary in the first-order differences.

1 The data on the foreign trade turnover is calculated by the CBR in accordance with the methods for making of the balance of payment in prices of the exporter-country (FOB) in billion USD.

2 Structural models were evaluated in the period from October 1998.

on time series with use the Rosstat data over the period from January 2000 to December 2016. The results are shown in Table 5.

As can be seen from Table 5, the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket is forecast to grow compared to the corresponding period of the previous year. Herewith, the cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket is forecast to average RUB 3,854.6. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket is forecast to average 3.4% compared to the level of the corresponding period of the previous year.

Indices of Freight Rates

This section presents calculations of forecast values of freight rate indices on cargo carriage¹, made on the basis of time-series models evaluated on the Rosstat data over the period from September 1998 to November 2016. Table 6 shows the results of model calculations of forecast values in February–July of 2017. It should be noted that some of the indices under review (for instance, the pipeline rate index) are adjustable ones and for that reason their behavior is hard to describe by means of the time-series models. As a result, the future values may differ greatly from the real ones in case of the centralized increase of rates in the period of forecasting or in case of absence of such an increase in the forecasting period, but with it taking place shortly before the beginning of that period.

According to the forecast results for February–July 2017, during six months under review the composite freight rate index will increase on average 1.5% per month. In April 2017, seasonal growth of the index is expected by 4.0 p.p., and in July – by 3.7 p.p.

The index for motor load freight rate will decrease at a monthly average rate of -0.1% in the course of given six months.

The index for pipeline transport will be growing in the course of the next six months at a monthly average rate of 0.5%. In April 2017, seasonal increment of 2.6 p.p. is expected.

World Prices of Natural Resources

This section presents calculations of such average monthly values of Brent crude prices (US\$ per barrel), the aluminum prices (US\$ per ton), the gold prices (\$ per ounce), the copper prices (US\$ per ton) and the nickel prices (US\$ per ton) over February–July 2017 as were received on the basis of nonlinear models of time series evaluated on the basis of the IMF data over the period from January 1980 to December 2016.

Table 6

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF INDICES OF FREIGHT RATES

	The composite freight rate index	The index of motor load freight rate	The index of pipeline rate
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (% of the previous month)			
Feb 17	100.3	99.9	99.2
Mar 17	100.3	99.9	100.2
Apr 17	104.0	99.9	102.6
May 17	100.2	99.9	101.5
Jun 17	100.2	99.9	99.1
Jul 17	103.7	99.9	100.4
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (% of December of the previous year)			
Feb 17	100.5	101.7	100.2
Mar 17	100.8	101.6	100.3
Apr 17	104.9	101.5	100.4
May 17	105.1	101.4	100.5
Jun 17	105.4	101.3	100.6
Jul 17	109.2	101.1	100.7
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2016 (% of the previous month)			
Feb 16	99.8	100.1	99.8
Mar 16	99.5	99.0	99.6
Apr 16	108.9	99.6	119.2
May 16	100.1	99.9	100.1
Jun 16	100.0	100.2	100.0
Jul 16	102.3	99.8	104.7

Note: over the period from September 1998 to November 2016, the series of the freight rates index were identified as stationary ones; the other series were identified as stationary ones over the period from September 1998 to November 2016, too; fictitious variables for taking into account particularly dramatic fluctuations were used in respect of all the series.

1 The paper presents a review of the composite freight rate index on freight transport and the motor load freight rate index, as well as the pipeline rate index. The composite freight rate index is computed on the basis of the freight rate indices by individual types of transport: rail, pipeline, shipping, domestic water-borne, and motor load freight and air service (for more detailed information, pls. refer, for instance, to: *Prices in Russia*. The Official Publication of Goskomstat of RF, 1998).

Table 7

CALCULATIONS OF FORECAST VALUES OF WORLD PRICES ON NATURAL RESOURCES

	Brent oil (\$ per barrel)	Aluminum (\$ per ton)	Gold (\$ per ounce)	Copper (\$ per ton)	Nickel (\$ per ton)
Forecast values					
Feb 17	55.81	1769	1127	5714	11079
Mar 17	57.81	1759	1130	5740	11108
Apr 17	60.05	1748	1120	5760	11173
May 17	57.92	1755	1110	5773	11327
Jun 17	55.44	1748	1117	5755	11266
Jul 17	59.31	1740	1129	5731	11316
Expected growth on the respective month of the previous year (%)					
Feb 16	68.1	15,5	-6,1	24,3	33,5
Mar 16	48.0	14,9	-9,3	15,9	27,4
Apr 16	42.1	11,3	-9,9	18,2	25,8
May 16	22.9	13,2	-11,8	23,0	30,8
Jun 16	14.3	9,7	-12,5	24,0	26,2
Jul 16	31.6	6,8	-15,6	17,8	10,3
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2016					
Feb 16	33.2	1531	1200	4599	8299
Mar 16	39.07	1531	1246	4954	8717
Apr 16	42.25	1571	1242	4873	8879
May 16	47.13	1551	1259	4695	8660
Jun 16	48.48	1594	1276	4642	8928
Jul 16	45.07	1629	1337	4865	10263

Note: over the period from January 1980 to December 2016, the series of prices of crude oil, nickel, gold, copper, and aluminum are series of DS type.

The crude oil price is forecast to average \$57.7 per barrel, which is above its corresponding year-earlier indexes on average by 37.8%. Aluminum prices are forecast to average \$1,753.0 per ton and their average forecast increment constitutes around 12.0% compared to the same level of last year. Gold prices are forecast to average \$1,122.0 per ounce. The copper prices are forecast to average \$5,745 per ton, and prices for nickel – around \$11,212 per ton. The average forecast price reduction on gold constitutes around 11.0%, average increase of copper prices – around 21.0%, and average increase of nickel prices – 26.0% compared to the corresponding level of last year.

MONETARY INDICES

The future values of the monetary base (in the narrow definition: cash funds and the Fund of Mandatory Reserves (FMR) and M_2 monetary aggregate over the period from February to July 2017 were received on the basis of models of time-series of respective indices calculated by the CBR¹ over the

Table 8

THE FORECAST OF M_2 AND THE MONETARY BASE

	The Monetary base		M_2	
	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month. %	Billion RUB	Growth on the previous month. %
Feb 17	8621	-5.0	37168	-0.6
Mar 17	8849	2.7	37402	0.6
Apr 17	8750	-1.1	37168	-0.6
May 17	8977	2.6	37402	0.6
Jun 17	8873	-1.2	37168	-0.6
Jul 17	9107	2.6	37402	0.6
For reference: actual value in the respective months of 2016 (growth on the previous month. %)				
Feb 16		-6.3		-3.4
Mar 16		1.0		1.0
Apr 16		-0.7		1.1
May 16		3.1		1.2
Jun 16		-1.3		1.5
Jul 16		1.2		-3.4

Note: over the period from October 1998 to January (December 2016) 2017, all the time series of monetary indices were attributed to the class of series which are stationary in the first-order differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

¹ The data on the specific month is given in accordance with the methods of the CBR as of the beginning of the following month.

period from October 1998 to January (December 2016 – for M_2 time series) 2017. Table 8 presents the results of calculations of forecast values and actual values of those indices in the same period of previous year. It is to be noted that due to the fact that the monetary base is an instrument of the CBR policy, forecasts of the monetary base on the basis of time-series models are to a certain extent notional as the future value of that index is determined to a great extent by decisions of the CBR, rather than the inherent specifics of the series.

In February–July 2017, the monetary base will be growing at an average monthly rate of 0.1%, and the monetary indicator M2 will remain flat in the course of this half year.

INTERNATIONAL RESERVES

This section presents the outputs of the statistical estimation of such future values of the international reserves of the Russian Federation¹ as were received on the basis of evaluation of the model of time series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves on the basis of the data released by the CBR over the period from October 1998 to January 2017. That index is forecast without taking into account a decrease in the amount of reserves due to foreign debt payment and for that reason the values of the volumes of the international reserves in the months where foreign debt payments are made may happen to be overestimated (or, otherwise, underestimated) as compared to the actual ones.

Subsequent to the forecast results for February–July 2017, the international reserves will be growing by an average monthly rate of 0.2%.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE RATES

The model calculations of prospective values of the foreign exchange rates (RUB per USD and USD per euro) were made on the basis of assessment of the time series models (ARIMA) and structural models (SM) of the relevant indicators released by the Central Bank of Russia as of the last date of each month over the periods from October 1998 to January 2017 and from January 1999 to January 2017², respectively.

1 The data on the volume of the gold and foreign exchange reserves is presented as of the first day of the following month.

2 The authors use the IMF data over the period from January 1999 to November 2016. The data over the period from December 2016 and January 2017 was obtained from the foreign exchange rate statistics website: www.oanda.com

Table 9

THE FORECAST OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESERVES OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

	Forecast values according to ARIMA-model	
	Billion USD	Growth on the previous month, %
Feb 17	374.2	-0.9
Mar 17	373.4	-0.2
Apr 17	374.1	0.2
May 17	375.7	0.4
Jun 17	377.1	0.4
Jul 17	378.3	0.3
For reference: actual values in the same period of 2016		
Feb 16	371.6	0.9
Mar 16	380.5	2.4
Apr 16	387.0	1.7
May 16	391.5	1.2
Jun 16	387.7	-1.0
Jul 16	392.8	1.3

Note: over the period from October 1998 to January 2017, the series of the gold and foreign exchange reserves of the Russian Federation were identified as stationary series in difference.

Table 10

FORECASTS OF THE USD/RUB AND EUR/USD EXCHANGE RATES

	The USD/RUB exchange rate (RUB per USD)		The EUR/USD exchange rate (USD per EUR)	
	ARIMA	SM	ARIMA	SM
Feb 17	59.01	59.29	1.06	1.08
Mar 17	59.45	59.43	1.06	1.09
Apr 17	59.59	59.30	1.06	1.09
May 17	59.79	59.89	1.06	1.09
Jun 17	59.98	60.50	1.06	1.09
Jul 17	60.17	60.20	1.06	1.10
For reference: actual values in the similar period of 2016				
Feb 16	75.09		1.09	
Mar 16	67.61		1.14	
Apr 16	64.33		1.14	
May 16	66.08		1.11	
Jun 16	64.26		1.11	
Jul 16	67.05		1.11	

Note: over the respective periods, the series under review were identified as integrated series of the first order with a seasonal component.

In February–July 2017, USD/RUB average exchange rate is forecast along two models in the amount of RUB 59.72 for USD.

Euro/USD exchange rate is forecast at USD 1.08 per 1 euro on average at the period under review.

THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

This section (Table 12) presents calculations of forecast values of indices of real wages, real disposable income and real income¹ as were received on the basis of the model of time series of respective indices computed by Rosstat and taken over the period from January 1999 to December 2016. The above indices depend to a certain extent on the centralized decisions on raising of wages and salaries to public sector workers, as well as those on raising of pensions, scholarships and allowances; such a situation introduces some changes in the dynamics of the indices under review. As a result, the future values of the indices of real wages and real disposable income calculated on the basis of the series which last observations are either considerably higher or lower than the previous ones due to such a raising may differ greatly from those which are implemented in reality.

According to the results presented in Table 11, the real disposable cash income and real cash income are projected to decline on average by 0.9% and 1.3% per month, respectively. The projected average monthly growth of real wages is forecast at 0.25% per month in comparison with the same period of the previous year.

EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT

For the purpose of calculation of the future values of the employment (of the number the gainfully employed population) and the unemployment (the total number of the unemployed), models of the time series evaluated over the period from October 1998 to November 2016 on the basis of the monthly data released by Rosstat² were used. The unemployment was calculated on the basis of the models with results of the findings from business surveys³ too.

Table 11

THE FORECAST OF THE LIVING STANDARD INDEXES

	Real disposable cash income	Real cash income	Real accrued wages
Forecast values according to ARIMA-models (% of the respective month of 2016)			
Feb 17	96.8	96.8	98.9
Mar 17	97.3	97.0	100.6
Apr 17	99.3	98.7	100.1
May 17	100.4	99.9	98.8
Jun 17	100.3	99.6	100.0
Jul 17	100.8	100.0	103.1
For reference: actual values in the respective period of 2016 (% of the same period of 2015)			
Feb 16	95.3	94.9	100.6
Mar 16	98.3	97.6	101.5
Apr 16	92.7	93.1	98.9
May 16	93.6	93.4	101.0
Jun 16	94.8	95.1	101.1
Jul 16	92.2	92.6	98.7

Note: for calculating purposes the series of the real disposable cash income, real cash income and real accrued wages in the base form were used (January 1999 was adopted as a base period). Over the period from January 1999 to December 2016, those series were attributed to the class of processes, which are stationary in differences and have an explicit seasonal component.

1 *Real cash income* is a relative index which is calculated by means of division of the index of the nominal size (which was actually formed in the period under review) of households' cash income by the CPI. *Real disposable cash income* is cash income minus mandatory payments and contributions. (See: Rossiisky Statistichesky Ezhegodnik, Moscow, Rosstat, 2004, p. 212).

2 The index is computed in accordance with the methods of the International Labor Organization (ILO) and is given as of the month-end.

3 The model is evaluated over the period from January 1999 to November 2016.

It is to be noted that feasible logical inconsistencies¹ in forecasts of employment and unemployment which totals should be equal to the index of economically active population may arise due to the fact that each series is forecast individually and not as a difference between the forecast values of the economically active population and another index.

Table 12

CALCULATION OF FORECAST VALUES OF THE INDICES THE EMPLOYMENT AND THE UNEMPLOYMENT

	Employment (ARIMA)		Unemployment (ARIMA)			Unemployment (BS)		
	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population	Million people	Growth on the respective month of previous year (%)	% of the index of the number of the gainfully employed population
Feb 17	72.0	0.7	4.3	-3.0	5.9	4.2	-3.7	5.8
Mar 17	72.2	0.8	4.2	-8.0	5.9	4.3	-6.5	6.0
Apr 17	72.4	0.9	4.1	-8.5	5.7	4.2	-6.0	5.8
May 17	73.1	1.3	3.9	-9.0	5.3	4.2	-1.3	5.7
Jun 17	73.3	0.8	3.8	-8.5	5.2	4.3	1.4	5.9
Jul 17	73.6	0.7	3.8	-7.5	5.2	4.2	2.2	5.7
For reference: actual values in the same periods of 2016 (million people)								
Feb 16	71.5		4.4					
Mar 16	71.6		4.6					
Apr 16	71.8		4.5					
May 16	72.2		4.3					
Jun 16	72.7		4.2					
Jul 16	73.1		4.1					

Note: over the period from October 1998 to November 2016, the series of employment is a stochastic process, which is stationary around the trend. The series of unemployment is a stochastic process with the first order integration. Both indices include seasonal component.

According to ARIMA-model forecast (*Table 12*), in February–July 2017, the growth of the number of employed in the economy will average 0.9% per month against the corresponding period of the previous year.

The decrease of the total number of unemployed is forecast to average 4.9% per month against the corresponding period of last year.

¹ For example, deemed as such a difference may be a simultaneous decrease both in the employment and the unemployment. However, it is to be noted that in principle such a situation is possible provided that there is a simultaneous decrease in the number of the economically active population.

ANNEX

Diagrams of the Time Series of the Economic Indices of the Russian Federation

Fig. 1a. The Rosstat industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of December 2001)




Fig. 1b. The NRU HSE industrial production index (ARIMA-model) (% of January 2005)




Fig. 2a. The Rosstat industrial production index for mining (% of December 2001)




Fig. 2b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for mining (% of January 2005)




Fig. 3a. The Rosstat industrial production index for manufacturing (% of December 2001)




Fig. 3b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for manufacturing (% of January 2005)




Fig. 4a. The Rosstat industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 4b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 5a. The Rosstat industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 5b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for food products (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 6a. The Rosstat industrial production index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 6b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for petroleum and coke (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig.7a. The Rosstat industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 7b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 8a. The Rosstat industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in December 2001)




Fig. 8b. The NRU HSE industrial production index for machinery (as a percentage of that in January 2005)




Fig. 9. The volume of retail sales (billion RUB)




Fig. 9a. The real volume of retail sales (as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig.10. Export to all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 11. Export to countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 12. Import from all countries (billion USD)




Fig. 13. Import from countries outside the CIS (billion USD)




Fig. 14. The consumer price index (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 14a. The consumer price index
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year) (SM)




Fig. 15. The producer price index for industrial goods
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 16. The price index for mining
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 17. The price index for manufacturing
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 18. The price index for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 19. The price index for food products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 20. The price index for the textile and sewing industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 21. The price index for wood products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 22. The price index for the pulp and paper industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 23. The price index for coke and petroleum (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 24. The price index for the chemical industry (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 25. The price index for primary metals and fabricated metal products (as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 26. The price index for machinery
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 27. The price index for transport equipment manufacturing
(as a percentage of that in December of the previous year)




Fig. 28. The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (RUB)




Fig. 29. The composite index of transport tariffs
(for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 30. The index of motor freight tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 31. The index of pipeline tariffs (for each year, as a percentage of that in the previous month)




Fig. 32. The Brent oil price (\$ per barrel)




Fig. 33. The aluminum price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 34. The gold price (\$ per ounce)



Fig. 35. The nickel price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 36. The copper price (\$ per ton)




Fig. 37. The monetary base, billion RUB




Fig. 38. M_2 , billion RUB




Fig. 39. The international reserves of the Russian Federation, million USD




Fig. 40. The RUB/USD exchange rate




Fig. 41. The USD/EUR exchange rate




Fig. 42. Real disposable cash income
(as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 43. Real cash income
(as a percentage of that in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 44. Real accrued wages
(as a percentage of those in the same period of the previous year)




Fig. 45. Employment (million people)





Fig. 46. Unemployment (million people)



MODEL CALCULATIONS OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTS OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INDICES
OF THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION: JANUARY 2017

Index	2016		2017						
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
Rosstat IIP (growth rate, %)*	2.7	2.4	3.4	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.8	2.8	2.4
HSE IIP (growth rate %)*	3.4	3.0	3.5	2.0	2.6	2.4	3.4	2.6	2.9
Rosstat IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	2.7	0.1	0.2	-2.5	-0.7	1.1	1.8	1.1	0.4
HSE IIP for mining (growth rate, %)*	2.7	2.6	2.1	-1.9	-0.1	2.1	2.2	2.0	1.6
Rosstat IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	2.5	-0.6	7.0	5.8	3.5	3.6	5.1	1.1	2.4
HSE IIP for manufacturing (growth rate, %)*	3.2	1.7	4.0	1.6	3.4	3.1	4.2	2.4	3.7
Rosstat IIP for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	4.1	5.6	-1.4	2.0	3.3	5.3	4.4	5.7	5.7
HSE for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)*	6.1	8.7	2.4	9.2	6.3	6.9	5.6	4.4	3.7
Rosstat IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	2.7	3.2	3.7	2.9	2.9	3.9	4.6	3.0	4.5
HSE IIP for food products (growth rate, %)*	2.1	5.2	3.0	1.5	3.1	3.7	5.2	3.9	5.4
Rosstat IIP for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	-0.7	-3.0	1.3	0.5	2.9	2.0	4.2	2.6	3.4
HSE for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)*	0.1	-1.5	2.6	0.8	2.8	2.1	5.3	2.8	3.9
Rosstat for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-0.3	1.7	-1.7	-2.6	0.5	2.8	2.6	1.0	4.4
HSE IIP for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)*	-1.9	-0.4	2.7	-0.9	0.8	1.9	1.8	1.1	3.8
Rosstat IIP for machinery (growth rate, %)*	2.5	-3.2	13.1	15.3	9.7	21.2	20.8	5.3	-1.2
HSE IIP for machinery (growth rate %)*	1.6	-3.0	4.0	9.4	5.8	-1.1	7.4	15.6	10.2
Retail sales, trillion Rb	2.44	2.90	2.24	2.26	2.36	2.36	2.39	2.42	2.50
Real retail sales (growth rate, %)*	-4.10	-5.90	-7.68	-7.98	-7.66	-7.86	-7.46	-7.54	-7.96
Investments in capital assets, trillion Rb	26.6	27.4	18.5	21.9	23.0	23.1	24.4	24.2	24.7
Real investments in capital assets (growth rate, %)*	22.9	23.0	15.9	19.1	20.5	20.1	21.2	20.3	21.5
Export to all countries (billion \$)	17.5	18.7	10.7	14.4	15.7	15.5	16.2	16.2	16.4
Export to countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	15.6	17.3	8.0	12.2	13.8	13.3	13.4	14.5	14.6
Import from all countries (billion \$)	0.4	0.4	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5
Import from countries outside the CIS (billion \$)	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.6	1.2	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.6
CPI (growth rate, %)**	2.2	2.0	0.5	3.1	3.1	1.6	1.9	2.1	1.8
PPI for industrial goods (growth rate, %)**	0.2	0.0	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.9	1.2	0.8
PPI for mining (growth rate, %)**	0.4	0.1	1.0	2.8	0.9	-0.1	-0.5	-0.3	0.6
PPI for manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.6	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2
PPI for utilities (electricity, water, and gas) (growth rate, %)**	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	-0.1
PPI for food products (growth rate, %)**	-0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6
PPI for the textile and sewing industry (growth rate, %)**	0.5	0.7	1.6	0.7	0.4	1.1	0.5	0.6	0.6
PPI for wood products (growth rate, %)**	2.3	1.1	1.5	1.0	1.2	1.5	0.9	0.9	0.6
PPI for the pulp and paper industry (growth rate, %)**	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4
PPI for coke and petroleum (growth rate, %)**	-0.2	-0.1	-0.5	-1.0	0.0	0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.4
PPI for the chemical industry (growth rate, %)**	0.8	0.6	1.1	1.4	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.8	0.7
PPI for primary metals and fabricated metal products (growth rate, %)**	-3.3	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.4	-0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3
PPI for machinery (growth rate, %)**	3.67	3.70	3.73	3.76	3.79	3.82	3.87	3.93	3.95
PPI for transport equipment manufacturing (growth rate, %)**	0.1	0.0	1.7	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
The cost of the monthly per capita minimum food basket (thousand Rb)	0.1	2.7	1.8	-0.8	0.2	2.6	1.5	-0.9	0.4
The composite index of transportation tariffs (growth rate, %)**	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	4.0	0.2	0.2	3.7
The index of pipeline tariffs (growth rate, %)**	46.4	54.1	54.1	55.8	57.8	60.0	57.9	55.4	59.3
The index of motor freight tariffs (growth rate, %)**	1.74	1.73	1.75	1.77	1.76	1.75	1.76	1.75	1.74
The Brent oil price (\$ a barrel)	1.24	1.15	1.14	1.13	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.12	1.13
The aluminum price (thousand \$ a ton)	5.45	5.66	5.76	5.71	5.74	5.76	5.77	5.76	5.73
The gold price (thousand \$ per ounce)	11.1	11.0	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.3	11.3	11.3

Index	2016		2017						
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul
The nickel price (thousand \$ a ton)	8.46	8.43	9.08	8.62	8.85	8.75	8.98	8.87	9.11
The copper price (thousand \$ a ton)	36.1	36.4	37.4	37.2	37.4	37.2	37.4	37.2	37.4
The monetary base (trillion Rb)	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.38
M ₂ (trillion Rb)	64.94	60.66	59.96	59.15	59.44	59.45	59.84	60.24	60.19
Gold and foreign exchange reserves (billion \$)	1.06	1.05	1.07	1.07	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
The RUR/USD exchange rate (rubles per one USD)	-6.0	-6.1	-1.6	-3.2	-2.7	-0.7	0.4	0.3	0.8
The USD/EUR exchange rate (USD per one Euro)	-5.0	-6.1	-2.5	-3.2	-3.0	-1.3	-0.1	-0.4	0.0
Real disposable cash income (growth rate, %)*	2.1	2.4	1.9	-1.1	0.6	0.1	-1.2	0.0	3.1
Real cash income (growth rate, %)*	72.6	72.4	72.0	72.0	72.2	72.4	73.1	73.3	73.6
Real accrued wages (growth rate, %)*	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8
Employment (million people)	72.3	72.0	72.1	72.3	72.4	72.9	72.7	73.0	73.2
Unemployment (million people)	4.4	4.6	3.1	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3	9.1

Note: actual values are printed in the bold type

*% of the respective month of the previous year

**% of the previous month.